

#### Appendix: Evaluation criteria for student grant application

1. Professional level of the student grant project	Met	10 points
and its quality meet the standards.	Met with reservation	5 points
	Not met	0 points

Verbal comment (max. 1,000 characters)

#### Information for reviewers:

Assess the fulfilment of the following requirements:

- investigated issues and current state of knowledge are clearly described
- aims/objectives of the student grant project and methods of achievement are adequate and realistic
- potential risks are outlined and their elimination is proposed
- planned activities correspond with the project aims/objectives and are beneficial for the project concerned

# Evaluation should focus mainly on the following features of the application:

- Research focus, aims/objectives and investigation methods of the student grant project
- Mandatory stay abroad
- Summary of educational aims/objectives of the applicant


2. The student grant project envisages	Met	10 points
outcomes that are beneficial for the relevant	Met with reservation	5 points
field. The achievement of the planned	Not met	0 points
outcomes is realistic.		

Verbal comment (max. 1,000 characters)

## Information for reviewers:

Assess whether the outcomes (their nature and number) are adequate to:

- content of the student grant project to be implemented at the very beginning of the investigator's research career
- duration of the student grant project
- financial demands of the student grant project
- established practices and specifics of the field of science





# Evaluation should focus mainly on the following feature of the application:

- Planned outcomes of the student grant project

3. The methodology of investigation of the	Met	10 points
student grant project is clearly designed and is	Met with reservation	5 points
suitable for the achievement of the planned	Not met	0 points
aims/objectives.		

Verbal comment (max. 1,000 characters)

#### Information for reviewers:

Assess the fulfilment of the following requirements:

- proposal of research procedures is comprehensible and is likely to lead to the planned result and outcomes
- proposed investigation methods are realistic

# Evaluation should focus mainly on the following feature of the application:

Research focus, methodology and aims/objectives of the student grant project

4. Professional experience and interest of the	Met	10 points
applicant and mentor are adequate to the	Met with reservation	5 points
submitted project.	Not met	0 points

Verbal comment (max. 1,000 characters)

## Information for reviewers:

Assess the fulfilment of the following requirement:

- on the basis of submitted information/documents it may be expected that the project will be implemented as planned

# Evaluation should focus mainly on the following feature of the application:

- Professional experience and interest of the applicant and mentor

5. The proposed financial plan and its	Met	10 points
justification are prudent and adequate to the	Met with reservation	5 points
planned outcomes.	Not met	0 points

Verbal comment (max. 1,000 characters)

### Information for reviewers:

Assess whether the financial demands are adequate to:

- project methodology
- planned aims/objectives and outcomes (both research and educational)

RMU Research Office 2/3

# Evaluation should focus mainly on the following features of the application:

- Budget and its justification
- Planned outcomes of the student grant project

RMU Research Office 3/3