
MUNI

Jak rozpoznat predátorský časopis

Jiří Kratochvíl Knihovna univerzitního kampusu

KUK: analýza 348 titulů v 2017–24



FAQ

Opakovaně jsme dostali nabídku publikovat v J Clin Med (IF > 4) – mohl byste, prosím, tento časopis ,, prolustrovat" z hlediska potenciálně predátorského časopisu?

10. 10. 2022, ARO LF a FN USA

Jako člen odborné společnosti mám zhodnotit kvalitu prací přihlášených do soutěže. Tento časopis IF nemá a byl klamně vydáván za časopis s podobným názvem Journal of Biomedical Science. Máte informace o takovém chování predátorských časopisů, tedy o využívání podobného názvu časopisu?

Chtěla bych se zeptat, zda máme považovat časopisy MDPI za predátorské, zda máte nějaké takové informace. Množí se takové informace a na Mendelu nedoporučují zde publikovat.

17. 10. 2022, ÚEB PřF





Podstata problému



ZLATÁ CESTA

platit autorské poplatky

ZELENÁ CESTA

autoarchivace



POCHYBNÝ ČASOPIS

rezignuje na peer review



Home Articles Journals Editorial Board Membership Conference For Authors

Home > Journals > SCIREA Journal of Education > Editorial Board


Editorial Board

Science Research Association operates a group of scholarly journals, covering Computer Science & Electrical Engineering Energy, Environmental Sciences, Life Sciences & Biology, Materials Science, Medicine & Public Health and other fields. Participation in the peer-review process is absolutely essential to the success and reputation of the journal. Editorial Members along with the editors determine which work is of quality and significance. Due to extensive readership, the research and scholarship presented will ultimately have an impact on literacy in classrooms, nationally and internationall Once you become one of our Editorial Members, your name will be listed on the journal's webpage afterwards and the confirmation letter will be sent to you as you request.

Current Editorial Board



Dr. Arburim (Macedonia) State University of Tetova




Dr. Joseph Albus Schweyk (Czech Republic)
Max-Brod University, Faculty of Education, Prague, Czech Republic

POCHYBNÝ ČASOPIS

zajímá jen finanční zisk





POCHYBNÝ ČASOPIS


byl definován
Beallem
(55 atributů)

Diskutabilnost seznamů



Beallův seznam

- –zaujatý
- –netransparentní
- –neúplný



Cabells Scholarly Analytics

- –neúplný
- -neaktuální
- –netransparentní
- –drahý (\$125 x \$46 980)





Časopisecké databáze

původní práce

Compliance with ethical rules for scientific publishing in biomedical Open Access journals indexed in Journal Citation Reports

Jiří Kratochvíli, Lukáš Plchi, Eva Korlťáková-

"University Campus Library, Masaryk University Brno Restitute of Biostatistics and Analyses, Masaryk Liniversity Erno

This study examined compliance with the criteria of transparency and best practice in scholarly publishing defined by COPE, DOAJ, OASPA and WAME in Biomedical Open Access journals indexed in Journal Citation Reports (JCR), 259 Open Access journals were drawn from the JCR database and on the basis of their websites their compliance with 14 criteria for transparency and best practice in scholarly publishing was verified. Journals received penalty points for each unfulfilled criterion when they failed to comply with the criteria defined by COPE, DOAL OASPA and WAME. The average number of obtained penalty points was 6, where 149 (57.5%) journals received ≤ 6 points and 110 (42.5%) journals ≥ 7 points. Only 4 journals met all criteria and did not receive any penalty points. Most of the journals did not comply with the criteria declaration of Creative Commons license (164 journals), affiliation of editorial board members (116), unambiguity of article processing charges (115), anti-plagiarism policy (113) and the number of editorial board members from developing countries (99). The research show that JCR cannot be used as a whitelist of journals that comply with the criteria of transparency and best practid scholarly publishing.

Key words: biomedical journals - ethical rules of scientific publishing - Jour, predatory journals - Web of Science

Dodržování etických pravid

v biomedicínsk

Citation 6

Jen 4 biomedicínské OA časopisy splnily 14 kritérií principů transparentnosti Klíčová slov

on of publishing the research results openly, At present, research funding in the European Union from either through self-archiving or publishing in Open the Horizon 2020 programme and public funds in Australia, Access (OA) journals [1,2]. This condition is fulfilled es-Canada, Great Britain, and the United States comes on pecially by publishing in institutional repositories, and INSTITUT PRO DEMOKRACII A EKONOMICKOU ANALÝZU

projekt Národohospodářského ústavu AV ČR, v. v. i.

INSTITUT FOR DEMOCRACY AND ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

A Project of the Economic Institute of the Czech Academy of Sciences

Predatory journals in Scopus

3 % článků ve Scopusu jsou z časopisů na Beallově seznamu



Shamseer et al. BMC Medicine (2017) 15:28 DOI 10 1186/s12916-017-0785-9

BMC Medicine

Potential predatory and legitimate biomedical journals: can you tell the difference? A cross-sectional comparison



Larissa Shamseer^{1,2*}, David Moher^{1,2}, Onyi Maduekwe³, Lucy Turner⁴, Virginia Barbour⁵, Rebecca Burch⁶, Jocalyn Clark⁷, James Galipeau¹, Jason Roberts⁸ and Beverley J. Shea⁵

Background: The Internet has transformed scholarly publishing, most notably, by the introduction of open access publishing. Recently, there has been a rise of online journals characterized as 'predatory', which actively solicit manuscripts and charge publications fees without providing robust peer review and editorial services. We carried out a cross-sectional comparison of characteristics of potential predatory, legitimate open access, and legitimate subscription-based biomedical i

Methods: On July 10, 2014, scho rnals from each of the following groups were identified - potential sumed legitimate, fully open access journals (source: PubMed Central), predatory journals (source: Beall's and presumed legitimate subscrip ncluding hybrid) journals (source: Abridged Index Medicus). MEDLINE journal inclusion criteria were used dentify biomedical journals from within the potential predatory journals group. One hundred journ o were randomly selected. Journal characteristics (e.g., ions to authors, publication model copyright and licensing iou

Results: Ninety-three predate were due to website unava MEDLINE PubMed distorted or potentially respectively) and subs promoted a bogus subscription-based obsahuje několik members whose based journal in USD, IOR \$63-\$ časopisů porušujících USD, IQR \$2500 Condusions: We

from presumed le for others, such as kritéria Keywords: Predator

School of Epidemiology, Public Health and Preventative Med



@ The Author(9, 2017 Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and eproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons Iconse, and Indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Fublic Domain Dedication waster (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated

Correspondence Ishamseer@ohrl.ca

¹Centre for Journalology, Clinical Epidemiology Research Institute, Ottawa K1H 8L6, Canada


Nedodržená kritéria OA časopisy

	Kritérium	% of 259 biomedicínských časopisů
	Deklarace Creative Commons	63,3
	Afiliace členů redakční rady (žádná/jen město či instituce)	44,8
	Nejasně stanovena výše autorských poplatků	44,4
	Antiplagiátorská politika	43,6
	66 % a více členů redakční rady je z rozvinutých zemí	38,2
	E-mailová adresa na editora (obecný poskytovateľ/kontaktní formulář/žádný kontakt)	32,1
	Zjevná deklarace OA	30,9
	Délka recenzního řízení (méně než 2 týdny/nedostupné plné texty/chybějící datace)	20,5
	Popis Peer-Review	15,4
	Název časopisu podobný názvu jiného titulu	13,9
	Časopis uvádí ISSN na webu	10,4
	Proklamace indexace ve WoS/Scopus/ERIH/Medline/DOAJ	8,9
5	Volný přístup k plným textům	0,8
	True information on metrics from WoS/Scopus	0

Diskutabilnost kritérií



Napodobování názvů časopisů



Neověřitelná adresa vydavatele

E-mail: Join our E-mailing list. Simply follow the link and hit send. For general inquiries, please E-mail us at: info@alliedacademies.org If you have any questions, please fill out the form and our representative will reply to your in Select Your Title: First Name: Last Name: -- Please select -- | User category: | User category: | Department: Journal Name:


Please Note: If you need to send us something via FedEx or UPS, please send it to the following address

Allied Academies

40 Bloomsbury Way Lower Ground Floor London, United Kingdom WC1A 2SE Call: (828) 214-3944 E-Mail: info@alliedacademies.org

Reprints/Advertisments /Subscriptions


/Subscriptions



Výše autorských poplatků



Journal of Imaging and Interventional Radiology	2471-8564	519	48
Journal of Immunobiology	2476-1966	1819	17
Journal of Immunological Techniques & Infectious Diseases	2329-9541	1219	11




Gold Open Access Publication Fee


To provide gold open access, this journal has a publication fee which needs to be met by the authors or their research funders for each article published open access. The gold open access publication fee for this journal is **USD 2350**, excluding taxes.



Afiliace členů redakční rady



Cancer Center





Kontrolní metody subjektivní

J. A. Teixeira da Silva – 140 otázek

Table 1 How to calculate the Predatory Score, or Predatory factor (PF)#		Relative	Verificat + verifia unverifia for calcu	
		Yes	No	
Medium and forn	nat ¹	(add + 1)	(subtract -1)	
Medium and form	Print	+1	-1	
Select all three	Online	+1	-1	
	Print and online	+2	-1	
Open access		+5	-1	
No web-site			-1	
Peer review ²				
No or false peer rev	view			
	Double blind	+3		
Select only one	Blind	+2		
	Open	+1		
Paviavvar ID public	after acceptance?	+1	1	



Kontrolní metody subjektivní

S. Rele, M. Kennedy & N. Blas – 16 kritérií

Journal Evaluation Rubric

Criterion	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)					
Step 1. Journal evaluation								
Web search for the	The journal is within the top 5 entries on the first page of search	The journal is on the first page of search results but not within the	The journal is not on the first page of search results or there is at					
journal	results and there are no scam alert postings.	top 5 entries and there are no scam alert postings.	least one scam alert post about the journal.					
Insurant manage	The '	The journal being evaluated has a name similar to another journal	The journal being evaluated is unable to be distinguished from					
Journal name	The journal name cannot be confused with another journal.	but is able to be distinguished between the two.	another with a similar name.					
Editorial bound	The editorial board is listed with their full names and institutional	The editorial board is listed with their full names only (no	Th : dia:- hd :-ad					
Editorial board	affiliation.	affiliation).	There is no editorial board listed.					
Review process	The journal states whether it is peer reviewed/edited and has a	The journal states whether it is peer reviewed/edited and has no	The journal does not state whether it is peer reviewed/edited and					
neview process	review policy listed.	review policy listed.	has no review policy listed.					
Contlicts of	The journal thoroughly and clearly states a conflicts of interest	The journal states a conflicts of interest policy, but the description						
interest	policy, including how it will handle potential conflicts of interest of	of how conflicts will be handled is unclear.	The journal does not state a conflicts of interest policy.					
interest	editors, authors, and reviewers.	of now connects will be naticaled is difficient.						
	The journal website is competently designed and functional.	The journal website is adequately designed with passable	The journal is poorly designed and is not functional. (examples:					
Journal website	(examples: no broken links, easy navigation, no missing	functionality. (examples: adequate navigation, few broken links,						
	information)	some missing information)	broken links, poor navigation, missing information)					



Kontrolní metody subjektivní

Dadkhah & Bianciardi – 16 kritérií

Criteria Group	Criteria	metric	weight
		Official email	0
	Email of editor	General email service	1
		Not available	. 2
		Full affiliation	0
Editorial section	Affiliation of editors	Only country name	1
Editorial Section	Armiation of editors	Not available	2
		Editors are from certain country	2
		Lower than 5	2
	Number of editors	Between 5-7	1
		More than 7	0
		Lower than a week	2
Review process and publishing	Review time	Lower than a month	1
		More than a month	0
	Unclear review process	Yes	1
	Unclear review process	No	. 0
	No of managin and issue	Lower than 20 papers	0
	No. of paper in each issue	More than 20 paper	1
	Questionable special issue	Yes	1
	Questionable special issue	No	0
		V	^

$$PR = \frac{\sum weight}{n}$$



Takže jak postupovat?



Doporučujeme

1. zkontrolovat objektivně ověřitelná kritéria

#	Název kritéria	Popis ověření kritéria	Parametry kritéria	Bodové ohodnocení
1	Volný přístup k plnému textu	Ověřuje se, zdali na webu časopisu v aktuálním	Ano, články lze volně stáhnout/zobrazit	
		ročníku lze volně stáhnout/zobrazit plné texty článku	Ne, žádné, nebo některé články nelze stáhnout/zobrazit	
2	Jednoznačné stanovení autorských poplatků	Ověřuje se, zdali na webu časopisu je uvedena zcela konkrétní výše autorských	Časopis uvádí, že žádné autorské poplatky nevybírá	
		poplatků	Ano, výše poplatků je vyjádřena konkrétní konečnou částkou	
			Výše poplatků je nejasná (např. kromě ceny za článek je uvedena poznámka o případném zpoplatnění stran navíc bez uvedení konkrétní částky)	
			Cena není uvedena	
3	řízení, tj. jestli jde o tzv.	časopisu je detailně popsán průběh recenzního	Ano, průběh recenzního řízení je popsán.	
			Je uvedeno pouze "Peer-reviewed" bez popisu jeho průběhu	
		Ne, průběh recenzního řízení není popsán.		
4	Afiliace členů redakční rady	Ověřuje se, zdali na webu časopisu v seznamu členů redakční rady je u všech	Afiliace je úplná, tj. uvedena instituce i město/stát	
		uvedena úplná afiliace, tj. název instituce a město/stát	Afiliace je neúplná, chybí instituce, nebo město/stát	
			Afiliace není uvedena	

Doporučujeme

- zkontrolovat objektivně ověřitelná kritéria
- 2. provést obsahovou analýzu



JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Qualitative Research

Auth	orYear		_Reco	d Number	
		Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1.	Is there congruity between the stated philosophical perspective and the research methodology?				
2.	Is there congruity between the research methodology and the research question or objectives?				
3.	Is there congruity between the research methodology and the methods used to collect data?				
4.	Is there congruity between the research methodology and the representation and analysis of data?				
5.	Is there congruity between the research methodology and the interpretation of results?				
6.	Is there a statement locating the researcher culturally or theoretically?				
7.	Is the influence of the researcher on the research, and vice- versa, addressed?				
8.	Are participants, and their voices, adequately represented?				
9.	Is the research ethical according to current criteria or, for recent studies, and is there evidence of ethical approval by an appropriate body?				
10.	Do the conclusions drawn in the research report flow from the analysis, or interpretation, of the data?				
	ill appraisal: Include	rther inf	。		

Doporučujeme


- zkontrolovat objektivně ověřitelná kritéria
- 2. provést obsahovou analýzu
- 3. seznámit se s fungováním časopisu



Příklad z praxe: JOJ Opthalmology



JOJ Opthalmology






1. Kontrola formálních kritérií



1 Pravdivé tvrzení o citační metrice v JCR a Scopusu








itační metrice

M JOJO Home Classification Guidelines v **Journals Open Access** Volume 11 Issue 3 Editorial Wish we Understanding the Relationship Be and the Visive Areas Development in Infanc Anna Piro, Teresa Iona, Şimone Curcio, Teresa La Bosco JOJ Ophthalmology DOI: 10.19080 55811 ISSN: 2473-5477 NLM ID: 101713317 LCCN: 2016202351 cal Electroretinogram in Retinitis Pig OCoLC: 953874840 Mona Adelkader* and Amro Hatem Eliwa Impact Factor: 1.772 (2023-24) DOI: 10.19080/JOJO.2024.11.555812




Ingress Full text

1 Pravdivé tvrzení o citační metrice v JCR a Scopusu






2 Volný přístup k plnému textu





3 Konkrétní výše APCs






3 Konkrétní výše APCs





4 Popis recenzního řízení





5 Afiliace redakční rady



ISSN: 2473-5477

NLM ID: 101713317

LCCN: 2016202351

OCoLC: 953874840

Impact Factor: 1.772 (2023-24)

- ▶ Ingress Full text
- Author Guidelines
- Submit Your Paper
- Open Access
- Propose Special Issue
- Recommend to Librarian
- Editor Registrations

Honorable Editors

F March de Ribot

University of Barcelona Spain

Michael Bartschi

Kontaktlinsenstudio Switzerland

Chris D Kalogeropoulos

University of loannina

Greece

Brian M DeBroff

Yale University School of Medicine USA

James Benjamin Martel

California Northstate University USA


Peter Douglas Van Patten

Essentia Virginia Clinic

USA




5 Afiliace redakční rady






6 Hlavní editor uveden






7 Uveden vydavatel časopisu






7 Uveden vydavatel časopisu






7 Uveden vydavatel časopisu






8 Časopis uvádí platné ISSN






8 Časopis uvádí platné ISSN






9 Pravdivé tvrzení o indexaci ve Web of Science a Scopusu



Publons je již zaniklý portál spol. Clarivate, který byl propojený s Web of Science pro prezentaci publikací a citovanosti v této databázi.




9 Pravdivé tvrzení o indexaci ve Web of Science a Scopusu





9 Pravdivé tvrzení o indexaci ve Web of Science a Scopusu





10 Napodobování zavedené citační metriky





Intermezzo Důvody k polemice



Můžete namítnout, že ...

- 1. Publons již neexistuje, tak časopis nelže.
- 2. CiteFactor uvádí jako indexaci, nikoli metriku.



2. Obsahová analýza



Posud'te ...

- –odbornou kvalitu článků
- dodržování obvyklých postupů v částech článku

- -Pomůcky:
 - Joanna Briggs Instituce Check-lists
 - Critical Appraisal Skills Programme



JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Qualitative Research

nevie	ewerDate_				
Auth	orYear		_Reco	rd Number	
		Yes	No	Unclear	Not applical
1.	Is there congruity between the stated philosophical perspective and the research methodology?				
2.	Is there congruity between the research methodology and the research question or objectives?				
3.	Is there congruity between the research methodology and the methods used to collect data?				
4.	Is there congruity between the research methodology and the representation and analysis of data?				
5.	Is there congruity between the research methodology and the interpretation of results?				
6.	Is there a statement locating the researcher culturally or theoretically? $ \\$				
7.	Is the influence of the researcher on the research, and vice- versa, addressed?				
8.	Are participants, and their voices, adequately represented?				
9.	Is the research ethical according to current criteria or, for recent studies, and is there evidence of ethical approval by an appropriate body?				
10.	Do the conclusions drawn in the research report flow from the analysis, or interpretation, of the data?				
Overa	all appraisal: Include 🗆 Exclude 🗀 Seek fu	rther inf	。		
Comn	nents (Including reason for exclusion)				

Posud'te



JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Qualitative Research

Revi	ewerDate				
Auth	norYear		Reco	rd Number	
		Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1.	Is there congruity between the stated philosophical perspective and the research methodology?				
2.	Is there congruity between the research methodology and the research question or objectives?				
3.	Is there congruity between the research methodology and the methods used to collect data?				
4.	Is there congruity between the research methodology and the representation and analysis of data?				
5.	Is there congruity between the research methodology and the interpretation of results?				

3. Nahlédnout pod pokličku redakce



-RetractionWatch

Retraction Watch

Tracking retractions as a window into the scientific process

Enter your email

By clicking submit, you agree to share your email address with the site owner and Mailchimp to receive marketing, updates, and other emails from the site owner. Use the unsubscribe link in those emails to opt out at any time.

PAGES

Nothing Found

Sorry, but nothing matched your search terms. Please try again with some different keywords.

"joj opthalmology"

Nothing Found

Sorry, but nothing matched your sesome different keywords.

juniper

-RetractionWatch

-Scholarly Kitchen

Search results for: JOJ Opthalmology

JOJ Opthalmology

Q

Hat Tip to the Wall Street Journal's Health Blog — and Lessons from the Trails It Blazed

The recently departed WSJ Health Blog taught us all lessons — and this blogger the lesson of standing up for what is clearly a better way of doing things.

By KENT ANDERSON | NOV 21, 2012 | 1 COMMENT


Bitcoin: A Solution to Publisher Authentication and Usage Accounting

The technology developed to create a crypto-currency may be used to solve two intractable problems in scholarly publishing: authenticating users and counting usage.

By PHIL DAVIS | JUN 1, 2016 | 16 COMMENTS

PISE SE O ČOSOP archgate.net/post/Predatory_journals_publishers All Answers (6)

- -RetractionWatch
- -Scholarly Kitchen
- -ResearchGate



Píše se o časopis

- –RetractionWatch
- -Scholarly Kitchen
- -ResearchGate



et/post/ls_Juniper_Publisher-Journal_of_Gynecology_and_Womens_Health_a_good_journal_to_publish_my_paper



Rob Keller's answer was marked as the best

January 22, 2021

Dear Wojciech Koziołek,

Indeed, the publisher "Juniper Publishers" behind the journal is mentioned in the list of potential predatory publishers: https://beallslist.net/ and by definition (at least according to Jeffrey Beall) all their journals need to be considered as such. So, a red flag for sure but not always enough for a final 'judgement'. However, there are more red flags:

- -Prominently mentioning impact factor is misleading. It mentions 2017-18 so even if this is true it basically said, 'we used to have an impact factor'. Indeed, this journal cannot be found in the Clarivate Master journal list: https://mjl.clarivate.com/home so no real impact factor (you can check for journals with an impact factor in the enclosed file as well).
- -Most likely the impact factor is fake and is mentioned here: https://isindexing.com/isi/journaldetails.php?id=7781 ISI indexing is a notorious example of a so-called misleading metric.
- -Be aware that there are many so-called misleading metrics such as CiteFactor and DRJI: https://beallslist.net/misleading-metrics/
- -Mentioning "PubMed indexed articles" is misleading. Since it suggests a real indexing of the journal while PubMed automatically index individual papers of researchers with a NIH grant independently on whether a journal is PubMed indexed or not.
- -Meaningless mentioning of for example ORCID and Sci-Hub which has nothing to do with quality of a journal and are not meant to be as such.

So definitely predatory and better to stay away from

Best regards.

ImpactFactor2019.pdf · 6.72 MB

Recommend Share >

- –RetractionWatch
- -Scholarly Kitchen
- -ResearchGate

% flakyj.blogspot.com/search/label/Juniper

Showing posts with label Juniper. Show all posts

Saturday, July 22, 2023

Juniper's Biometrics and Biostatistics Open Access Journal (BBOAJ)

Juniper Publishers reputedly produces nonsensical articles and plagiarized papers. Its mailing address in Irvine, California, is a "Professional Mail Address For Your Business" and "Mail Forwarding Services on request" from Same Day Virtual. Its scope is extraterrestrial-it wants to reach "the research community throughout the universe." Consistent with the publisher's desire "to offer the best in class," its Global Journal of Addiction and Rehabilitation Medicine included the infamous Dr. Olivia Doll--a pit bull with a concocted C.V. of canine research--on its editorial board.

Among its 75 journals is the Biostatistics and Biometrics Open Access Journal. BBOAJ "encourages in spreading the information and advances in assorted areas that are concerned with the application of i. Statistics ... And ii. Biometrics." (In case you did not know, it defines the former as "Responsible for the proper interpretation of scientific data generated in the biology, public health and other health sciences like biomedical sciences" and the latter as "Dealing with the measurable biological characteristics.")

I looked for information on the first two "Honorable Editors." I did not look into any of the (less honorable?) "Associate Editors." At the top of the list is Siguan Wang of "Columbia University USA." According to LinkedIn, Dr. Wang received his Ph.D. this year from Columbia's Mailman School of Public Health and has experience as a graduate teaching and research assistant and a summer intern at Morgan Stanley.

The second honorable editor is Ramalingam Shanmugam of "Texas State University USA"-an "Honorary Professor of International Studies in the School of Health Administration," the President of the San Antonio Chapter of the American Statistical Association (ASA), and a recent ASA Fellow. He is listed as an editor of one journal after another from publishers that have been criticized as fraudulent or predatory--Omics (fined \$50,000,000 for deceptive practices), Scholarena (discussed on this blog), Kenkyu Group, and perhaps more

Contrary to what its home page suggests, BBOAJ is not indexed for MEDLINE and is not in the National Library of Medicine collection.

Posted by DH Kaye at 11:08 PM No comments:

Labels: Juniper, statistics



- -RetractionWatch
- -Scholarly Kitchen
- -ResearchGate
- –Něco na netu?



engineering, and pharma.^[1] As of 2022, most of its journals do not have a scientific editor in chief.^[0] Juniper Publishers' journals are not listed in Clarivate's Web of Science,^[9] which calculates Impact factors for scientific journals; nevertheless Juniper Publishers displays so-called Impact Factors for its journals, whose origins are not explained.^[10] Its journals are not indexed in National Library of Medicine's MEDLINE, but articles are included in PubMed Central and may be accessed through the PubMed access platform.

Criticism [edit]

Juniper Publishers was listed in Beall's List of potential predatory open-access publishers.^[3] The company has been criticized for sending out email spam to scientists, calling for papers, ^{[11][12][13]} and for publishing at least one paper that violated research integrity (missing conflict of interest statement, missing informed consent by patients, and plagiarism).^[14]

In 2020, two biologists published a non-sensical study in Juniper's *Oceanography & Fisheries Open Access Journal*, which amongst other included the claim that barn swallows and flying fish are sister lineages, thus demonstrating that the peer review promised by the publisher and paid by the customers was non-existent or deeply flawed.^[15]

Keith Taber, Emeritus Professor of Science Education at the University of Cambridge, [16] analysed several articles from an author named Rahul Hajare. These articles were characterized by "titles not reflecting the paper, abstracts that do not actually discuss the study, conflation of unrelated topics, nonsensical sentences that any editor or reviewer should ask to be revised/corrected, glaring inconsistencies, and citing only his own publications", [17] and had been published in Juniper's journals *Advanced Research in Gastroenterology & Hepatology, Trends in Technical & Scientific Research*, [18] *Advances in Biotechnology & Microbiology*, [19] and *Organic & Medicinal Chemistry International Journal*. [17]

- -RetractionWatch
- -Scholarly Kitchen
- -ResearchGate
- -Něco na netu?



engineering, and pharma.^[1] As of 2022, most of its journals do not have a scientific editor in chief.^[0] Juniper Publishers' journals are not listed in Clarivate's Web of Science,^[9] which calculates Impact factors for scientific journals; nevertheless Juniper Publishers displays so-called Impact Factors for its journals, whose origins are not explained.^[10] Its journals are not indexed in National Library of Medicine's MEDLINE, but articles are included in PubMed Central and may be accessed through the PubMed access platform.


Criticism [edit]

Juniper Publishers was listed in Beall's List of potential predatory open-access publishers.^[3] The company has been criticized for sending out email spam to scientists, calling for papers,^{[11][12][13]} and for publishing at least one paper that violated research integrity (missing conflict of interest statement, missing informed consent by patients, and plagiarism).^[14]

In 2020, two biologists published a non-sensical study in Juniper's *Oceanography & Fisheries Open Access Journal*, which amongst other included the claim that barn swallows and flying fish are sister lineages, thus demonstrating that the peer review promised by the publisher and paid by the customers was non-existent or deeply flawed.^[15]

Keith Taber, Emeritus Professor of Science Education at the University of Cambridge, [16] analysed several articles from an author named Rahul Hajare. These articles were characterized by "titles not reflecting the paper, abstracts that do not actually discuss the study, conflation of unrelated topics, nonsensical sentences that any editor or reviewer should ask to be revised/corrected, glaring inconsistencies, and citing only his own publications", [17] and had been published in Juniper's journals *Advanced Research in Gastroenterology & Hepatology, Trends in Technical & Scientific Research*, [18] *Advances in Biotechnology & Microbiology*, [19] and *Organic & Medicinal Chemistry International Journal*. [17]

- -RetractionWatch
- -Scholarly Kitchen
- -ResearchGate
- -Něco na netu?
- –Vyřazeno z WoS/Scopus?





- -RetractionWatch
- -Scholarly Kitchen
- -ResearchGate
- -Něco na netu?
- –Vyřazeno z WoS/Scopus?
- -Retraction Database



-Retrac -Schola -Resear

–Něco r

-Vyřaze

Constructivist Approach

(HUM) History - Europe; (SOC) Political Science;

European Foreign Affairs Review - Wolters Kluwer

European Union Security Policy in the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia: A

http://retractionwatch.com/2019/11/25/political-science-prof-up-to-five-retractions-for-

Kingdom

unknown

plagiarism/

Version: 1.0.5.5					n Watch Database	
					uide before you get started	
	Author(s):	Type to search	•	Country(s):		-
	Title:	Type to search				
	Reason(s) for Retraction:					-
	Subject(s):	(HUM) History - Europe	↓ å	Article Type(s):		V
	Journal:					-
	Publisher:					-
	Affiliation(s):					
	Notes:					
	URL:					
<u>Clear Search</u>					Se	arch
Title/Subject(s)/Journal	Retraction or Other Not - Publisher/Affiliation(s)/ 30 Item(s) Found	ices Retraction Watch Post URL(s)	Reason(s)	Author(s)	Original Paper Date/PubMedID/DOI	
The victorious counterrevolut		in the Spanish Civil War	+Error in Text	Pedro Garcia-Guirao	02/14/2019	11/05/2
(HUM) History - Europe;	lan and Francis		+Retract and Replace		00000000	000000
National Identities — Tay		This area of Constant and This is a			10.1080/14608944.2018.1563986	10.108
Department of Modern Langu	lages and Linguistics, The	University of Southampton, United				

+Plagiarism of Article

Teresa Cierco

03/01/2013

unavailable

00000000

09/19/2

000000

Píše se o čas

- -RetractionWatch
- -Scholarly Kitchen
- -ResearchGate
- -Něco na netu?
- –Vyřazeno z WoS/Sco
- –Retraction Database
- Open peer-review

BMC Medicine

Home About Articles Submission Guidelines

Open Peer Review Reports for:

Regulating digital health technologies with transparency: the case for dynamic and multistakeholder evaluation

Back to article

Pre-publication versions of this article are available by contacting info@biomedcentral.com.

Original Submission				
4 Sep 2019	Submitted	Original manuscript		
15 Sep 2019	Reviewed	Reviewer Report - Joseph Kvedar		
24 Sep 2019	Reviewed	Reviewer Report - Josip Car		
1 Oct 2019	Author responded	Author comments - Elena Rodriguez-Villa		
Resubmission - Version 2				
1 Oct 2019	Submitted	Manuscript version 2		
2 Oct 2019	Reviewed	Reviewer Report - Josip Car		
6 Oct 2019	Reviewed	Reviewer Report - Joseph Kvedar		
10 Oct 2019	Author responded	Author comments - Elena Rodriguez-Villa		


- -RetractionWatch
- -Scholarly Kitchen
- -ResearchGate
- -Něco na netu?
- -Vyřazeno z WoS/Scopi
- -Retraction Database
- -Open peer-review
- -Sdílení zkušeností



Zajímavosti



Kontrolovat opakovaně



r Nature

leurodegeneration

2024

mission Guidelines

Editorial Board

Editors-in-Chief

Guojun Bu, PhD, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong Huaxi Xu, PhD, Xiamen University, China [Deceased 2022]


Senior Editors

Hongmei Li, PhD, Mayo Clinic Florida, USA Henrietta M Nielsen, PhD, Stockholm University, Sweden Hui (Iris) Zhang, PhD, University of Georgia, USA

Managing Editor

Lucy Job, MSc, Mayo Clinic Florida, USA

Posuzovat individuálně



Předmět: Invitation to Peer Review: IJEPRR-16-050 Od: "IJEPRR Review Department" <ipprr2016@drjafs.info>

Datum: 4.8.2016 16:08

Dear Colleague,

It is a great pleasure to invite you to peer review the research topic ENTITLED "ACCESS TO INFORMATION: THE ROLE OF PUBLIC LIBRARIES IN NIGERIAN SOCIETY"

Find the Abstract below:

ABSTRACT: This paper examines Access to information: the role of public libraries in Nigerian society. Information is a basic human right and is absolutely important in the development of any society. Access to information means unhindered access to information. In today's society, access to information is required at any point in time for the citizens, since it is a catalyst for social, economic and political development as well as for good decision making. Access to Information is access to Internet and it is a means for overcoming inequality of information in the society. With Information and communication technology, the means of accessing information also changed. Many users go to the public library for internet access and The Internet allows the users have access to vast quantities of Information for them to choose with the right skills. In this paper, access to Information was discussed in depth from the following perspective: Access to Information. Freedom of Information. Information Literacy Skills and the Role of Public Libraries, Internet Access, Challenges, Conclusions and Recommendation were made.

Key Words: Information Access, information society, information literacy, public libraries, Internet Access, Freedom of Information.

However, you are free to forward/recommend any of your friend or colleague should the attached manuscript is not in your field of interest.

Thank you for your attention and consideration in this important matter.

Kindly acknowledge the receipt of this mail.

I appreciate your effort.

Best regards,
Prof. Naseer Ahmad Al-Khawaldeh
Editor
International Journal of Educational Policy Research and Review
E-mail: ijeprrpeerreview@journalissues.org
http://www.journalissues.org/TJEPRR/

ISSN 2360-7076

IJEPRR-16-050.doc

Guideline for Reviewer.doc 118 kB

IJEPRR Author Guide.pdf 261 kB

114 kB

International Journal of Educational Policy Research and Review

http://www.journalissues.org/IJEPRR/

Reviewers Guideline

PART A: Editorial Office Only

SECTION I

Title: "NET BOX TO PROGRAMATION: THE ROLE OF PUBLIC LIBEARIES IN NICEBOOK NAMED IN

Manuscript Number: IJEPRR-16-050 Date sent out: 04-07-2016 Expected date: 09-08-2016

Reviewer's Name: Joseph A. Schweyk, Ph.D. Reviewer's E-mail: jschweyk@gmail.com

Reviewer's Affiliation and Specialisation: Faculty of Education, Max-Brod University, Prague

PART B: Reviewer Only

SECTION II: Comments per Section of Manuscript

Abstract:	Abstract is clear, understandable and very informative. It achieved victory in the use of the words "access" and "Information".
Introduction	Introduction is clear and well-founded acquaints readers with the topic. The reader can find that a citation can be inserted into different types of braces, any space is necessary between sentences, junction can be capitalized.
Methodology:	The methodology is described very clearly. No wonder, therefore if author(s) write nothing, then nothing can be reviewed and critized which is very clear and understandable to any reader.
Results:	The results are presented very clearly, all tables and figures help reader to understand to all results.
Discussion:	In the Discussion the topic is very savvy discussed. It's very exciting reading, in which the reader gasp, whether authors will continue to make spaces between

nuscript

Abstract is clear, understandable and very informative. It achieved victory in the use of the words "access" and "information".

Introduction is clear and well-founded acquaints readers with the topic. The reader can find that a citation can be inserted into different types of braces, any space is necessary between sentences, junction can be capitalized.

The methodology is described very clearly. No wonder, therefore if author(s) write nothing, then nothing can be reviewed and critized which is very clear and understandable to any reader.

The results are presented very clearly, all tables and figures help reader to understand to all results.

In the Discussion the topic is very savvy discussed. It's very exciting reading, in which the reader gasp, whether authors will continue to make spaces between

nuscript

Abstract is clear, understandable and very informative. It achieved victory in the use of the words "access" and "information".

Introduction is clear and well-founded acquaints readers with the topic. The reader can find that a citation can be inserted into different types of braces, any space is necessary between sentences, junction can be capitalized.

The methodology is described very clearly. No wonder, therefore if author(s) write nothing, then nothing can be reviewed and critized which is very clear and understandable to any reader.

The results are presented very clearly, all tables and figures help reader to understand to all results.


In the Discussion the topic is very savvy discussed. It's very exciting reading, in which the reader gasp, whether authors will continue to make spaces between **SECTION III** - Please rate the following: (1 = Excellent) (2 = Good) (3 = Fair) (4 = poor)

Originality:	4
Contribution To The Field:	4
Technical Quality:	4
Clarity Of Presentation:	4
Depth Of Research:	4

SECTION IV- Research contribution rating: (*Kindly Mark With An X*)

Major contribution	
Reasonable contribution	
Marginal contribution	
No contribution	Х







MUN]



Yvonne Hyde

komu: mně 🔻

3:28 (před 5 hodinami)







:

Dear Dr.Schweyk,

Thank you very much for your kind reply. It is great that you are willing to review the paper. Please find the review form and the APR-1821 full text in the attachment.

When you finish it, you could send me the report directly and I will help to upload it to our submission system. And I would appreciate it if you could send back the report before 25 October 2024, though kindly let me know if you need more time.

Looking forward to your reply.

Kind regards,

Ms. Yvonne Hyde

•••







Joseph Schweyk <jschweyk@gmail.com>

8:49 (před 40 minutami)







komu: Yvonne, ralali 🔻

Dear Ms. Hyde,

Who knows how many other reviewers you invited to review the article "Enhancing Academic Self-Efficacy..." but you're not keeping track of the recipients. The fact is that I discovered your invitation in spam and responded to it from a fictitious email address, including changing the sender to Dr. Schweyk. And you didn't find it strange that someone to whom you didn't write responded and sent him the full text. This is an absolutely unacceptable editorial practice and I have reason to believe that Applied Psychology Reseach is a so-called predatory journal.

Cc: Mr. Rommel Mahmoud Alali, the apparent author of the article according to the metadata in the file and who should be informed that he had sent his article to a disputable journal. Mr. Alali, let me recommend you immediately ask Ms. Hyde to withdraw your article if you don't want your work to come to be useless.

So called J.A. Schweyk

pá 18. 10. 2024 v 3:28 odesílatel Yvonne Hyde <<u>yvonne.hyde@ep-pub.net</u>> napsal:



Závěrem



Nejsme v tom sami

jsou tu knihovny



MUNI SUKB



MUNI FSS



MUNI ARTS




MUNI LAW



MUNI PED







Děkuji za pozornost



