Econometrics - Lecture 5

Endogeneity, Instrumental Variables, IV Estimator

Contents

- OLS Estimator Revisited
- Cases of Regressors Correlated with Error Term
- Instrumental Variables (IV) Estimator: The Concept
- IV Estimator: The Method
- Calculation of the IV Estimator
- An Example
- The GIV Estimator
- Some Tests

OLS Estimator

Linear model for y_t

 $y_i = x_i'\beta + \varepsilon_i, i = 1, ..., N$ (or $y = X\beta + \varepsilon$)

given observations x_{ik} , k = 1, ..., K, of the regressor variables, error term ε_i

OLS estimator

$$b = (\sum_{i} x_{i} x_{i}')^{-1} \sum_{i} x_{i} y_{i} = (X'X)^{-1} X' y$$

From

$$b = (\sum_{i} x_{i} x_{i}')^{-1} \sum_{i} x_{i} y_{i} = (\sum_{i} x_{i} x_{i}')^{-1} \sum_{i} x_{i} x_{i}' \beta + (\sum_{i} x_{i} x_{i}')^{-1} \sum_{i} x_{i} \varepsilon_{i}$$

= $\beta + (\sum_{i} x_{i} x_{i}')^{-1} \sum_{i} x_{i} \varepsilon_{i} = \beta + (X'X)^{-1} X'\varepsilon$

follows

$$E\{b\} = (\Sigma_{i}x_{i} x_{i}')^{-1}\Sigma_{i}x_{i}y_{i} = (\Sigma_{i}x_{i} x_{i}')^{-1}\Sigma_{i}x_{i} x_{i}' \beta + (\Sigma_{i}x_{i} x_{i}')^{-1}\Sigma_{i}x_{i} \varepsilon_{i}$$

= $\beta + (\Sigma_{i}x_{i} x_{i}')^{-1} E\{\Sigma_{i}x_{i} \varepsilon_{i}\} = \beta + (X'X)^{-1} E\{X'\varepsilon\}$

OLS Estimator, cont'd

1. OLS estimator *b* is unbiased if

- (A1) $E\{\epsilon\} = 0$
- $E{\Sigma_i x_i \varepsilon_i} = E{X'\varepsilon} = 0$; is fulfilled if (A7) or a stronger assumption is true
 - (A2) { x_i , i = 1, ..., N} and { ε_i , i = 1, ..., N} are independent; is the strongest assumption
 - (A10) $E{\epsilon|X} = 0$, i.e., X uninformative about $E{\epsilon_i}$ for all *i* (ϵ is conditional mean independent of X); is implied by (A2)
 - (A8) x_i and ε_i are independent for all *i* (no contemporaneous dependence); is less strong than (A2) and (A10)
 - (A7) $E\{x_i \varepsilon_i\} = 0$ for all *i* (no contemporaneous correlation); is even less strong than (A8)

OLS Estimator, cont'd

2. OLS estimator *b* is consistent for β if

- (A8) x_i and ε_i are independent for all *i*
- (A6) (1/N)Σ_i x_i x_i' has as limit (N→∞) a nonsingular matrix Σ_{xx}
 (A8) can be substituted by (A7) [E{x_i ε_i} = 0 for all *i*, no contemporaneous correlation]
- 3. OLS estimator *b* is asymptotically normally distributed if (A6), (A8) and
 - (A11) ε_i~ IID(0,σ²) are true;
 - for large N, b follows approximately the normal distribution b ~_a N{β, σ²(Σ_i x_i x_i')⁻¹}
 - Use White and Newey-West estimators for V{b} in case of heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation of error terms, respectively

Assumption (A7): $E\{x_i \varepsilon_i\} = 0$ for all *i*

Implication of (A7): for all *i*, each of the regressors is uncorrelated with the current error term, no contemporaneous correlation

- Stronger assumptions (A2), (A10), (A8) have same consequences
- (A7) guaranties unbiasedness and consistency of the OLS estimator
- In reality, (A7) is not always true: alternative estimation procedures are required for ascertaining consistency and unbiasedness

Examples of situations with $E\{x_i \in i\} \neq 0$:

- Regressors with measurement errors
- Regression on the lagged dependent variable with autocorrelated error terms (dynamic regression)
- Endogeneity of regressors
- Simultaneity

Contents

OLS Estimator Revisited

- Cases of Regressors Correlated with Error Term
- Instrumental Variables (IV) Estimator: The Concept
- IV Estimator: The Method
- Calculation of the IV Estimator
- An Example
- The GIV Estimator
- Some Tests

Regressor with Measurement Error

 $y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w_i + v_i$

with white noise v_i , $V\{v_i\} = \sigma_v^2$, and $E\{v_i|w_i\} = 0$; conditional expectation of y_i given $w_i : E\{y_i|w_i\} = \beta_1 + \beta_2 w_i$

Example: w_i: household income, y_i: household savings
 Measurement process: reported household income x_i, may deviate from household income w_i

 $x_i = w_i + u_i$

where u_i is (i) white noise with V{ u_i } = σ_u^2 , (ii) independent of v_i , and (iii) independent of w_i

The model to be analyzed is

 $y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_i + \varepsilon_i$ with $\varepsilon_i = v_i - \beta_2 u_i$

- $E\{x_i \epsilon_i\} = -\beta_2 \sigma_u^2 \neq 0$: requirement for consistency and unbiasedness is violated
- x_i and ε_i are negatively (positively) correlated if $\beta_2 > 0$ ($\beta_2 < 0$)

Measurement Error, cont'd

Inconsistency of b_2

plim
$$b_2 = \beta_2 + E\{x_i \ \varepsilon_i\} / V\{x_i\}$$
$$= \beta_2 \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\sigma_w^2 + \sigma_u^2}\right)$$

 β_2 is underestimated

Inconsistency of b_1

plim $(b_1 - \beta_1) = -$ plim $(b_2 - \beta_2) \in \{x_i\}$

given $E{x_i} > 0$ for the reported income: β_1 is overestimated; inconsistency "carries over"

The model does not correspond to the conditional expectation of y_i given x_i :

 $E\{y_i|x_i\} = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_i - \beta_2 E\{u_i|x_i\} \neq \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_i$ as $E\{u_i|x_i\} \neq 0$

Dynamic Regression

Allows to model dynamic effects of changes of x on y:

 $y_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_t + \beta_3 y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$ OLS estimators are consistent if $E\{x_t \ \varepsilon_t\} = 0$ and $E\{y_{t-1} \ \varepsilon_t\} = 0$ AR(1) model for ε_{t} :

 $\varepsilon_{t} = \rho \varepsilon_{t-1} + V_{t}$ $v_{\rm t}$ white noise with $\sigma_{\rm v}^2$ From $y_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_t + \beta_3 y_{t-1} + \rho \varepsilon_{t-1} + v_t$ follows $E\{y_{t-1}\varepsilon_t\} = \beta_3 E\{y_{t-2}\varepsilon_t\} + \rho^2 \sigma_v^2 (1 - \rho^2)^{-1}$ i.e., y_{t-1} is correlated with ε_t OLS estimators not consistent The model does not correspond to the conditional expectation of y_{t} given the regressors x_t and y_{t-1} :

 $\mathsf{E}\{y_{t}|x_{t}, y_{t-1}\} = \beta_{1} + \beta_{2}x_{t} + \beta_{3}y_{t-1} + \mathsf{E}\{\varepsilon_{t}|x_{t}, y_{t-1}\}$

Omission of Relevant Regressors

Two models:

$$y_{i} = x_{i}^{`}\beta + z_{i}^{'}\gamma + \varepsilon_{i}$$
(A)
$$y_{i} = x_{i}^{`}\beta + v_{i}$$
(B)

True model (A), fitted model (B)

OLS estimates b_B of β from (B)

$$b_B = \beta + \left(\sum_i x_i x_i'\right)^{-1} \sum_i x_i z_i' \gamma + \left(\sum_i x_i x_i'\right)^{-1} \sum_i x_i \varepsilon_i$$

- Omitted variable bias: $E\{(\Sigma_i x_i x_i')^{-1} \Sigma_i x_i z_i'\}\gamma = E\{(X'X)^{-1} X'Z\}\gamma$
- No bias if (a) γ = 0 or if (b) variables in x_i and z_i are uncorrelated (orthogonal)
- OLS estimators are biased, if relevant regressors are omitted that are non-orthogonal, i.e., correlated with regressors in x_i

Unobserved Heterogeneity

Example: Wage equation with y_i : log wage, x_{1i} : personal characteristics, x_{2i} : years of schooling, u_i : abilities (unobservable) $y_i = x_{1i} \beta_1 + x_{2i} \beta_2 + u_i \gamma + v_i$ Model for analysis (unobserved u_i covered in error term) $y_i = x_i^{\,i}\beta + \varepsilon_i$ with $x_i = (x_{1i}, x_{2i}), \beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2), \epsilon_i = u_i \gamma + v_i$ • Given $E\{x_i | v_i\} = 0$ plim $b = \beta + \Sigma_{xx}^{-1} E\{x_i u_i\} \gamma$ • OLS estimators b are inconsistent if x_i and u_i are correlated ($\gamma \neq 0$), e.g., if higher abilities induce more years at school: estimator for β_2 might be overestimated, hence effects of years at school etc. are overestimated: "ability bias"

Unobserved heterogeneity: observational units differ in other aspects than the ones that are observable

Endogenous Regressors

Regressors correlated with error term: $E{X^{t}\varepsilon} \neq 0$; are called endogenous

- Endogeneity bias
- For many economic applications relevant
- OLS estimators $b = \beta + (X^{L}X)^{-1}X^{L}\varepsilon$
 - □ $E{b} \neq \beta$, *b* is biased; bias $E{(X^{L}X)^{-1}X^{L}\varepsilon}$ difficult to assess
 - $\Box \quad \text{plim } b = \beta + \Sigma_{xx}^{-1}q \text{ with } q = \text{plim}(N^{-1}X^{\epsilon}\varepsilon)$
 - For q = 0 (regressors and error term asymptotically uncorrelated), OLS estimators b are consistent also in case of endogenous regressors
 - For $q \neq 0$ (error term and at least one regressor asymptotically correlated): plim $b \neq \beta$, the OLS estimators b are not consistent

Exogenous regressors: with error term uncorrelated, all nonendogenous regressors

Consumption Function

AWM data base, 1970:1-2003:4 C: private consumption (PCR), growth rate p.y. Y: disposable income of households (PYR), growth rate p.y. $C_{t} = \beta_{1} + \beta_{2}Y_{t} + \varepsilon_{t}$ (A) β_2 : marginal propensity to consume, $0 < \beta_2 < 1$ OLS estimates: $\hat{C}_{t} = 0.011 + 0.718 Y_{t}$ with t = 15.55, $R^2 = 0.65$, DW = 0.50I_t: per capita investment (exogenous, $E\{I_t \epsilon_t\} = 0$) $Y_{t} = C_{t} + I_{t}$ (B) Both Y_t and C_t are endogenous: $E\{C_t \varepsilon_t\} = E\{Y_t \varepsilon_t\} = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2(1 - \beta_2)^{-1}$ The regressor Y_t has an impact on C_t ; at the same time C_t has an impact on Y_{t}

Simultaneous Equation Models

Illustrated by the preceding consumption function:

- Variables Y_t and C_t are simultaneously determined by equations (A) and (B)
- Equations (A) and (B) are the structural equations or the structural form of the simultaneous equation model that describes both Y_t and C_t
- The coefficients β_1 and β_2 are behavioral parameters
- Reduced form of the model: one equation for each of the endogenous variables C_t and Y_t, with only the exogenous variable I_t as regressor

The OLS estimators are biased and inconsistent

Consumption Function, cont'd

Reduced form of the model:

$$C_{t} = \frac{\beta_{1}}{1 - \beta_{2}} + \frac{\beta_{2}}{1 - \beta_{2}}I_{t} + \frac{1}{1 - \beta_{2}}\varepsilon_{t}$$
$$Y_{t} = \frac{\beta_{1}}{1 - \beta_{2}} + \frac{1}{1 - \beta_{2}}I_{t} + \frac{1}{1 - \beta_{2}}\varepsilon_{t}$$

 OLS estimator b₂ from (A) is inconsistent; E{Y_t ε_t} ≠ 0 plim b₂ = β₂ + Cov{Y_t ε_t} / V{Y_t} = β₂ + (1 − β₂) σ_ε²(V{I_t} + σ_ε²)⁻¹ for 0 < β₂ < 1, b₂ overestimates β₂

• The OLS estimator b_1 is also inconsistent

Contents

- OLS Estimator Revisited
- Cases of Regressors Correlated with Error Term
- Instrumental Variables (IV) Estimator: The Concept
- IV Estimator: The Method
- Calculation of the IV Estimator
- An Example
- The GIV Estimator
- Some Tests

An Alternative Estimator

Model

 $y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_i + \varepsilon_i$

with E{ $\varepsilon_i x_i$ } $\neq 0$, i.e., endogenous regressor x_i : OLS estimators are biased and inconsistent

Instrumental variable z_i satisfying

- 1. Exogeneity: $E\{\epsilon_i z_i\} = 0$: is uncorrelated with error term
- 2. Relevance: $Cov{x_i, z_i} \neq 0$: is correlated with endogenous regressor

Transformation of model equation

$$\operatorname{Cov}\{y_{i}, z_{i}\} = \beta_{2} \operatorname{Cov}\{x_{i}, z_{i}\} + \operatorname{Cov}\{\varepsilon_{i}, z_{i}\}$$

gives

$$\beta_2 = \frac{Cov\{y_i, z_i\}}{Cov\{x_i, z_i\}}$$

IV Estimator for β_2

Substitution of sample moments for covariances gives the instrumental variables (IV) estimator

$$\hat{\beta}_{2,IV} = \frac{\sum_{i} (z_i - \overline{z})(y_i - \overline{y})}{\sum_{i} (z_i - \overline{z})(x_i - \overline{x})}$$

- Consistent estimator for β_2 given that the instrumental variable z_i is valid , i.e., it is
 - Exogenous, i.e. $E\{\varepsilon_i z_i\} = 0$
 - □ Relevant, i.e. $Cov{x_i, z_i} \neq 0$
- Typically, nothing can be said about the bias of an IV estimator; small sample properties are unknown
- Coincides with OLS estimator for $z_i = x_i$

Consumption Function, cont'd

Alternative model: $C_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 Y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$

- Y_{t-1} and ε_t are certainly uncorrelated; avoids risk of inconsistency due to correlated Y_t and ε_t
- Y_{t-1} is certainly highly correlated with Y_t , is almost as good as regressor as Y_t

Fitted model:

 $\hat{C} = 0.012 + 0.660 Y_{-1}$

with t = 12.86, $R^2 = 0.56$, DW = 0.79 (instead of $\hat{C} = 0.011 + 0.718$ y with t = 15.55, $R^2 = 0.65$, DW = 0.50)

Deterioration of *t*-statistic and R² are price for improvement of the estimator

IV Estimator: The Concept

Alternative to OLS estimator

Avoids inconsistency in case of endogenous regressors
 Idea of the IV estimator:

- Replace regressors which are correlated with error terms by regressors
 - which are uncorrelated with the error terms
 - which are (highly) correlated with the regressors that are to be replaced

and use OLS estimation

The hope is that the IV estimator is consistent (and less biased) than the OLS estimator

Price: Deteriorated model fit as measured by, e.g., *t*-statistic, R²

Contents

- OLS Estimator Revisited
- Cases of Regressors Correlated with Error Term
- Instrumental Variables (IV) Estimator: The Concept
- IV Estimator: The Method
- Calculation of the IV Estimator
- An Example
- The GIV Estimator
- Some Tests

IV Estimator: General Case

The model is

 $y_i = x_i^{`}\beta + \varepsilon_i$ with V{ ε_i } = σ_{ε}^2 and E{ $\varepsilon_i x_i$ } $\neq 0$

• at least one component of x_i is correlated with the error term The vector of instruments z_i (with the same dimension as x_i) fulfills

 $\mathsf{E}\{\varepsilon_{\mathsf{i}} \, z_{\mathsf{i}}\} = 0$

IV estimator based on the instruments z_i

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{IV} = \left(\sum_{i} z_{i} x_{i}'\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i} z_{i} y_{i}\right)$$

IV Estimator: General Case, cont'd

The (asymptotic) covariance matrix of is given by

$$V\left\{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{IV}\right\} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\left[\left(\sum_{i} x_{i} z_{i}'\right)\left(\sum_{i} z_{i} z_{i}'\right)^{-1}\left(\sum_{i} z_{i} x_{i}'\right)\right]^{-1}$$

In the estimated covariance matrix, σ^2 is substituted by

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \left(y_i - x'_i \hat{\beta}_{IV} \right)$$

which is based on the IV residuals $y_i - x_i' \beta_{IV}$

The asymptotic distribution of IV estimators, given IID(0, σ_{ϵ}^2) error terms, leads to the approximate distribution

 $N(\hat{\beta}, \hat{V}\{\hat{\beta}_{IV}\})$ with the estimated covariance matrix $\hat{V}\{\hat{\beta}_{IV}\}$

Derivation of the IV Estimator

The model is

 $y_i = x_i^{\dagger}\beta + \varepsilon_t = x_{0i}^{\dagger}\beta_0 + \beta_{k}x_{ki} + \varepsilon_i$ with $x_{0i} = (x_{1i}, \dots, x_{K-1,i})$ ' containing the first K-1 components of x_i , and $E\{\varepsilon_i x_{0i}\} = 0$ *K*-the component is endogenous: $E\{\varepsilon_i | x_{ki}\} \neq 0$ The instrumental variable z_{κ_i} fulfills $\mathsf{E}\{\varepsilon_{i} | z_{\kappa i}\} = 0$ Moment conditions: K conditions to be satisfied by the coefficients, the K-th condition with z_{ki} instead of x_{ki} : $\mathsf{E}\{\varepsilon_{i} x_{0i}\} = \mathsf{E}\{(y_{i} - x_{0i} \beta_{0} - \beta_{\kappa} x_{\kappa i}) x_{0i}\} = 0 \quad (K-1 \text{ conditions})$ $\mathsf{E}\{\varepsilon_{i} z_{i}\} = \mathsf{E}\{(y_{i} - x_{0i} \beta_{0} - \beta_{\kappa} x_{\kappa i}) z_{\kappa i}\} = 0$ Number of conditions – and corresponding linear equations –

equals the number of coefficients to be estimated

Derivation of the IV Estimator,

The system of linear equations for the K coefficients β to be estimated can be uniquely solved for the coefficients β : the coefficients β are said "to be identified"

To derive the IV estimators from the moment conditions, the expectations are replaced by sample averages

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i}(y_{i} - x_{i}'\hat{\beta}_{IV})x_{ki} = 0, k = 1,...,K-1$$

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i}(y_{i}-x_{i}'\hat{\beta}_{IV})z_{Ki}=0$$

The solution of the linear equation system – with $z_i' = (x_{0i}', z_{Ki}) - is$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{IV} = \left(\sum_{i} z_{i} x_{i}'\right)^{-1} \sum_{i} z_{i} y_{i}$$

Identification requires that the KxK matrix $\Sigma_i z_i x_i'$ is finite and invertible; instrument z_{Ki} is relevant when this is fulfilled

Contents

- OLS Estimator Revisited
- Cases of Regressors Correlated with Error Term
- Instrumental Variables (IV) Estimator: The Concept
- IV Estimator: The Method
- Calculation of the IV Estimator
- An Example
- The GIV Estimator
- Some Tests

Calculation of the IV Estimator

The model in matrix notation,

$$y = X\beta + \varepsilon$$

The IV estimator

$$\hat{\beta}_{IV} = \left(\sum_{i} z_{i} x_{i}'\right)^{-1} \sum_{i} z_{i} y_{i} = (Z'X)^{-1} Z'y$$

with z_i obtained from x_i by substituting instrumental variable(s) for all endogenous regressors

Calculation in two steps:

- 1. Regression of the explanatory variables $x_1, ..., x_K$ including the endogenous ones on the columns of *Z*: fitted values $\hat{X} = Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'X$
- 2. Regression of *y* on the fitted explanatory variables:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{IV} = (\hat{X}'\hat{X})^{-1}\hat{X}'y$$

Calculation of the IV Estimator,

Remarks:

- The *K*x*K* matrix $Z'X = \Sigma_i z_i x_i'$ is required to be finite and invertible
- From

$$\hat{\beta}_{IV} = (\hat{X}'\hat{X})^{-1}\hat{X}'y = (X'Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'X)^{-1}X'Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'y$$

 $= (Z'X)^{-1}Z'Z(X'Z)^{-1}X'Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'y = (Z'X)^{-1}Z'y$

it is obvious that the estimator obtained in the second step is the IV estimator

- However, the estimator obtained in the second step is more general; see below
- In GRETL: The sequence of buttons "Model > Instrumental variables > Two-Stage Least Squares…" leads to the specification window with boxes (i) for the independent variables and (ii) for the instruments

Choice of Instrumental Variables

Instrumental variable are required to be

- exogenous, i.e., uncorrelated with the error terms
- relevant, i.e., correlated with the endogenous regressors
 Instruments
- must be based on subject matter arguments, e.g., arguments from economic theory
- should be explained and motivated
- must show a significant effect in explaining an endogenous regressor
- Choice of instruments often not easy

Regression of endogenous variables on instruments

- Best linear approximation of endogenous variables
- Economic interpretation not of importance and interest

Contents

- OLS Estimator Revisited
- Cases of Regressors Correlated with Error Term
- Instrumental Variables (IV) Estimator: The Concept
- IV Estimator: The Method
- Calculation of the IV Estimator
- An Example
- The GIV Estimator
- Some Tests

Example: Returns to Schooling

Human capital earnings function:

 $w_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 S_i + \beta_3 E_i + \beta_4 E_i^2 + \varepsilon_i$

with w_i : log of individual earnings, S_i : years of schooling, E_i : years of experience ($E_i = age_i - S_i - 6$)

Empirically, more education implies higher income

Question: Is this effect causal?

- If yes, one year more at school increases wage by β_2
- Otherwise, abilities may cause higher income and also more years at school; more years at school do not increase wage
 Issue of substantial attention in literature

Returns to Schooling

Wage equation: besides S_i and E_i , additional explanatory variables like gender, regional, racial dummies

Model for analysis:

 $w_i = \beta_1 + z_i'\gamma + \beta_2 S_i + \beta_3 E_i + \beta_4 E_i^2 + \varepsilon_i$

 z_i : observable variables besides E_i , S_i

- z_i is assumed to be exogenous, i.e., $E\{z_i \epsilon_i\} = 0$
- S_i may be endogenous, i.e., $E\{S_i \varepsilon_i\} \neq 0$
 - Ability bias: unobservable factors like intelligence, family background, etc. enable to more schooling and higher earnings
 - Measurement error in measuring schooling
 - Etc.
- With S_i , also $E_i = age_i S_i 6$ and E_i^2 are endogenous
- OLS estimators may be inconsistent

Returns to Schooling: Data

- Verbeek's data set "schooling"
- National Longitudinal Survey of Young Men (Card, 1995)
- Data from 3010 males, survey 1976
- Individual characteristics, incl. experience, race, region, family background etc.
- Human capital function

 $\log(wage_i) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 ed_i + \beta_3 exp_i + \beta_3 exp_i^2 + \varepsilon_i$

with ed_i : years of schooling (S_i) , exp_i : years of experience (E_i)

 Further explanatory variables: *black*: dummy for afro-american, smsa: dummy for living in metropolitan area, south: dummy for living in the south

OLS Estimation

OLS estimated wage function : Output from **GRETL**

Model 2: OLS, using observations 1-3010 Dependent variable: I_WAGE76

۴	Koeffizient	Stdfehler	t-Quotient	P-Wert
const ED76 EXP76 EXP762 BLACK SMSA76 SOUTH76	4.73366 0.0740090 0.0835958 -0.00224088 -0.189632 0.161423 -0.124862	0.0676026 0.00350544 0.00664779 0.000317840 0.0176266 0.0155733 0.0151182	70.02 21.11 12.57 -7.050 -10.76 10.37 -8.259	0.0000 *** 2.28e-092 *** 2.22e-035 *** 2.21e-012 *** 1.64e-026 *** 9.27e-025 *** 2.18e-016 ***
Mean dependent var Sum squared resid R-squared F(6, 3003) Log-likelihood Schwarz criterion		6.261832S.D. dependence420.4760S.E. of rest0.290505Adjusted204.9318P-value(F-1308.702Akaike cr2673.471Hannan-C	gression R-squared) iterion	0.443798 0.374191 0.289088 1.5e-219 2631.403 2646.532

Instruments for S_i , E_i , E_i^2

Potential instrumental variables

- Factors which affect schooling but are uncorrelated with error terms, in particular with unobserved abilities that are determining wage
- For years of schooling (S_i)
 - Costs of schooling, e.g., distance to school (*lived near college*), number of siblings
 - Parents' education
 - Quarter of birth
- For years of experience (E_i, E_i^2) : age is natural candidate

Step 1 of IV Estimation

Model for *schooling* (*ed76*), gives predicted values *ed76_h*, from **GRETL**

Model 3: OLS, using observations 1-3010 Dependent variable: ED76						
coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value			
 const -1.81870	4.28974	-0.4240	0.6716			
AGE76 1.05881	0.300843	3.519	0.0004 ***			
sq_AGE76 -0.0187266	0.00522162	-3.586	0.0003 ***			
BLACK -1.46842	0.115245	-12.74	2.96e-036 ***			
SMSA76 0.841142	0.105841	7.947	2.67e-015 ***			
SOUTH76 -0.429925	0.102575	-4.191	2.85e-05 ***			
NEARC4A 0.441082	0.0966588	4.563	5.24e-06 ***			
Mean dependent var	13.26346 S.D. o	dependent var	2.676913			
Sum squared resid	18941.85 S.E. o	of regression	2.511502			
R-squared	0.121520 Adjus	ted R-squared	0.119765			
F(6, 3003)	69.23419 P-valu	ue(F)	5.49e-81			
Log-likelihood	-7039.353 Akaik	e criterion	14092.71			
Schwarz criterion	14134.77 Hann	an-Quinn	14107.83			

Step 2 of IV Estimation

Wage equation, estimated by IV with instruments age, age², and nearc4a

Model 4: OLS, using observations 1-3010 Dependent variable: I_WAGE76						
coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value			
const 3.69771	0.435332	8.494	3.09e-017 ***			
ED76_h 0.164248	0.036887	4.453	8.79e-06 ***			
EXP76_h 0.044588	0.022502	1.981	0.0476 **			
EXP762_h -0.000195	0.001152	-0.169	0.8655			
BLACK -0.057333	0.056772	-1.010	0.3126			
SMSA76 0.079372	0. 037116	2.138	0.0326 **			
SOUTH76 -0.083698	0.022985	-3.641	0.0003 ***			
Mean dependent var	6.261832	S.D. dependent var	0.443798			
Sum squared resid	446.8056	S.E. of regression	0.385728			
R-squared	0.246078	Adjusted R-squared	0.244572			
F(6, 3003)	163.3618	P-value(F)	4.4e-180			
Log-likelihood	-1516.471	Akaike criterion	3046.943			
Schwarz criterion	3089.011	Hannan-Quinn	3062.072			

GRETL's TSLS Estimation

Wage equation, estimated by IV: Output from GRETL

Model 8: TSLS, using observations 1-3010 Dependent variable: I_WAGE76 Instrumented: ED76 EXP76 EXP762 Instruments: const AGE76 sq_AGE76 BLACK SMSA76 SOUTH76 NEARC4A

coefficient	std. error	t-ratio	p-value
const 3.69771	0.495136	7.468	8.14e-014 ***
ED76 0.164248	0.0419547	3.915	9.04e-05 ***
EXP76 0.0445878	0.0255932	1.742	0.0815 *
EXP762 -0.00019526	0.0013110	-0.1489	0.8816
BLACK -0.0573333	0.0645713	-0.8879	0.3746
SMSA76 0.0793715	0.0422150	1.880	0.0601 *
SOUTH76 -0.0836975	0.0261426	-3.202	0.0014 ***
Mean dependent var	6.261832	S.D. dependent var	0.443798
Sum squared resid	577.9991	S.E. of regression	0.438718
R-squared	0.195884	Adjusted R-squared	0.194277
F(6, 3003)	126.2821	P-value(F)	8.9e-143

Returns to Schooling: Summary of Estimates

Estimated regression coefficients and *t*-statistics

	OLS	IV ¹⁾	TSLS ¹⁾	IV (M.V.)
ed76	0.0740	0.1642	0.1642	0.1329
	21.11	4.45	3.92	2.59
exp76	0.0836	0.0445	0.0446	0.0560
	12.75	1.98	1.74	2.15
exp762	-0.0022	-0.0002	-0.0002	-0.0008
	-7.05	-0.17	-0.15	-0.59
black	-0.1896	-0.0573	-0.0573	-0.1031
	-10.76	-1.01	-0.89	-1.33

¹⁾ The model differs from that used by Verbeek

Some Comments

Instrumental variables (age, age², nearc4a)

- are relevant, i.e., have explanatory power for ed76, exp76, exp76²
- Whether they are exogenous, i.e., uncorrelated with the error terms, is not answered
- Test for exogeneity of regressors: Wu-Hausman test

Estimates of ed76-coefficient:

- IV estimate: 0.13, i.e., 13% higher wage for one additional year of schooling; nearly the double of the OLS estimate (0.07); not in line with "ability bias" argument!
- s.e. of IV estimate (0.04) much higher than s.e. of OLS estimate (0.004)
- Loss of efficiency especially in case of weak instruments: R² of model for ed76: 0.12; Corr{ed76, ed76_h} = 0,35

Contents

- OLS Estimator Revisited
- Cases of Regressors Correlated with Error Term
- Instrumental Variables (IV) Estimator: The Concept
- IV Estimator: The Method
- Calculation of the IV Estimator
- An Example
- The GIV Estimator
- Some Tests

From OLS to IV Estimation

Linear model $y_i = x_i^{\beta} + \varepsilon_i$

OLS estimator: solution of the K normal equations

 $1/N \Sigma_i(y_i - x_i^{\,i}\beta) x_i = 0$

Corresponding moment conditions

 $\mathsf{E}\{\varepsilon_i | x_i\} = \mathsf{E}\{(y_i - x_i;\beta) | x_i\} = 0$

IV estimator given R instrumental variables z_i which may overlap with x_i: based on the R moment conditions

 $\mathsf{E}\{\varepsilon_i \ z_i\} = \mathsf{E}\{(y_i - x_i^{\,i}\beta) \ z_i\} = 0$

 IV estimator: solution of corresponding sample moment conditions

Number of Instruments

Moment conditions

 $\mathsf{E}\{\varepsilon_i \ z_i\} = \mathsf{E}\{(y_i - x_i^{\,i}\beta) \ z_i\} = 0$

one equation for each component of z_i

z_i possibly overlapping with x_i

General case: R moment conditions

Substitution of expectations by sample averages gives *R* equations

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i}(y_{i}-x_{i}^{\prime}\hat{\beta}_{IV})z_{i}=0$$

- 1. R = K: one unique solution, the IV estimator; identified model $\hat{\beta}_{IV} = \left(\sum_{i} z_i x'_i\right)^{-1} \sum_{i} z_i y_i = (Z'X)^{-1} Z' y$
- 2. R < K: infinite number of solutions, not enough instruments for a unique solution; under-identified or not identified model

The GIV Estimator

- 3. R > K: more instruments than necessary for identification; overidentified model
- For R > K, in general, no unique solution of all R sample moment conditions can be obtained; instead:
- the weighted quadratic form in the sample moments

$$Q_N(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \left[\frac{1}{N}\sum_i (y_i - x'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}) z_i\right]' W_N\left[\frac{1}{N}\sum_i (y_i - x'_i \boldsymbol{\beta}) z_i\right]$$

with a RxR positive definite weighting matrix W_N is minimized

gives the generalized instrumental variable (GIV) estimator

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{IV} = (X'ZW_N Z'X)^{-1} X'ZW_N Z'y$$

The GIV Estimator, cont'd

The weighting matrix $W_{\rm N}$

- Different weighting matrices result in different consistent GIV estimators with different covariance matrices
- For R = K, the matrix Z'X is square and invertible; the IV estimator is (Z'X)⁻¹Z'y for any W_N
- Optimal choice for W_N ?

GIV and TSLS Estimator

Optimal weighting matrix: $W_N^{opt} = [1/N(Z'Z)]^{-1}$; corresponds to the most efficient IV estimator

 $\hat{\beta}_{IV} = (X'Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'X)^{-1}X'Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'y$

- If the error terms are heteroskedastic or autocorrelated, the optimal weighting matrix has to be adapted
- Regression of each regressor, i.e., each column of X, on Z results in $\hat{X} = Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'X$ and

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{IV} = (\hat{X}'\hat{X})^{-1}\hat{X}'y$

- This explains why the GIV estimator is also called "two stage least squares" (TSLS) estimator:
 - 1. First step: regress each column of *X* on *Z*
 - 2. Second step: regress *y* on predictions of *X*

GIV Estimator and Properties

- GIV estimator is consistent
- The asymptotic distribution of the GIV estimator, given IID(0, σ_{ϵ}^2) error terms, leads to the approximate distribution

 $N\left(oldsymbol{eta}, \hat{V}\{\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}_{IV}\}
ight)$

The (asymptotic) covariance matrix of is given by

$$V\left\{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{IV}\right\} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\left[\left(\sum_{i} x_{i} z_{i}'\right)\left(\sum_{i} z_{i} z_{i}'\right)^{-1}\left(\sum_{i} z_{i} x_{i}'\right)\right]^{-1}$$

In the estimated covariance matrix, σ^2 is substituted by $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i (y_i - x'_i \hat{\beta}_{IV})^2$

the estimate based on the IV residuals $y_i - x_i'\hat{\beta}_{IV}$

Contents

- OLS Estimator Revisited
- Cases of Regressors Correlated with Error Term
- Instrumental Variables (IV) Estimator: The Concept
- IV Estimator: The Method
- Calculation of the IV Estimator
- An Example
- The GIV Estimator
- Some Tests

Some Tests

For testing

- Endogeneity of regressors: Wu-Hausman test or Durbin-Wu-Hausman test
- Relevance of potential instrumental variables: over-identifying restrictions test or Sargan test
- Weak instruments: Cragg-Donald test

Wu-Hausman Test

For testing whether one or more regressors are endogenous (correlated with the error term)

Based on the assumption that the instrumental variables are valid; i.e., given that $E\{\varepsilon_i z_i\} = 0$, the null hypothesis, $E\{\varepsilon_i x_i\} = 0$, can be tested

The idea of the test:

- Under the null hypothesis, both the OLS and IV estimator are consistent; they should differ by sampling errors only
- Rejection of the null hypothesis indicates inconsistency of the OLS estimator

Wu-Hausman Test, cont'd

Based on the (squared) difference between OLS- and IV-estimators Added variable interpretation of the Wu-Hausman test: checks whether the residuals *v*_i from the reduced form equation of potentially endogenous regressors contribute to explaining

 $y_i = x_{1i}'\beta_1 + x_{2i}\beta_2 + v_i\gamma + \varepsilon_i$

- v_i: residuals from reduced form equation for x₂ (predicted values for x₂: x₂ + v)
- $H_0: \gamma = 0$; corresponds to: x_2 is exogenous

For testing H₀: use of

- *t*-test, if γ has one component, x_2 is just one regressor
- *F*-test, if more than 1 regressors are tested for exogeneity

Wu-Hausman Test, cont'd

Remarks

- Test requires valid instruments
- Test has little power if instruments are weak or invalid
- Test can be used to test whether additional instruments are valid

Sargan Test

For testing whether the instruments are valid

The validity of the instruments z_i requires that all moment conditions are fulfilled; for the *R*-vector z_i , the *R* sums

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i}e_{i}z_{i}=0$$

must be close to zero

Test statistic

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} = N \boldsymbol{Q}_N(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{IV}) = \left(\sum_i e_i \boldsymbol{z}_i\right)' \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}^2 \sum_i z_i \boldsymbol{z}_i'\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_i e_i \boldsymbol{z}_i\right)$$

has under the null hypothesis an asymptotic Chi-squared distribution with R-K df

Calculation of ξ : $\xi = NR_e^2$ using R_e^2 form the auxiliary regression of IV residuals $e_i = y_i - x_i' \hat{\beta}_{IV}$ on the instruments z_i

Sargan Test, cont'd

Remarks

- Only *R*-*K* of the *R* moment conditions are "free"; in case of an identified model (*R* = *K*), all *R* moment conditions are fulfilled
- The test is also called *over-identifying restrictions test*
- Rejection implies: the joint validity of all moment conditions and hence of all instruments is not acceptable
- The Sargan test gives no indication of invalid instruments
- Test whether a subset of R-R₁ instruments is valid; R₁ (>K) instruments are out of doubt:
 - Calculate ξ for all *R* moment conditions
 - Calculate ξ_1 for the R_1 moment conditions
 - Under H₀, ξ ξ_1 has a Chi-squared distribution with *R*-*R*₁ df

Cragg-Donald Test

Weak (only marginally valid) instruments:

- Biased estimates
- Inconsistent IV estimates
- Inappropriate large-sample approximations to the finite-sample distributions even for large N
- Definition of weak instruments: estimates are biased to an extent that is unacceptably large
- Null hypothesis: instruments are weak, i.e., can lead to an asymptotic relative bias greater than some value *b*

Your Homework

 Use the data set "schooling" of Verbeek for the following analyses based on the wage equation

 $\log(wage76) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 ed76 + \beta_3 exp76 + \beta_4 exp762$

+ β_5 black + β_6 smsa76 + β_7 south76 + β_8 nearc4 + ϵ

- a. Estimate the reduced form for *ed76*, including *daded* and *momed* (i) with and (ii) without *nearc4*; assess the validity of the potential instruments; what indicate the correlation coefficients?
- b. Estimate the wage equation, using the instruments age, age², daded, and momed (i) with and (ii) without nearc4; interpret the results including the test for validity and the Sargan test.
- c. Compare the estimates for β_2 (i) from the model in b., (ii) from the model with instruments *age*, *age*², and *nearc4*, (iii) from the GRETL Instrumental variables (Two-Stage Least Squares ...) procedure, and (iv) with the OLS estimates.

Your Homework, cont'd

2. For the model for consumption and income (slide 14 ff):

a. Show that both y_t and x_t are endogenous:

 $\mathsf{E}\{y_i \ \varepsilon_i\} = \mathsf{E}\{x_i \ \varepsilon_i\} = \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}(1-\beta_2)^{-1}$

b. Derive the reduced form of the model