

# Cellulographics and the use of dating applications among Gen Z and Millennials

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction.....	3
2. Literature Review.....	4
2.1 Cellulographics .....	4
2.2 Mobile Dating Applications.....	4
2.3 Gen Z and Millennials .....	5
Conclusion .....	7
References.....	8

## 1. Introduction

Generation Z and millennials have changed the way people their age engage and build relationships thanks to the proliferation of cellulographics and mobile dating apps (Mendelson, 2020). This matters because it shows how digital platforms alter communication and connection, illuminating the junction of technology and social behaviour. Understanding the effects on young people is crucial in light of the proliferation of cellulographics and dating applications. This research intends to investigate how members of Generation Z and millennials make use of cellulographics and dating mobile applications, weighing the pros and cons of these innovations along the way. Through an analysis of the relevant literature, this paper argues that the benefits and efficiency of digital tools come with costs to interpersonal connections.

## 2. Literature Review

### 2.1 Cellulographics

Cellulographics is the term for the dissemination of visual content such as photos, movies, and memes via mobile phones and other portable electronic devices. The younger generations, in particular, have made this form of communication pervasive in today's culture. Cellulographics can be a form of communication, entertainment, and socialization (van Dijck, 2013).

The development of cellulographics has had far-reaching effects on the ways people talk to one another and collaborate. It has opened up new channels of connection by facilitating rapid, visual self-expression (Livingstone, 2008). The development of cellulographics has also facilitated communication across vast distances, leading to a new level of immediacy and closeness in human relationships (Ito et al., 2010).

Despite these benefits, there are also several drawbacks to using cellulographics. For example, it could lead to miscommunication because the intended meaning isn't clear from the visual cues (Boyd, 2014). Overuse of cellulographics can also lead to a decline in users' ability to read and respond appropriately to verbal and nonverbal cues in social situations. Public cellulographic use has been linked to a loss of awareness of one's surroundings and an erosion of social bonds (Turkle, 2011).

### 2.2 Mobile Dating Applications

Mobile dating applications, or "dating apps," are websites that facilitate introductions between people who are single and looking for a romantic partner on the go. This pattern emerged after the first dating app, Match.com, was released in 1995. (McLeod, 2019). The industry has subsequently experienced meteoric growth, with innumerable apps catering to every imaginable need and demographic. A few examples of popular dating apps are Tinder, Bumble, and Hinge.

Smartphone dating applications have had a significant impact on the dating landscape and marriage rates. One positive effect of dating apps is that they expand the pool of available people to pick from (Sumter et al., 2017). In addition, users of dating apps can now exercise more discretion in their search for love by narrowing down their options based on factors like age, geography, and shared hobbies.

There are a few downsides to using dating apps on your phone. For instance, putting too much stock in first impressions can cause people to take a more surface-level approach to dating (Finkel et al., 2012). Because of the distance and secrecy provided by dating apps, users may be less than honest in their pursuit of a partner (Toma et al., 2018). In addition, users may experience decision fatigue or a paradox of choice as a result of having so many potential partners to choose from (Alter & Hershfield, 2014).

Mobile dating apps have had a profound effect on the dating scene and the development of romantic partnerships. While they do make it easier to meet people, it's crucial to weigh the pros and negatives, especially when it comes to establishing long-term relationships.

### 2.3 Gen Z and Millennials

Two of today's most visible generations are Generation Z and Millennials. Generation Z consists of those born between 1997 and 2012, while the millennial generation spans the years 1981 to 1996 (Azimi et al., 2021). Both the current and the previous generations can be identified by their individual qualities and attributes.

Growing up with computers and the internet at their fingertips has given members of Generation Z a reputation for being adept with both (Twenge, 2019). Authenticity, variety, and acceptance are highly valued by this demographic, as are societal problems like climate change and social justice (Azimi et al., 2021).

The Millennial generation, on the other hand, is the first to fully mature during the information technology revolution. They prefer to put off major milestones like marriage and buying a house, but they are also noted for their entrepreneurial drive and the quest for work-life balance. Politically, they lean left, and they put more emphasis on growth and change (Twenge, 2019).

Gen Z and millennials, in comparison to older generations, are more inclined to utilize dating applications (Vogels and Anderson, 2020). Nonetheless, they might employ them otherwise. Instagram and Snapchat, for instance, may be used more frequently by members of Generation Z for dating and relationship objectives than more traditional dating applications like Match.com are by millennials.

Moreover, there may be differences in the way the generations approach dating, even though they share a respect for openness and honesty in relationships. While millennials may be more interested in finding a lifelong spouse and starting a family, members of Generation Z may place a higher value on having a wide variety of relationships (Vogels and Anderson, 2020).

Gen Z and millennials are two distinct generations that have come of age during the information technology revolution. They may have some shared interests in cellulographics and mobile dating apps, but they may also have different tastes and perspectives on relationships. Recognizing these variations can help shed light on the ways in which modern technology influences romantic and social connections.

## Conclusion

Cellulographics and the use of mobile dating apps among members of Generation Z and millennials have been covered in this research. The report has characterized these technologies and investigated their effects on socialization, dating customs, and romantic partnerships. It has also covered how Gen Z and millennials differ in ways that influence their technology use, as well as the pros and cons of using these tools. The long-term effects of these technologies on individuals' mental health and well-being, as well as the broader social ramifications of changing dating culture, should be explored in future studies in this field. Gen Z and millennials' use of cellulographics and mobile dating apps is a nuanced and developing phenomenon that warrants ongoing study.

## References

- Alter, A. L., & Hershfield, H. E. (2014). People search for meaning when they approach a new decade in chronological age. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(48), 166-170.
- Azimi, S., Andonova, Y. and Schewe, C. (2021). Closer together or further apart? Values of hero generations Y and Z during crisis. *Young Consumers*, 5(6).
- Boyd, d. (2014). *It's complicated: The social lives of networked teens*. Yale University Press.
- Finkel, E. J., Eastwick, P. W., Karney, B. R., Reis, H. T., & Sprecher, S. (2012). Online dating: A critical analysis from the perspective of psychological science. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 13(1), 3-66.
- Ito, M., Okabe, D., & Matsuda, M. (2010). *Hanging out, messing around, and geeking out: Kids living and learning with new media*. MIT Press.
- Livingstone, S. (2008). Taking risky opportunities in youthful content creation: teenagers' use of social networking sites for intimacy, privacy and self-expression. *New Media & Society*, 10(3), 393-411.
- McLeod, S. (2019). The History of Online Dating From 1695 to Now. Available at: <https://www.huffpost.com/entry/timeline-online-dating-fr b 9228040> [Accessed 24 Apr. 2023]
- Mendelson, S. (2020). Swipe left: Exploring mobile dating practices among young heterosexual women. *Journal of Feminist Scholarship*, 17(1), 26-45.
- Sumter, S. R., Vandenbosch, L., & Ligtenberg, L. (2017). Love Me Tinder: Untangling emerging adults' motivations for using the dating application Tinder. *Telematics and Informatics*, 34(1), 67-78.
- Toma, C. L., Hancock, J. T., & Ellison, N. B. (2018). Separating fact from fiction: An examination of deceptive self-presentation in online dating profiles. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 44(3), 396-408.
- Turkle, S. (2011). *Alone together: Why we expect more from technology and less from each other*. Basic books.



Twenge, J. M. (2019). Why today's super-connected kids are growing up less rebellious, more tolerant, less happy—and completely unprepared for adulthood—and what that means for the rest of us. Atria Books.

Van Dijck, J. (2013). The culture of connectivity: A critical history of social media. Oxford University Press.

Vogels, E. and Anderson, M. (2020). *Dating and Relationships in the Digital Age*. [online] Pew Research Center: Internet, Science & Tech. Available at: <https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2020/05/08/dating-and-relationships-in-the-digital-age/> [Accessed 21 Apr. 2023].