

---

Econometrics 2 - Lecture 6

# Models Based on Panel Data

---

# Contents

- Panel Data
- Pooling Independent Cross-sectional Data
- Panel Data: Pooled OLS Estimation
- Panel Data Models
- Fixed Effects Model
- Fixed Effects Model: More Estimators
- Random Effects Model
- Analysis of Panel Data Models
- Panel Data in **GRETL**

# Example: Individual Wages

## Verbeek's data set "males"

- Sample of
  - 545 full-time working males, end of schooling in 1980
  - from each person: yearly data collection from 1980 till 1987
- Variables
  - *wage*: log of hourly wage (in USD)
  - *school*: years of schooling
  - *exper*:  $\text{age} - 6 - \text{school}$
  - dummies for union membership, married, black, Hispanic, public sector
  - others

# Types of Data

Populations of interest: individuals, households, companies, countries

Types of observations

- Cross-sectional data: Observations of all units of a population, or of a (representative) subset, at one specific point in time; e.g., wages in 1980
- Time series data: Series of observations on units of the population over a period of time; e.g., wages of a worker in 1980 through 1987
- Panel data (longitudinal data): Repeated observations of (the same) population units collected over a number of periods; data set with both a cross-sectional and a time series aspect; multi-dimensional data

Cross-sectional and time series data are one-dimensional, special cases of panel data

Pooling independent cross-sections: (only) similar to panel data

# Data in GRET

## Three types of data structure

- Cross-sectional data: Matrix of observations, variables over the columns, each row corresponding to the set of variables observed for one unit
- Time series data: Matrix of observations, each column a time series, rows correspond to observation periods (annual, quarterly, etc.)
- Panel data: Matrix of observations with special data structure
  - Stacked time series: each column one variable, with stacked time series corresponding to cross-sectional units
  - Stacked cross sections: each column one variable, with stacked cross sections corresponding to observation periods
  - Use of index variables: index variables defined for units and observation periods

# Stacked Data: Examples

Index variables

Stacked time series

	unit	year	$x_1$	$x_2$
1:1	1	2009	1.197	252
2:1	2	2009	1.220	198
3:1	3	2009	1.173	167
...	...	...	...	...
1:2	1	2010	1.369	269
2:2	2	2010	1.397	212
3:2	3	2010	1.358	201
...	...	...	...	...

Stacked cross sections

	unit	Year	$x_1$	$x_2$
1:1	1	2009	1.197	252
1:2	1	2010	1.369	269
1:3	1	2011	1.675	275
...	...	...	...	...
2:1	2	2009	1.220	198
2:2	2	2010	1.397	212
2:3	2	2011	1.569	275
...	...	...	...	...

# Panel Data Files

- Files with one record per observation
  - For each cross-sectional unit (individual, company, country, etc.)  $T$  records
  - Stacked time series or stacked cross sections
  - Allows easy differencing
  - Time-constant variable: on each record the same value
- Files with one record per unit
  - Each record contains all observations for all  $T$  periods
  - Time-constant variables are stored only once

# Panel Data Files: Examples

Verbeek's data set "males"

Stacked time series →

Stacked time series ↙

unit	Year	wage	school	black	...
1	1980	1.197	14	0	...
...	...	...	...	...	...
545	1980	1.131	9	0	...
1	1981	1.676	14	0	...
...	...	...	...	...	...
1	1987	1.669	14	0	...
2	1980	1.676	13	0	...

unit	wage <sub>80</sub>	...	wage <sub>87</sub>	school	black	...
1	1.197	...	1.669	14	0	...
2	1.676	...	1.820	13	0	...
3	1.516	---	2.873	12	1	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...

One record per unit →



# Panel Data

Typically data at micro-economic level (individuals, households, firms), but also at macro-economic level (e.g., countries)

Notation:

- $N$ : Number of cross-sectional units
- $T$ : Number of time periods

Types of panel data:

- Large  $T$ , small  $N$ : “long and narrow”
- Small  $T$ , large  $N$ : “short and wide”
- Large  $T$ , large  $N$ : “long and wide”

Example: Data set “males”: short ( $T = 8$ ) and wide ( $N = 545$ ) panel ( $N \gg T$ )

# Panel Data: Some Examples

Data set “males”: Wages and related variables

- short and wide panel ( $N = 545$ ,  $T = 8$ )
- rich in information (~40 variables)

Grunfeld investment data: Investments in plant and equipment by

- $N = 10$  firms
- for each of  $T = 20$  yearly observations for 1935-1954

Penn World Table: Purchasing power parity and national income accounts for

- $N = 189$  countries/territories
- for some or all of the years 1950-2011 ( $T \leq 62$ )

# Use of Panel Data

Econometric models for describing the behaviour of cross-sectional units over time

## Panel data models

- Allow controlling individual differences, comparing behaviour, analysing dynamic adjustment, measuring effects of policy changes
- More realistic models than cross-sectional and time-series models
- Allow more detailed or sophisticated research questions

## Methodological implications

- Dependence of sample units in time-dimension
- Some variables might be time-constant (e.g., variable *school* in “males”, population size in the Penn World Table dataset)
- Missing values

# Contents

- Panel Data
- Pooling Independent Cross-sectional Data
- Panel Data: Pooled OLS Estimation
- Panel Data Models
- Fixed Effects Model
- Fixed Effects Model: More Estimators
- Random Effects Model
- Analysis of Panel Data Models
- Panel Data in **GRETL**

# Example: Wages and Experience

## Data set “males”

- Independent random samples for 1980 and 1987
- $N_{80} = N_{87} = 100$
- Variables: *wage* (log of hourly wage), *exper* (*age* – 6 – *years of schooling*)

		1980		1987	
		Full set	sample	Full set	sample
<i>wage</i>	mean	1.39	1.37	1.87	1.89
	st.dev.	0.558	0.598	0.467	0.475
<i>exper</i>	mean	3.01	2.96	10.02	9.99
	st.dev.	1.65	1.29	1.65	1.85
$\exp(\textit{wage})$		4.01	3.94	6.49	6.62

# Pooling of Samples

Independent random samples:

- Pooling gives an independently pooled cross section
- OLS estimates with higher precision, tests with higher power
- Requires
  - the same distributional properties of sampled variables
  - the same relation between variables in the samples

# Example: Wage and Experience

Some wage equations (coefficients in bold letters:  $p < 0.05$ ):

- 1980 data

$$wage = 1.315 + 0.026 * exper, R^2 = 0.006$$

- 1987 data

$$wage = 2.441 - \mathbf{0.057} * exper, R^2 = 0.041$$

- pooled 1980 and 1987 data

$$wage = 1.289 + \mathbf{0.052} * exper, R^2 = 0.128$$

- pooled data with dummy  $d_{87}$

$$wage = 1.441 - \mathbf{0.016} * exper + \mathbf{0.583} * d_{87}, R^2 = 0.177$$

- pooled sample with dummy  $d_{87}$  and interaction

$$wage = 1.315 + 0.026 * exper + \mathbf{1.126} * d_{87} - \mathbf{0.083} * d_{87} * exper$$

$d_{87}$ : dummy for observations from 1987

# Wage Equations

Wage equations, dependent variable: *wage* (log of hourly wage)

		1980	1987	80+87	80+87	80+87
Interc.	coeff	1.315	2.441	1.289	1.441	1.315
	s.e.	0.050	0.120	0.031	0.036	0.045
exper	coeff	0.026	<b>-0.057</b>	<b>0.052</b>	<b>-0.016</b>	<b>0.026</b>
	s.e.	0.014	0.012	0.004	0.009	0.013
d87	coeff				<b>0.583</b>	<b>1.126</b>
	s.e.				0.073	0.141
d87*exper	coeff					<b>-0.083</b>
	s.e.					0.019
	R <sup>2</sup> (%)	0.6	4.1	12.8	17.7	19.2

Coefficients in bold letters:  $p < 0.05$



# Pooled Independent Cross-sectional Data

Pooling of two independent cross-sectional samples

$$y_{it} = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_{it} + \varepsilon_{it} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N \text{ (units), } t = 1, 2 \text{ (time points)}$$

- Implicit assumption: identical  $\beta_1, \beta_2$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N, t = 1, 2$
- OLS-estimation: requires
  - homoskedastic and uncorrelated  $\varepsilon_{it}$ 
$$E\{\varepsilon_{it}\} = 0, \text{ Var}\{\varepsilon_{it}\} = \sigma^2 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N, t = 1, 2$$
$$\text{Cov}\{\varepsilon_{i1}, \varepsilon_{j2}\} = 0 \text{ for all } i, j \text{ with } i \neq j$$
  - exogenous  $x_{it}$

For the analysis of panel data, often a more realistic model is needed, taking into consideration

- changing coefficients
- correlated error terms
- endogenous regressors

# Model with Time Dummy

Model for pooled independent cross-sectional data in presence of changes:

- Dummy variable  $d$ : indicator for  $t = 2$  ( $d_t=0$  for  $t=1$ ,  $d_t=1$  for  $t=2$ )

$$y_{it} = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_{it} + \beta_3 d_t + \beta_4 d_t^* x_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

allows changes (from  $t = 1$  to  $t = 2$ )

- of intercept from  $\beta_1$  to  $\beta_1 + \beta_3$
- of coefficient of  $x$  from  $\beta_2$  to  $\beta_2 + \beta_4$
- Tests for constancy of (1) the intercept or (2) the intercept and slope over time (cf. Chow test)

$$H_0^{(1)}: \beta_3 = 0 \text{ or } H_0^{(2)}: \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0$$

- Similarly testing for constancy of  $\sigma^2$  over time

Generalization to more than two time periods

# Example: Wages and Experience

Wage equation

$$wage_{it} = \beta_1 + \beta_2 exper_{it} + \beta_3 d_t + \varepsilon_{it}$$

Wages might depend also on other variables; omitted variables are covered by the error term

- *black*: time-constant variable, omission may cause autocorrelation of error terms; similar other time-constant factors like *hisp*
- *mar* (married): (not for all) units time-constant variable, similar *rural*, *union*, *ne* (living in north east), etc.; omission may cause autocorrelation
- *school*: omission may cause endogeneity of *exper*;  $\text{Corr}(\textit{school}, \textit{exper}) = -0.34$
- Unobserved and unobservable variables can have similar effects, e.g., parental background, attitudes, etc.

# Problems with Sample Pooling

The analysis of the data  $(y_{it}, x_{it})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, N$ ,  $t = 1, 2$ , by OLS estimation of the parameters of model

$$y_{it} = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

(or extensions based on a year dummy for  $t=2$ ) may not fulfil usual requirements

- The independence assumption across time may be unrealistic
- Main reason: effects of non-measured and non-measurable variables are only covered by the error terms
- Exogeneity of regressors may be unrealistic

Consequences: OLS-estimates

- biased and inconsistent
- not efficient

Panel data models allow more adequate analyses

# Contents

- Panel Data
- Pooling Independent Cross-sectional Data
- Panel Data: Pooled OLS Estimation
- Panel Data Models
- Fixed Effects Model
- Fixed Effects Model: More Estimators
- Random Effects Model
- Analysis of Panel Data Models
- Panel Data in **GRETL**

# Models for Panel Data

Model for  $y$ , based on panel data from  $N$  cross-sectional units and  $T$  periods

$$y_{it} = \beta_0 + x_{it}'\beta_1 + \varepsilon_{it}$$

$i = 1, \dots, N$ : sample unit

$t = 1, \dots, T$ : time period of sample

$x_{it}$  and  $\beta_1$ :  $K$ -vectors

- $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ : represent intercept and  $K$  regression coefficients; are assumed to be identical for all units and all time periods
- $\varepsilon_{it}$ : represents unobserved factors that may affect  $y_{it}$ 
  - Assumption that  $\varepsilon_{it}$  are uncorrelated over time not realistic; refer to the same unit or individual
  - Standard errors of OLS estimates misleading, OLS estimation not efficient relative to estimators that exploit the dependence structure of  $\varepsilon_{it}$  over time

# Random Effects Model

Starting point is again the model

$$y_{it} = \beta_0 + x_{it}'\beta_1 + \varepsilon_{it}$$

with composite error  $\varepsilon_{it} = \alpha_i + u_{it}$

- Specification for the error terms:
  - $u_{it} \sim \text{IID}(0, \sigma_u^2)$ ; homoskedastic, uncorrelated over time
  - $\alpha_i \sim \text{IID}(0, \sigma_a^2)$ ; represents all unit-specific, time-constant factors; correlation of error terms over time only via the  $\alpha_i$
  - $\alpha_i$  and  $u_{it}$  are assumed to be mutually independent;  $u_{it}$  is assumed to be independent of  $x_{jt}$ ;  $\alpha_i$  and  $x_{it}$  may be correlated
- Random effects (RE) model
$$y_{it} = \beta_0 + x_{it}'\beta_1 + \alpha_i + u_{it}$$
- Unbiased and consistent ( $N \rightarrow \infty$ ) estimation of  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$
- Efficient estimation of  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ : takes error covariance structure into account; GLS estimation

# Fixed Effects Model

The general model

$$y_{it} = \beta_0 + x_{it}'\beta_1 + \varepsilon_{it}$$

- Specification for the error terms: two components

$$\varepsilon_{it} = \alpha_i + u_{it}$$

- $\alpha_i$  fixed, unit-specific, time-constant factors, also called unobserved (individual) heterogeneity
- $u_{it} \sim \text{IID}(0, \sigma_u^2)$ ; homoskedastic, uncorrelated over time; represents unobserved factors that change over time, also called idiosyncratic or time-varying error
- $\varepsilon_{it}$ : also called composite error
- Fixed effects (FE) model
$$y_{it} = \sum_j \alpha_j d_{ij} + x_{it}'\beta_1 + u_{it}$$

$d_{ij}$ : dummy variable for unit  $i$ :  $d_{ij} = 1$  if  $i = j$ , otherwise  $d_{ij} = 0$
- Overall intercept  $\beta_0$  omitted; unit-specific intercepts  $\alpha_i$



# Examples for Fixed- and Random-effects

Grunfeld investment data: Investment model

$$I_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta_{i1} F_{it} + \beta_{i2} C_{it} + u_{it}$$

with  $F_{it}$ : market value,  $C_{it}$ : value of stock of plant and equipment, both of firm  $i$  at the end of year  $t-1$

- $N = 10$  firms,  $T = 20$  yearly observations
- Fixed effects  $\alpha_i$  allow for firm-specific, time-constant factors

Wage equation

$$\begin{aligned} wage_{it} = & \beta_1 + \beta_2 exper_{it} + \beta_3 exper2_{it} + \beta_4 school_{it} + \beta_5 union_{it} \\ & + \beta_6 mar_{it} + \beta_7 black_{it} + \beta_8 rural_{it} + \alpha_i + u_{it} \end{aligned}$$

with composite error  $\varepsilon_{it} = \alpha_i + u_{it}$

- $\alpha_i$ : unit-specific parameter for each of 545 units
- Time-constant factors  $\alpha_i$ : stochastic variables with identical distribution
- Regressors are uncorrelated with  $u_{it}$

# Contents

- Panel Data
- Pooling Independent Cross-sectional Data
- Panel Data: Pooled OLS Estimation
- Panel Data Models
- Fixed Effects Model
- Fixed Effects Model: More Estimators
- Random Effects Model
- Analysis of Panel Data Models
- Panel Data in **GRETL**

# Fixed Effects (FE) Model

Model for  $y$ , based on panel data for  $T$  periods

$$y_{it} = \alpha_i + x_{it}'\beta + u_{it}, u_{it} \sim \text{IID}(0, \sigma_u^2)$$

$i = 1, \dots, N$ : sample unit

$t = 1, \dots, T$ : time period of sample

- $\alpha_i$ : fixed parameter, represents all unit-specific, time-constant factors, unobserved (individual) heterogeneity
- $x_{it}$ :  $K$ -vector, all  $K$  components are assumed to be independent of all  $u_{it}$ ; strictly exogenous

Regression model with dummies  $d_{ij} = 1$  for  $i = j$  and 0 otherwise:

$$y_{it} = \sum_j \alpha_i d_{ij} + x_{it}'\beta + u_{it}$$

- Number of coefficients ( $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N$  and  $\beta$ ):  $N + K$
- Main interest: estimators for  $\beta$

# FE Model Parameters: Estimation

FE model with dummies  $d_{ij} = 1$  for  $i = j$  and 0 otherwise:

$$y_{it} = \sum_j \alpha_i d_{ij} + x_{it}'\beta + u_{it}$$

Number of coefficients:  $N + K$

Various estimation procedures

- Least squares dummy variable (LSDV) estimator
- Within or fixed effects estimator
- First-difference estimator

A special case

- Differences-in-differences (DD or DID or D-in-D) estimator

# Least Squares Dummy Variable (LSDV) Estimator

Estimation procedure for  $N + K$  parameters  $\beta$  and  $\alpha_i$  of the FE model

$$y_{it} = \sum_j \alpha_i d_{ij} + x_{it}'\beta + u_{it}$$

OLS estimation of  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N$  and  $\beta$

- $NT$  observations for estimating  $N + K$  coefficients
- Numerically costly, not attractive
- Estimates for  $\alpha_i$  usually not of interest

Fixed effects and first-difference estimators are more attractive

# Example: Data Set "males"

Panel data set

- Number of cross-sectional units  $N = 545$
- Number of time periods  $T = 8$

Number of parameters in a FE model:

- $\alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, 545$ : unit-specific fixed parameters
- $\beta_i, i = 1, \dots, K$ : coefficients of regressors

For the model

$$\begin{aligned} wage_{it} = & \beta_1 + \beta_2 exper_{it} + \beta_3 exper2_{it} + \beta_4 school_{it} + \beta_5 union_{it} \\ & + \beta_6 mar_{it} + \beta_7 black_{it} + \beta_8 rural_{it} + \varepsilon_{it} \end{aligned}$$

553 coefficients need to be estimated on the basis of 4360 observations

# Fixed Effects Estimation

“Within transformation”: transforms  $y_{it}$  into time-demeaned  $\check{y}_{it}$  by subtracting the average  $\bar{y}_i = (\sum_t y_{it})/T$ :

$$\check{y}_{it} = y_{it} - \bar{y}_i$$

analogously  $\check{x}_{it}$  and  $\check{u}_{it}$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, N, t = 1, \dots, T$

Subtracting from  $y_{it} = \alpha_i + x_{it}'\beta + u_{it}$  the model in averages,

$$\bar{y}_i = \alpha_i + \bar{x}_i'\beta + \bar{u}_i$$

with averages  $\bar{x}_i$  and  $\bar{u}_i$  gives the model in time-demeaned variables

$$\check{y}_{it} = \check{x}_{it}'\beta + \check{u}_{it}$$

- Pooled OLS estimator  $b_{FE}$  for  $\beta$
- $b_{FE}$ : “fixed effects estimator”, also called “within estimator”
- Uses time variation in  $y$  and  $x$  within each cross-sectional unit; explains deviations of  $y_{it}$  from  $\bar{y}_i$  (not of  $\bar{y}_i$  from  $\bar{y}_j$ !)

**GRET**L: Model > Panel > Fixed or random effects ...

# The Fixed Effects Estimator

FE model

$$y_{it} = \alpha_i + x_{it}'\beta + u_{it}, u_{it} \sim \text{IID}(0, \sigma_u^2)$$

$x_{it}$  are assumed to be independent of all  $u_{it}$

Estimation of  $\beta$  from the model in time-demeaned variables

$$\check{y}_{it} = \check{x}_{it}'\beta + \check{u}_{it}$$

gives

$$b_{FE} = (\sum_j \sum_t \check{x}_{it} \check{x}_{it}')^{-1} \sum_j \sum_t \check{x}_{it} \check{y}_{it}$$

- Time-demeaning differences away time-constant factors  $\alpha_i$
- Under the assumption that  $x_{it}$  are independent of all  $u_{it}$ , i.e., for all  $i$  and  $t$ :  $b_{FE}$  is unbiased and consistent
- $b_{FE}$  coincides with LSDV estimator



# Wage Equations

Wage equations, dependent variable: *wage* (log of hourly wage)

		Pooled 80+87	FE 80+87	FE 80+87	FE 80+87	FE 80...87
Interc.	coeff	1.289	1.285	1.432	1.307	1.237
	s.e.	0.031	0.031	0.036	0.045	0.016
exper	coeff	<b>0.052</b>	<b>0.053</b>	-0.013	<b>0.029</b>	<b>0.063</b>
	s.e.	0.004	0.004	0.009	0.013	0.002
d87	coeff			<b>0.564</b>	<b>1.107</b>	
	s.e.			0.073	0.141	
d87*exper	coeff				<b>-0.083</b>	
	s.e.				0.019	
	adjR <sup>2</sup> (%)	12.8	13.7	18.1	19.5	55.6

# Properties of Fixed Effects Estimator

$$b_{FE} = (\sum_i \sum_t \ddot{x}_{it} \ddot{x}_{it}')^{-1} \sum_i \sum_t \ddot{x}_{it} \ddot{y}_{it}$$

- Unbiased if all  $x_{it}$  are independent of all  $u_{it}$
- Normally distributed if normality of  $u_{it}$  is assumed
- Consistent (for  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ) if  $x_{it}$  are strictly exogenous, i.e.,  $E\{x_{it} u_{is}\} = 0$  for all  $s, t$
- Asymptotically normally distributed
- Covariance matrix

$$V\{b_{FE}\} = \sigma_u^2 (\sum_i \sum_t \ddot{x}_{it} \ddot{x}_{it}')^{-1}$$

- Estimated covariance matrix: substitution of  $\sigma_u^2$  by

$$s_u^2 = (\sum_i \sum_t \tilde{u}_{it} \tilde{u}_{it}') / [N(T-1)]$$

with the residuals  $\tilde{u}_{it} = \ddot{y}_{it} - \ddot{x}_{it}' b_{FE}$

- Attention! The standard OLS estimate of the covariance matrix underestimates the true values

# Estimator for $\alpha_i$

Time-constant factors  $\alpha_i, i = 1, \dots, N$

Estimates based on the fixed effects estimator  $b_{FE}$

$$a_i = \bar{y}_i - \bar{x}_i' b_{FE}$$

with averages over time  $\bar{y}_i$  and  $\bar{x}_i$  for the  $i$ -th unit

- Consistent (for  $T \rightarrow \infty$ ) if  $x_{it}$  are strictly exogenous
- Potentially interesting aspects of estimates  $a_i$ 
  - Distribution of the  $a_i, i = 1, \dots, N$
  - Value of  $a_i$  for unit  $i$  of special interest

# Wage Equations, 1980-1987

Dependent variable: *wage* (log of hourly wage)

	F.E.	OLS
Intercept	1.072	1.177
<i>exper</i>	0.118***	0.115***
<i>exper2</i>	-0.004***	-0.006***
<i>mar</i>	0.047***	0.186***
<i>rural</i>	0.051*	-0.181***
adjR <sup>2</sup> (%)	56.33	9.30

# Contents

- Panel Data
- Pooling Independent Cross-sectional Data
- Panel Data: Pooled OLS Estimation
- Panel Data Models
- Fixed Effects Model
- Fixed Effects Model: More Estimators
- Random Effects Model
- Analysis of Panel Data Models
- Panel Data in **GRETL**

# The First-Difference Estimator

Elimination of time-constant factors  $\alpha_i$  by differencing

$$\Delta y_{it} = y_{it} - y_{i,t-1} = \Delta x_{it}'\beta + \Delta u_{it}$$

$\Delta x_{it}$  and  $\Delta u_{it}$  analogously defined to  $\Delta y_{it} = y_{it} - y_{i,t-1}$

First-difference estimator: OLS estimation

$$b_{FD} = (\sum_i \sum_t \Delta x_{it} \Delta x_{it}')^{-1} \sum_i \sum_t \Delta x_{it} \Delta y_{it}$$

Properties

- Consistent (for  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ) under slightly weaker conditions than  $b_{FE}$
- Slightly less efficient than  $b_{FE}$  due to serial correlations of the  $\Delta u_{it}$
- For  $T = 2$ ,  $b_{FD}$  and  $b_{FE}$  coincide

# Wage Differences 1980 - 1987

## Effect of ethnicity

- *wage* (log of hourly wage) : from 1.419 (1980) to 1.892 (1987)
- i.e., increase of hourly wage from USD 4.13 (1980) to 6.63 (1987), i.e., 60.5%

## Does the wage increase depend on ethnicity?

- Dummy  $black_{it} = 1$  if  $i$ -th person is afro-american,  $black_{it} = 0$  otherwise
- Model for *wage*:

$$wage_{it} = \mu_t + \alpha_i + u_{it}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad t = 1980, 1987$$

- $\alpha_i$ : time-constant factors, e.g., schooling, rural, industry, etc.
- Model for differences with  $\mu_0 = \mu_{1987} - \mu_{1980}$

$$\Delta wage_{it} = \mu_0 + \delta black_{it} + \Delta u_{it}$$

# Wage Differences, cont'd

Increase of *wage* (log of hourly wage)

$$\Delta wage_{it} = \mu_0 + \delta black_{it} + \Delta u_{it}$$

OLS-estimation gives ( $N = 545$ , 63 afro-americans)

	$\mu_0$	$\delta$	adj R <sup>2</sup>
Estimate	0.491	-0.154	0.47
Std.err.	0.027	0.081	

Increase in *wage* (log of hourly wage) and in hourly wages

	$\mu_0$	$\mu_0 + \delta$	all
	<i>black</i> = 0	<i>black</i> = 1	
Increase in <i>wage</i> (average)	0.491	0.337	0.473
Ratio of hourly wages	1.634	1.401	1.605
Increase of hourly wages (%)	63.4	40.1	60.5



# Differences-in-Differences Estimator

Natural experiment or quasi-experiment:

- Exogenous event or treatment, e.g., a training, a new law, a change in operating conditions
- Treatment group, control group
- Assignment to groups not (like in a true experiment) at random
- Data: before treatment, after treatment

Assessment of treatment based on response variable  $y$

- Compare  $y$  of treatment group with  $y$  of control group
- Compare  $y$  before and after treatment
- Panel data allow both comparisons at once

# Differences-in-Differences Estimator, cont'd

Model for response  $y_{it}$  of unit  $i$  ( $=1, \dots, N$ ) before ( $t = 1$ ) and after ( $t = 2$ ) the treatment

$$y_{it} = \delta r_{it} + \mu_t + \alpha_i + u_{it}$$

- dummy  $r_{it} = 1$  if  $i$ -th unit receives treatment in  $t$ ,  $r_{it} = 0$  otherwise
- $\delta$ : treatment effect, the parameter in focus
- $\alpha_i$ : time-constant factors of  $i$ -th unit
- $\mu_t$ : time-specific fixed effects

Fixed effects model (for differencing away time-constant factors):

$$\Delta y_i = y_{i2} - y_{i1} = \delta r_i + \mu_0 + v_i$$

with

- $v_i = u_{i2} - u_{i1}$ : error term
- $\mu_0 = \mu_2 - \mu_1$ , the time-specific fixed effects

# Estimator of Treatment Effect

Effect of treatment (event) by comparing units

- with and without treatment
- before and after treatment

Model for panel data  $y_{it}$

$$y_{it} = \delta r_{it} + \mu_t + \alpha_i + u_{it}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad t = 1 \text{ (before), } 2 \text{ (after event)}$$

Differences-in-differences (DD or DID or D-in-D) estimator of treatment effect  $\delta$

$$d_{DD} = \Delta \bar{y}^{\text{treated}} - \Delta \bar{y}^{\text{untreated}}$$

$\Delta \bar{y}^{\text{treated}}$ : average difference  $y_{i2} - y_{i1}$  of treatment group units

$\Delta \bar{y}^{\text{untreated}}$ : average difference  $y_{i2} - y_{i1}$  of control group units

- Treatment effect  $\delta$  measured as difference between changes of  $y$  with and without treatment
- Allows for correlation between time-constant factors  $\alpha_i$  and  $r_{it}$

# Contents

- Panel Data
- Pooling Independent Cross-sectional Data
- Panel Data: Pooled OLS Estimation
- Panel Data Models
- Fixed Effects Model
- Fixed Effects Model: More Estimators
- Random Effects Model
- Analysis of Panel Data Models
- Panel Data in **GRETL**

# Random Effects Model

## Model

$$y_{it} = \beta_0 + x_{it}'\beta + \alpha_i + u_{it}, u_{it} \sim \text{IID}(0, \sigma_u^2)$$

- Time-constant factors  $\alpha_i$ : stochastic variables, independently and identically distributed over all units, may show correlation over time
$$\alpha_i \sim \text{IID}(0, \sigma_a^2)$$
- Attention! More information about  $\alpha_i$  than in the fixed effects model
- $\alpha_i + u_{it}$ : error term with two components
  - Unit-specific component  $\alpha_i$ , time-constant
  - Remainder  $u_{it}$ , assumed to be uncorrelated over time
- $\alpha_i, u_{it}$ : mutually independent, independent of  $x_{js}$  for all  $j$  and  $s$
- OLS estimators for  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta$  are unbiased, consistent, not efficient (see next slide)

# Remember the GLS Estimator

Model

$$y = X\beta + \varepsilon$$

with

$$E\{\varepsilon|X\} = 0$$

$$V\{\varepsilon|X\} = \sigma^2 \Psi$$

GLS estimator

$$b_{\text{GLS}} = (X' \Psi^{-1} X)^{-1} X' \Psi^{-1} y$$

with

$$V\{b_{\text{GLS}}\} = (X' \Psi^{-1} X)^{-1}$$

# GLS Estimator

$\alpha_i i_T + u_i$ :  $T$ -vector of error terms for  $i$ -th unit,  $T$ -vector  $i_T = (1, \dots, 1)'$

$\Omega = \text{Var}\{\alpha_i i_T + u_i\}$ : Covariance matrix of  $\alpha_i i_T + u_i$

$$\Omega = \sigma_a^2 i_T i_T' + \sigma_u^2 I_T$$

Inverted covariance matrix for data from  $i$ -th unit

$$\Omega^{-1} = \sigma_u^{-2} \{ [I_T - \sigma_a^2 / (\sigma_u^2 + T\sigma_a^2) (i_T i_T')] \} = \sigma_u^{-2} \{ [I_T - (i_T i_T') / T] + \psi (i_T i_T') / T \}$$

with  $\psi = \sigma_u^2 / (\sigma_u^2 + T\sigma_a^2)$

$(i_T i_T') / T$ : transforms into averages; e.g.,  $(i_T i_T') (y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iT})' / T = \bar{y}_i i_T$

$I_T - (i_T i_T') / T$ : transforms into deviations from average

GLS estimator

$$b_{\text{GLS}} = [\sum_i \sum_t \ddot{x}_{it} \ddot{x}_{it}' + \psi T \sum_i (\dot{x}_i - \bar{x})(\dot{x}_i - \bar{x})']^{-1} [\sum_i \sum_t \ddot{x}_{it} \ddot{y}_{it} + \psi T \sum_i (\dot{x}_i - \bar{x})(\bar{y}_i - \bar{y})]$$

with

- deviations from average  $\ddot{y}_{it} = y_{it} - \bar{y}_i$ , analogous  $\ddot{x}_{it}$
- averages  $\bar{y}_i$  over all  $t$ , analogous  $\dot{x}_i$
- averages  $\bar{y}$  over all  $t$  and  $i$ , analogous  $\bar{x}$

# GLS Estimator, cont'd

GLS estimator

$$b_{\text{GLS}} = [\sum_i \sum_t \ddot{x}_{it} \ddot{x}_{it}' + \psi T \sum_i (\dot{x}_i - \bar{x})(\dot{x}_i - \bar{x})']^{-1} [\sum_i \sum_t \ddot{x}_{it} \ddot{y}_{it} + \psi T \sum_i (\dot{x}_i - \bar{x})(\bar{y}_i - \bar{y})]$$

with the average  $\bar{y}$  over all  $i$  and  $t$ , analogous  $\bar{x}$

- $\psi = 0$ :  $b_{\text{GLS}}$  coincides with  $b_{\text{FE}}$

$$b_{\text{FE}} = (\sum_i \sum_t \ddot{x}_{it} \ddot{x}_{it}')^{-1} \sum_i \sum_t \ddot{x}_{it} \ddot{y}_{it}$$

- for growing  $T$ ,  $\psi \rightarrow 0$ :  $b_{\text{GLS}}$  and  $b_{\text{FE}}$  equivalent for large  $T$
- $\psi = 1$  ( $\sigma_a^2 = 0$ ):  $b_{\text{GLS}}$  coincides with the OLS estimators for  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta$



# Between Estimator

Model for individual means  $\bar{y}_i$  and  $\bar{x}_i$ :

$$\bar{y}_i = \beta_0 + \bar{x}_i' \beta + \alpha_i + \bar{u}_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

OLS estimator

$$b_B = [\sum_i (\bar{x}_i - \bar{x})(\bar{x}_i - \bar{x})']^{-1} \sum_i (\bar{x}_i - \bar{x})(\bar{y}_i - \bar{y})$$

is called the between estimator

- Consistent if  $x_{it}$  strictly exogenous, uncorrelated with  $\alpha_i$
- Describes the relation between the units, discarding the time series information of the data
- Variance of the regression error terms  $\alpha_i + \bar{u}_i$  is

$$\sigma_B^2 = \sigma_a^2 + (1/T)\sigma_u^2$$

# GLS Estimator: A Linear Combination

GLS estimator

$$b_{\text{GLS}} = [\sum_i \sum_t \ddot{x}_{it} \ddot{x}_{it}' + \psi T \sum_i (\dot{x}_i - \bar{x})(\dot{x}_i - \bar{x})']^{-1} [\sum_i \sum_t \ddot{x}_{it} \ddot{y}_{it} + \psi T \sum_i (\dot{x}_i - \bar{x})(\bar{y}_i - \bar{y})]$$

can be written as

$$b_{\text{GLS}} = \Delta b_{\text{B}} + (I_K - \Delta) b_{\text{FE}}$$

i.e., a matrix-weighted average of between estimator  $b_{\text{B}}$  and within estimator  $b_{\text{FE}}$

$\Delta$ : ( $K \times K$ ) weighting matrix, proportional to the inverse of  $\text{Var}\{b_{\text{B}}\}$

- The more accurate  $b_{\text{B}}$  the more weight has  $b_{\text{B}}$  in  $b_{\text{GLS}}$
- $b_{\text{GLS}}$ : optimal combination of  $b_{\text{B}}$  and  $b_{\text{FE}}$ , more efficient than  $b_{\text{B}}$  and  $b_{\text{FE}}$

# GLS Estimator: Properties

GLS estimator

$$b_{\text{GLS}} = [\sum_i \sum_t \ddot{x}_{it} \ddot{x}_{it}' + \psi T \sum_i (\dot{x}_i - \bar{x})(\dot{x}_i - \bar{x})']^{-1} [\sum_i \sum_t \ddot{x}_{it} \ddot{y}_{it} + \psi T \sum_i (\dot{x}_i - \bar{x})(\bar{y}_i - \bar{y})]$$

- Unbiased, if  $x_{it}$  are independent of all  $\alpha_i$  and  $u_{it}$
- Consistent for  $N$  or  $T$  or both tending to infinity if
  - $E\{\ddot{x}_{it} \alpha_i\} = 0$
  - $E\{\ddot{x}_{it} u_{it}\} = 0, E\{\dot{x}_i u_{it}\} = 0$
  - These conditions are required also for consistency of  $b_B$
- More efficient than the between estimator  $b_B$  and the within estimator  $b_{\text{FE}}$ ; also more efficient than the OLS estimator
- OLS estimator: also a linear combination of between estimator  $b_B$  and within estimator  $b_{\text{FE}}$ , not efficient

# Random Effects Estimator

Calculation of  $b_{GLS}$  from the transformed model

$$y_{it} - \vartheta \bar{y}_i = \beta_0(1 - \vartheta) + (x_{it} - \vartheta \bar{x}_i)' \beta + v_{it}$$

with  $\vartheta = 1 - \psi^{1/2}$ ,  $\psi = \sigma_u^2 / (\sigma_u^2 + T\sigma_a^2)$

- quasi-demeaned  $y_{it} - \vartheta \bar{y}_i$  and  $x_{it} - \vartheta \bar{x}_i$
- $v_{it} \sim \text{IID}(0, \sigma_v^2)$  over units and time

Feasible GLS or EGLS or Balestra-Nerlove estimator

# Balestra-Nerlove Estimator

The model

$$y_{it} - \vartheta \bar{y}_i = \beta_0(1 - \vartheta) + (x_{it} - \vartheta \dot{x}_i)' \beta + v_{it}, \quad v_{it} \sim \text{IID}(0, \sigma_v^2)$$

with  $\vartheta = 1 - \psi^{1/2}$  fulfils Gauss-Markov conditions

Two step estimator:

1. Step 1: Transformation parameter  $\psi$  calculated from (method by Swamy & Arora)

- within estimation:  $s_u^2 = (\sum_i \sum_t \tilde{v}_{it} \tilde{v}_{it}) / [N(T-1)]$
- between estimation:  $s_B^2 = (1/N) \sum_i (\bar{y}_i - b_{0B} - \dot{x}_i' b_B)^2 = s_a^2 + (1/T) s_u^2$
- $s_a^2 = s_B^2 - (1/T) s_u^2$

2. Step 2:

- Calculation of  $d = 1 - [s_u^2 / (s_u^2 + T s_a^2)]^{1/2}$  for parameter  $\vartheta$
- Transformation of  $y_{it}$  and  $x_{it}$  into  $y_{it} - d \bar{y}_i$  and  $x_{it} - d \dot{x}_i$
- OLS estimation gives the random effect estimator  $b_{RE}$  for  $\beta$

# Random Effects Estimator $b_{RE}$ : Properties

EGLS estimator of  $\beta$  from

$$y_{it} - \vartheta \bar{y}_i = \beta_0(1 - \vartheta) + (x_{it} - \vartheta \bar{x}_i)' \beta + v_{it}$$

- Covariance matrix

$$\text{Var}\{b_{RE}\} = \sigma_u^2 [\Sigma_i \Sigma_t \ddot{x}_{it} \ddot{x}_{it}' + \psi T \Sigma_i (\dot{x}_i - \bar{x})(\dot{x}_i - \bar{x})']^{-1}$$

- More efficient than the within estimator  $b_{FE}$  (if  $\psi > 0$ )
- Asymptotically normally distributed under weak conditions

# Wage Equations, 1980-1987

Dependent variable: *wage* (log of hourly wage)

	Between	Fixed Effects	Random Effects	Pooled OLS
Intercept	0.511	1.053	-0.079	0.049
<i>school</i>	0.089***	--	0.100***	0.095***
<i>exper</i>	-0.032	0.118***	0.111***	0.087***
<i>exper2</i>	0.004	-0.004***	-0.004***	-0.003***
<i>union</i>	0.262***	0.082***	0.109***	0.179***
<i>mar</i>	0.184***	0.045**	0.064***	0.126***
<i>black</i>	-0.141***	--	-0.149***	-0.150***
<i>rural</i>	0.188***	0.049*	-0.026	-0.138***
adjR <sup>2</sup> (%)	23.7	56.5	--	19.6

# Contents

- Panel Data
- Pooling Independent Cross-sectional Data
- Panel Data: Pooled OLS Estimation
- Panel Data Models
- Fixed Effects Model
- Fixed Effects Model: More Estimators
- Random Effects Model
- Analysis of Panel Data Models
- Panel Data in **GRETL**



# Summary of Estimators

- Between estimator
- Fixed effects (within) estimator
- Combined estimators
  - OLS estimator
  - Random effects (EGLS) estimator
- First-difference estimator

Estimator		Consistent, if
Between	$b_B$	$x_{it}$ strictly exog, $x_{it}$ and $\alpha_i$ uncorr
Fixed effects	$b_{FE}$	$x_{it}$ strictly exog
OLS	$b$	$x_{it}$ and $\alpha_i$ uncorr, $x_{it}$ and $u_{it}$ contemp. uncorr
Random effects	$b_{RE}$	conditions for $b_B$ and $b_{FE}$ are met
First-difference	$b_{FD}$	$E\{x_{it} - x_{i,t-1}, u_{it} - u_{i,t-1}\} = 0$

# Fixed Effects or Random Effects?

Random effects model

$$E\{y_{it} | x_{it}\} = x_{it}'\beta$$

- Large values  $N$ ; of interest: population characteristics ( $\beta$ ), not characteristics of individual units ( $\alpha_i$ )
- More efficient estimation of  $\beta$ , given adequate specification of the time-constant model characteristics

Fixed effects model

$$E\{y_{it} | x_{it}, \alpha_i\} = x_{it}'\beta + \alpha_i$$

- Of interest: besides population characteristics ( $\beta$ ), also characteristics of individual units ( $\alpha_i$ ), e.g., of countries or companies; rather small values  $N$
- Large values of  $N$ , if  $x_{it}$  and  $\alpha_i$  correlated: estimator  $b_{FE}$  are consistent

# Diagnostic Tools

- Test of common intercept of all units
  - Applied to pooled OLS estimation: Rejection indicates preference for fixed or random effects model
  - Applied to fixed effects estimation: Non-rejection indicates preference for pooled OLS estimation
- Hausman test (of correlation between  $x_{it}$  and  $\alpha_i$ );  $H_0$ :  $x_{it}$  and  $\alpha_i$  are uncorrelated
  - Null-hypothesis implies that GLS estimates are consistent
  - Rejection indicates preference for fixed effects model
- Test of non-constant variance  $\sigma_a^2$ , Breusch-Pagan test;  $H_0$ :  $\sigma_a^2 = 0$ 
  - Rejection indicates preference for fixed or random effects model
  - Non-rejection indicates preference for pooled OLS estimation

# Hausman Test

Tests of correlation between  $x_{it}$  and  $\alpha_i$

$H_0$ :  $x_{it}$  and  $\alpha_i$  are uncorrelated

Test statistic:

$$\xi_H = (b_{FE} - b_{RE})' [\tilde{V}\{b_{FE}\} - \tilde{V}\{b_{RE}\}]^{-1} (b_{FE} - b_{RE})$$

with estimated covariance matrices  $\tilde{V}\{b_{FE}\}$  and  $\tilde{V}\{b_{RE}\}$

- $b_{RE}$ : consistent if  $x_{it}$  and  $\alpha_i$  are uncorrelated
- $b_{FE}$ : consistent also if  $x_{it}$  and  $\alpha_i$  are correlated

Under  $H_0$ :  $\text{plim}(b_{FE} - b_{RE}) = 0$

- $\xi_H$  asymptotically chi-squared distributed with  $K$  d.f.
- $K$ : dimension of  $x_{it}$  and  $\beta$

Hausman test may indicate also other types of misspecification

# Robust Inference

Consequences of heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation of the error terms:

- Standard errors and related tests are incorrect
- Inefficiency of estimators

Robust covariance matrix for estimator  $b$  of  $\beta$  from  $y_{it} = x_{it}'\beta + \varepsilon_{it}$

$$b = (\sum_i \sum_t x_{it} x_{it}')^{-1} \sum_i \sum_t x_{it} y_{it}$$

- Adjustment of covariance matrix similar to Newey-West: assuming uncorrelated error terms for different units ( $E\{\varepsilon_{it} \varepsilon_{js}\} = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$ )

$$V\{b\} = (\sum_i \sum_t x_{it} x_{it}')^{-1} \sum_i \sum_t \sum_s e_{it} e_{is} x_{it} x_{is}' (\sum_i \sum_t x_{it} x_{it}')^{-1}$$

$e_{it}$ : OLS residuals

- Corrects for heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation within units
- Called panel-robust estimate of the covariance matrix

Analogous variants of the Newey-West estimator for robust covariance matrices of random effects and fixed effects estimators

# Testing for Autocorrelation and Heteroskedasticity

Tests for heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation in random effects model error terms

- Computationally cumbersome

Tests based on fixed effects model residuals

- Easier to conduct
- Applicable for testing in both fixed and random effects case

# Test for Autocorrelation

Durbin-Watson test for autocorrelation in the fixed effects model

- Error term  $u_{it} = \rho u_{i,t-1} + v_{it}$ 
  - Same autocorrelation coefficient  $\rho$  for all units
  - $v_{it}$  iid across time and units
- Test of  $H_0: \rho = 0$  against  $\rho > 0$
- Adaptation of Durbin-Watson statistic

$$dw_p = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=2}^T (\hat{u}_{it} - \hat{u}_{i,t-1})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_{it}^2}$$

- Tables with critical limits  $d_U$  and  $d_L$  for  $K$ ,  $T$ , and  $N$ ; e.g., Verbeek's Table 10.1

# Test for Heteroskedasticity

Breusch-Pagan test for heteroskedasticity of fixed effects model error terms

- $V\{u_{it}\} = \sigma^2 h(z_{it}'\gamma)$ ; unknown function  $h(\cdot)$  with  $h(0)=1$ ,  $J$ -vector  $z$
- $H_0: \gamma = 0$ , homoskedastic  $u_{it}$
- Auxiliary regression of squared residuals on intercept and regressors  $z$
- Test statistic:  $N(T-1)$  times  $R^2$  of auxiliary regression
- Chi-squared distribution with  $J$  d.f. under  $H_0$



# Wage Equations, 1980-1987

Fixed effects estimation, standard and HAC standard errors

	Coeff.	s.e.	HAC s.e.	$q$
Intercept	1.053	0.0276	0.0384	1.39
<i>exper</i>	0.118	0.0084	0.0108	1.29
<i>exper2</i>	-0.004	0.0006	0.0007	1.17
<i>union</i>	0.082	0.0193	0.0227	1.18
<i>mar</i>	0.045	0.0183	0.0210	1.15
<i>rural</i>	0.049	0.0290	0.0391	1.35

$q$ : ratio of HAC s.e. to s.e.

# Goodness-of-Fit

Goodness-of-fit measures for panel data models: different from measures for OLS estimated regression models

- Focus may be on within or between variation in the data
- The usual  $R^2$  measure relates to OLS-estimated models

Definition of goodness-of-fit measures: squared correlation coefficients between actual and fitted values

- $R^2_{\text{within}}$ : squared correlation between within time-demeaned actual and fitted  $y_{it}$ ; maximized by within estimator
- $R^2_{\text{between}}$ : based upon individual averages of actual and fitted  $y_{it}$ ; maximized by between estimator
- $R^2_{\text{overall}}$ : squared correlation between actual and fitted  $y_{it}$ ; maximized by OLS

Corresponds to the decomposition

$$[1/TM]\sum_i\sum_t(y_{it} - \bar{y})^2 = [1/TM]\sum_i\sum_t(y_{it} - \bar{y}_i)^2 + [1/M]\sum_i(\bar{y}_i - \bar{y})^2$$

# Goodness-of-Fit, cont'd

Fixed effects estimator  $b_{FE}$

- Explains the within variation
- Maximizes  $R^2_{within}$

$$R^2_{within}(b_{FE}) = \text{corr}^2\{\hat{y}_{it}^{FE} - \hat{y}_i^{FE}, y_{it} - \bar{y}_i\}$$

Between estimator  $b_B$

- Explains the between variation
- Maximizes  $R^2_{between}$

$$R^2_{between}(b_B) = \text{corr}^2\{\hat{y}_i^B, \bar{y}_i\}$$

# Wage Equations, 1980-1987

Dependent variable: *wage* (log of hourly wage)

	Between	F.E.	R.E.	OLS
Intercept	0.511	1.053	-0.079	0.049
<i>school</i>	0.089***	--	0.100***	0.095***
<i>exper</i>	-0.032	0.118***	0.111***	0.087***
<i>exper2</i>	0.004	-0.004***	-0.004***	-0.003***
<i>union</i>	0.262***	0.082***	0.109***	0.179***
<i>mar</i>	0.184***	0.045**	0.064***	0.126***
<i>black</i>	-0.141***	--	-0.149***	-0.150***
<i>rural</i>	0.188***	0.049*	-0.026	-0.138***
overall R <sup>2</sup> (%)	16.07	5.66	18.42	19.70

# Extensions of Panel Data Models

Dynamic linear models

$$y_{it} = x_{it}'\beta + \gamma y_{i,t-1} + \alpha_i + u_{it}, u_{it} \sim \text{IID}(0, \sigma_u^2)$$

- Fixed or random effects  $\alpha_i$
- Complication due to dependence between  $y_{i,t-1}$  and  $\alpha_i$
- GMM estimation

Unit root and cointegration

- Panel data unit root tests
- Panel data cointegration tests

Models for limited dependent variables

- Binary choice models
- Tobit models

Incomplete panels, pseudo panels

# Contents

- Panel Data
- Pooling Independent Cross-sectional Data
- Panel Data: Pooled OLS Estimation
- Panel Data Models
- Fixed Effects Model
- Fixed Effects Model: More Estimators
- Random Effects Model
- Analysis of Panel Data Models
- Panel Data in **GRETL**

# Panel Data and GRET

## Estimation of panel models

### Pooled OLS

- `Model > Ordinary Least Squares ...`
- Special diagnostics on the output window: `Tests > Panel diagnostics`

### Fixed and random effects models

- `Model > Panel > Fixed or random effects...`
- Provide diagnostic tests
  - Fixed effects model: Test for common intercept of all units
  - Random effects model: Breusch-Pagan test, Hausman test

### Further estimation procedures

- Between estimator
- Dynamic panel models
- Instrumental variable panel procedure

# Your Homework

1. Use Verbeek's data set MALES which contains panel data for 545 full-time working males over the period 1980-1987. Estimate a wage equation which explains the individual log wages by the variables years of schooling, years of experience and its squares, and dummy variables for union membership, being married, black, and working in the public sector. Use (i) pooled OLS, (ii) the between and (iii) the within estimator, and (iv) the random effects estimator. Compare the resulting models.