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 In this article, the authors seek the fundamental reasons and explanations for Russia’s decision to declare war and invade Ukraine by analyzing geopolitical and historical background. Here, it is taken into account that Russia and Ukraine have different origins (The Golden Horde and Kyiven Rus respectively). It is considered that Russia has inherited the reigning culture of the khan and the powerlessness of all other people, who are passive and totally dependent on the authorities by their own will (Lipinski, 1913). However, it has always been quite the opposite situation with Ukraine and its different forms of political order throughout history. Russian Empire tried to appropriate the Kyiven Rus’ heritage, and then once again such a strategy was used in the Soviet Union as like in the modern Russian Federation. The researchers consider the so-called Putin’s Munich Speech in 2007 the beginning point of “announcing the intentions to restore Russia’s political influence after the fall of the Soviet Union” (Vyhivska et.al., 2022), after which the invasion of Georgia was conducted. The article’s simplicity is its advantage and drawback at the same time as it is useful for establishing a fundamental understanding of the situation but it is still essential to further research the facts and information.
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 In this article, the authors pay attention to the problem of the historical policy of Russia toward Ukraine and its effects. Mainly, the Russian Federation tends to justify its illegal actions by manipulating and using propaganda. For instance, the key narratives “The Russian world” and “Novorossiya” are used, which state that Ukraine has always been a part of Russia and its territories should belong to the mother country as there is plenty of Russian-speaking population. Moroz, Komysh et.al. (2022) also introduce the definition of the “post-truth” phenomenon when facts and information can be misinterpreted because of the long repetition and the right emotional expression (an example may be Putin’s claim that Ukraine was created by Lenin). A strong part of the academic paper is that the authors give a complex step-by-step possible approach to countering such hybrid attacks and completely solve such a problem by introducing a model strategy.
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 Here, Stănescu (2022) explains the modern tendency to post and share fake news without proper investigation and shows a few examples from the Russian-Ukrainian war, including the "Kyiv Ghost" case, the Bucha massacre and the deep fake of V.Zelensky. The researcher believes in the significance of proper working with this problem as disinformation can now destabilize democracy in any country (Lazer et al., 2018). It is also mentioned that even widely trusted news companies (BBC, CNN, etc.) still may post and share disinformation even without its future refutation. Finally, the author emphasizes the need for a critical and informed approach to the consumption of information in order to counteract the spread of disinformation and propaganda.