**Doctoral Degree Programme   
Development Plan**

**Identification of the evaluated doctoral degree programme**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name of the programme** | Economics, Ekonomie |
| **Guarantor** | Doc. Ing. Rostislav Staněk Ph.D. |
| **Form** |  |
| **Language** | English, Czech |
| **Faculty** | Faculty of Economics and Administration |

**Information on the evaluation meeting**

**Date of the On-Site Visit:** 12-14 October 2022

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Members of the Evaluation Panel:** | **Institution** |
| *Jaromír Kovářík (chair)* | University of the Basque Country |
| *Renáta Kosová* | Imperial College London – Business School |
| *Emma Galli* | Sapienza University of Rome |
| *Jana Fidrmuc-Palagova* | University of Warwick – Warwick Business School |
| *Tom Broekel* | University of Stavanger Business School |

**Date of the separate meeting with students of the evaluated degree programme:** 13 October 2022

|  |
| --- |
| **Student representatives:** |
| *Michaela Kecskésová* |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

**Doctoral Degree Programme Development Plan**

Based on the outputs of the Evaluation Report and On-Site Visit, the following objectives have been identified and formulated for the further development of the evaluated doctoral degree programme (please indicate the objective of the doctoral degree programme development, the proposed measures, and the expected date of implementation of the measures).

Summarizing comments:

The evaluators acknowledge a good dynamic and direction of the PhD programme. Their recommendations include reducing the number of PhD programmes, developing a coherent course-based programme, spread supervision more equally across faculty members, eliminate the 1 publication requirement, increase the compulsory length of the foreign stay.

The recommendations of the supervisors can be mostly decided only at the faculty-level. The development of the US style two-year course-based PhD programme seems to be too costly, and we opt to follow a strategy of European PhD programmes with limited number of courses and ad-hoc training at summer schools or nearby Universities. We agree that the number of students per supervisors should be less than five and the PhD board will take that into account when approving PhD topics. We also encourage students to spend more than one month at foreign stay. However, we do not opt for making that strict requirement due to different motivations of the students and limited amount of funds.

The faculty will discuss the reduction of the number of PhD programmes

Structured chart:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| The objective of the degree programme development\* | *Measures leading to the objective implementation* | *Implementation of measures*  *(year or cycle)* |
| Limiting number of students per supervisor to three |  | *Continuously* |
| Encouraging students to attend PhD courses at nearby Universities (Prague, Vienna) |  | *Continuously* |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*\* Add another line if needed*