## Task:

- Your task is to analyze the results of tax compliance experiment.
- Try to describe the behavior of participants (overall and session by session) during the experiment. The main variables of interest are as follows:
- RealIncome endowment (earnings)
- ConIncome income declare to tax authority
- Control if 1=> subject audited by tax authority
- Catch audit found subject "guilty."
- rpref[1] -rpref[10] risk aversion check

## Variables

	value	1	2	3	4		
	meaning	baseline	flat tax rate	progressive tax rate	regressive tax rate		
Treatment	value	5	6	7	8		
		flat t.r. + flat t.r. + baseline -		baseline +	baseline +		
	meaning	positive news negative news positive new		positive news	negative news		
		headlines	headlines	headlines	headlines		
Session	value	1 or 2					
	meaning	up to 2 sessions per treatment					
Subject	value	1 to 24					
	meaning	unique identification of an experimental subject in a session					
	value	1 to 6					
Group	meaning	subject in a session were divided into smaller groups who interact together					
<b>D</b>	meaning	in treatments 1, 7, 8 randomly given number					
Realincome		other tre	eatments	from a real effort tasks			
ConIncome	meaning	reported income for taxation					
	value	(	)	1			
Compliance	meaning	RealIncome=	=ConIncome	RealIncome>ConIncome			
Control	value	(	)	1			
	meaning	not au	udited	audited			
Catab	value	(	)	1			
Calch	meaning	not four	nd guilty	found guilty			
rprof[1 10]	value	1 to 2					
ihi6i[1-10]	meaning	risk preferences					

# Description of data

Treatment	1	2	3	4	5
Subjects	44	44	40	32	48
male	19	12	16	12	14
Czech	40	39	33	27	45
risk averse	2	6	3	2	4
risk neutral	41	35	36	30	43
risk loving	1	3	1	0	1
J. J					
Treatment	6	7	8	total	
Treatment Subjects	<b>6</b> 44	<b>7</b> 44	<b>8</b> 40	total 332	
Treatment Subjects male	6 44 19	<b>7</b> 44 12	8 40 16	total 332 121	
Treatment Subjects male Czech	6 44 19 40	7 44 12 39	8 40 16 33	total 332 121 298	
Treatment Subjects male Czech risk averse	6 44 19 40 2	7 44 12 39 6	8 40 16 33 3	total 332 121 298 30	
Treatment Subjects male Czech risk averse risk neutral	6 44 19 40 2 41	7 44 12 39 6 35	8 40 16 33 3 36	total 332 121 298 30 292	

Table 1 - Number of Subjects (and their characteristics) per Treatment





#### Mann-Whitney U Test

Mann-Whitney U test is the alternative test to the independent sample t-test. It is a non-parametric test that is used to compare two population means that come from the same population, it is also used to test whether two population means are equal or not. It is used for equal sample sizes, and is used to test the median of two populations. (see e.g. <u>http://www.statisticssolutions.com/mann-whitney-u-test/</u>)

Test of session effect - Compare mean contribution (ConIncome) between two sessions of the same treatment

#### In STATA: runksum

by Treatment, sort : ranksum ConIncome, by(Session)

\_\_\_\_\_

 $\rightarrow$  Treatment = 1

Two-sample Wilcoxon rank-sum (Mann-Whitney) test

Session   obs rank sum ex	pected
1   120 11921 1320 2   100 12389 110	60 50
combined   220 24310	24310
unadjusted variance 221000.00 adjustment for ties -288.17	
adjusted variance 220711.83	
Ho: ConInc~e(Session==1) = ConIn z = -2.850	nc~e(Session==2)
Prob >  z  = 0.0044	means differ at 99% significance level – Session effect

 $\rightarrow$  Treatment = 2

Two-sample Wilcoxon rank-sum (Mann-Whitney) test

Session   obs rank sum expected
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
combined   220 24310 24310
adjusted variance 221000.00 adjustment for ties -229.51
adjusted variance 220770.49
Ho: ConInc~e(Session==1) = ConInc~e(Session==2) z = -0.761 Prob > $ z  = 0.4467$ means do not differ at 99% significance level – No session effect

### Chi-square test

The chi-square test is always testing what scientists call the null hypothesis, which states that there is no significant difference between the expected and observed result.

Usually refers to frequency tables. The test measures (non)existence of differences between cells. Null hypothesis => no difference.

In our example – to test whether there is a difference between compliance rate (Compliance) among treatments.

STATA:

. tabulate Compliance Treatment, chi2 column

Compliance	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
	122	112	105	109	134	87	117	80	866
0	55.45	50.91	52.50	68.13	55.83	39.55	58.50	40.00	52.17
	98	108	95	51	106	133	83	120	794
1	44.55	49.09	47.50	31.87	44.17	60.45	41.50	60.00	47.83
Total	220	220	200	160	240	220	200	200	1,66
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Pearson chi2(7) = 47.8479 Pr = 0.000

Differences are significant at 99% level