# Experimental Economics Public Policy

BPV\_SIPS Selected Issues of the Public Sector

Miloš Fišar

When experimental results meets theoretical prediction, experiments are labeled as "not interesting" and only confirming what economics already know.

When the results contradicts the theoretical prediction, it is said "experimenter made a mistake."

(Vernon Smith, 1989)

#### **Experimental Economics**

- Progressive way of modern economic theory.
- Using natural science methodology to investigate human behavior.
- Anonymous and based on incentives.
- No deception.
- In the laboratory or in the field.
- Applicable by psychologists (behavioral economics) as well as neuroscientists (neuroeconomics).

# History of experimental economics

- Thurstone (1931) Indifferent Curves
- Morgenstern, von Neuman (1944) Game Theory
- Dresher a Flood (1950) Prisoner's Dilemma Game
- Smith (1950), Plott (1970) first laboratories of EE
- 1998 official name and established a journal
- 2000 z-Tree
- 2002 Nobel Price in economics (Vernon Smith)
- 2006 first economic experiment at Masaryk University
- 2013 "cardboard lab" at the faculty
- 2014 Nobel Price in economics (Alvin E. Roth)
- 2015 Masaryk University Experimental Economics Laboratory (MUEEL)

# Mission of experimental Economics

Speaking to theorists,

Searching for facts,

• Whispering in the ears of princes

Alvin E. Roth (1995)

#### Methodology of experimental economics

 Microeconomics model and environment to test in laboratory conditions.

- Control of conditions.
  - Nonsatiation
  - Saliency
  - Dominance
  - Privacy

#### V. Smith (1976): Induced Value Theory

 Subjects perceive incentives according to experimenter not own preferences.

 Participants understand the connection between their decision making and payoffs.

Incentives are significant enough to be taken in mind.

#### Other methodological issues

- Simple environment.
- Clear and unambiguous instructions.
- Double anonymity.
- Consistent subjects pool and selection mechanism.

# Limits of economic experiments

- Subject pool might not represent whole population.
- Payoffs are not salient.
- Simplified models with low R2

External validity

# External and internal validity

Internal = robustness and replicability

• External = application of the results on the everyday situations



#### **External validity**

- External validity is not necessary for every experiment (*Plott*)
- Not even natural science experiments aim to explain the real world.
- Model declined in the lab may be valid in the real environment.
- Success in the lab is on the other hand essential, but not satisfactory condition for generalization.

#### Examples of economic experiments

- Ultimatum/Dictator game
- · Public goods game

### Ultimatum bargaining game

- Original: Güth, Werner, Rolf Schmittberger, and Bernd Schwarze. "An experimental analysis of ultimatum bargaining." Journal of economic behavior & organization 3.4 (1982): 367-388.
- Two players (proposer and responder) bargain over a division of a given sum of money.
  - **1. proposer**: makes an offer how to split the sum
  - 2. responder: accepts or rejects
    - if <u>accepted</u> they split the money
    - if rejected neither gets anything
- unique subgame perfect equilibrium the proposer suggests the responder the smallest amount possible and the responder accepts

### Dictator bargaining game

- Original: Kahneman, Daniel, Jack L. Knetsch, and Richard H. Thaler. "Fairness and the assumptions of economics." *Journal of business* (1986): S285-S300.
- Two players (dictator and recipient) bargain over a division of a given sum of money.
  - 1. dictator: splits the sum
  - **2. recipient:** <u>is informed</u> of endowment left by the dictator
- unique subgame perfect equilibrium: the dictator takes it all

# Andreoni, J. (1988).

Why free ride?: Strategies and learning in public goods experiments.

Student's presentation

### Public goods game

- Original Marwell, Gerald, and Ruth E. Ames. "Experiments on the provision of public goods. I. Resources, interest, group size, and the free-rider problem." *American Journal of sociology* (1979)
- One of the most standard game in experimental economics.
- Each player contributes to common or private account. Usuall:
  - Each player gets same percentage of total private account contributions.
  - Contributions are multiplied by a coefficient >1.
- The group's total payoff is maximized when everyone contributes all of their tokens to the public pool.
- Game equilibria is zero contribution by every player.
  - But experimental results show a different story.
- Those who do not contribute are called free riders.

# Public goods game

- Applicable on charitable giving, fundraising, transportation etc.
- Large contributions to public economics theory.
- · Addaptions:
  - Opened communication in the middle of the experiment.
  - Possibility of punishment.
    - People do punish (√contribution => ↑punishment) and cooperation incereases (Fehr Gächter, 2000)
    - "Counter fire" lowers cooperation (Nikiforakis, 2008)
    - Stronger punishment increases contributions (Denant-Boemont, 2007)
    - Anonymous punishment is more efficient (Denant-Boemont, 2007)

#### public policy

- What it is?
  - the fundamental policy on which laws rest, especially policy not yet enunciated in specific rules.
  - government policies that affect the whole population
- What kind of public policies do you know?
- Public policy making is a process which requires continues verification and evaluation.
- Not all policies might be implemented without testing them → experiment

# Role of experiment in the public policy making

• "Whispering in the ears of princes" Alvin E. Roth (1995)

- Theory about policies might be tested via an experiment (tax compliance)
- In experiment you collect date in controlled environment
- Results may or may not support the theory

#### Role of experiment in the public policy making - examples

- Compare institutions ("rules of exchange")
  - EPA Acid Rain Program
- Evaluate policy proposals
  - Proposed alternative ITQ market structures
  - Competition on natural gas pipelines
  - Management of common-pool resources
- Design & test new institutions
  - Charitable giving mechanisms
  - Water market design
  - Auctions

# Thank you for your attention

Milos.fisar@econ.muni.cz