# LECTURE 7

#### Introduction to Econometrics

# Nonlinear specifications and dummy variables

November 1, 2016

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ (~ 1/25)

## ON THE PREVIOUS LECTURE

- We showed how restrictions are incorporated in regression models
- We explained the idea of the *F*-test
- ► We defined the notion of the overall significance of a regression
- We introduced the measure or the goodness of fit  $R^2$
- ► We showed how the *F*-test and the *R*<sup>2</sup> are related

# ON TODAY'S LECTURE

 We will discuss different specifications nonlinear in dependent and independent variables and their interpretation

► We will define the notion of a dummy variable and we will show its different uses in linear regression models

# NONLINEAR SPECIFICATION

- There is not always a linear relationship between dependent variable and explanatory variables
  - The use of OLS requires that the equation be linear in coefficients
  - However, there is a wide variety of functional forms that are linear in coefficients while being nonlinear in variables!
- We have to choose carefully the functional form of the relationship between the dependent variable and each explanatory variable
  - The choice of a functional form should be based on the underlying economic theory and/or intuition
  - Do we expect a curve instead of a straight line? Does the effect of a variable peak at some point and then start to decline?

#### LINEAR FORM

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \varepsilon$$

Assumes that the effect of the explanatory variable on the dependent variable is constant:

$$rac{\partial y}{\partial x_k} = eta_k \qquad \qquad k = 1,2$$

- Interpretation: if x<sub>k</sub> increases by 1 unit (in which x<sub>k</sub> is measured), then y will change by β<sub>k</sub> units (in which y is measured)
- Linear form is used as default functional form until strong evidence that it is inappropriate is found

#### Double-log form

 $\ln y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln x_1 + \beta_2 \ln x_2 + \varepsilon$ 

Assumes that the elasticity of the dependent variable with respect to the explanatory variable is constant:

$$\frac{\partial \ln y}{\partial \ln x_k} = \frac{\partial y/y}{\partial x_k/x_k} = \beta_k \qquad \qquad k = 1,2$$

- Interpretation: if x<sub>k</sub> increases by 1 percent, then y will change by β<sub>k</sub> percents
- Before using a double-log model, make sure that there are no negative or zero observations in the data set

# EXAMPLE

Estimating the production function of Indian sugar industry:

$$\widehat{\ln Q} = 2.70 + \begin{array}{c} 0.59 \\ (0.14) \end{array} \ln L + \begin{array}{c} 0.33 \\ (0.17) \end{array} \ln K$$

- Q ... output L ... labor K ... capital employed
- ► Interpretation: if we increase the amount of labor by 1%, the production of sugar will increase by 0.59%, ceteris paribus.
- Ceteris paribus is a Latin phrase meaning 'other things being equal'.

#### SEMILOG FORMS

► Linear-log form:

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln x_1 + \beta_2 \ln x_2 + \varepsilon$$

- ► Interpretation: if x<sub>k</sub> increases by 1 percent, then y will change by (β<sub>k</sub>/100) units (k = 1, 2)
- ► Log-linear form:

$$\ln y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \varepsilon$$

 Interpretation: if x<sub>k</sub> increases by 1 unit, then y will change by (β<sub>k</sub> \* 100) percent (k = 1, 2)

#### EXAMPLES OF SEMILOG FORMS

• Estimating demand for chicken meat:

$$\widehat{Y} = -6.94 - \underbrace{0.57}_{(0.19)} \frac{PC}{PC} + \underbrace{0.25}_{(0.11)} \frac{PB}{PB} + \underbrace{12.2}_{(2.81)} \ln YD$$

- Y ... annual chicken consumption (kg.)
- PC ... price of chicken
- *PB* ... price of beef
- YD ... annual disposable income
- Interpretation: An increase in the annual disposable income by 1% increases chicken consumption by 0.12 kg per year, ceteris paribus.

## EXAMPLES OF SEMILOG FORMS

 Estimating the influence of education and experience on wages:

$$\widehat{n wage} = 0.217 + \begin{array}{c} 0.098 \ educ + \ 0.010 \ exper \\ (0.008) \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} 0.010 \ exper \\ (0.002) \end{array}$$

educ	• • •	years of education
exper		years of experience

 Interpretation: An increase in education by one year increases annual wage by 9.8%, ceteris paribus. An increase in experience by one year increases annual wage by 1%, ceteris paribus.

#### POLYNOMIAL FORM

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_1^2 + \varepsilon$$

► To determine the effect of x<sub>1</sub> on y, we need to calculate the derivative:

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x_1} = \beta_1 + 2 \cdot \beta_2 \cdot x_1$$

- Clearly, the effect of x<sub>1</sub> on y is not constant, but changes with the level of x<sub>1</sub>
- We might also have higher order polynomials, e.g.:

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_1^2 + \beta_3 x_1^3 + \beta_4 x_1^4 + \varepsilon$$

#### EXAMPLE OF POLYNOMIAL FORM

The impact of the number of hours of studying on the grade from Introductory Econometrics:

$$\widehat{grade} = 30 + 1.4 \cdot hours - 0.009 \cdot hours^2$$

To determine the effect of hours on grade, calculate the derivative:

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial grade}{\partial hours} = 1.4 - 2 \cdot 0.009 \cdot hours = 1.4 - 0.018 \cdot hours$$

 Decreasing returns to hours of studying: more hours implies higher grade, but the positive effect of additional hour of studying decreases with more hours

#### CHOICE OF CORRECT FUNCTIONAL FORM

- The functional form has to be correctly specified in order to avoid biased and inconsistent estimates
  - Remember that one of the OLS assumptions is that the model is correctly specified
- Ideally: the specification is given by underlying theory of the equation
- In reality: underlying theory does not give precise functional form
- In most cases, either linear form is adequate, or common sense will point out an easy choice from among the alternatives

# CHOICE OF CORRECT FUNCTIONAL FORM

- Nonlinearity of explanatory variables
  - often approximated by polynomial form
  - missing higher powers of a variable can be detected as omitted variables (see next lecture)
- Nonlinearity of dependent variable
  - harder to detect based on statistical fit of the regression
  - ► *R*<sup>2</sup> is incomparable across models where the *y* is transformed
  - dependent variables are often transformed to log-form in order to make their distribution closer to the normal distribution

#### DUMMY VARIABLES

- Dummy variable takes on the values of 0 or 1, depending on a qualitative attribute
- Examples of dummy variables:

$$Male = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the person is male} \\ 0 & \text{if the person is female} \end{cases}$$
$$Weekend = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the day is on weekend} \\ 0 & \text{if the day is a work day} \end{cases}$$

*NewStadium* = 
$$\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the team plays on new stadium} \\ 0 & \text{if the team plays on old stadium} \end{cases}$$

#### INTERCEPT DUMMY

- Dummy variable included in a regression alone (not interacted with other variables) is an intercept dummy
- It changes the intercept for the subset of data defined by a dummy variable condition:

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 D_i + \beta_2 x_i + \varepsilon_i$$

where

$$D_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the } i\text{-th observation meets a particular condition} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We have

$$y_i = (\beta_0 + \beta_1) + \beta_2 x_i + \varepsilon_i \text{ if } D_i = 1$$
  

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_2 x_i + \varepsilon_i \text{ if } D_i = 0$$

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ (~ 16 / 25)

# INTERCEPT DUMMY



#### EXAMPLE

Estimating the determinants of wages:

$$\widehat{wage_i} = -3.890 + \begin{array}{c} 2.156 \\ (0.270) \end{array} M_i + \begin{array}{c} 0.603 \\ (0.051) \end{array} educ_i + \begin{array}{c} 0.010 \\ (0.064) \end{array}$$

where 
$$M_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the } i\text{-th person is male} \\ 0 & \text{if the } i\text{-th person is female} \end{cases}$$
  
*wage* ... average hourly wage in USD

 Interpretation of the dummy variable M: men earn on average \$2.156 per hour more than women, ceteris paribus

## SLOPE DUMMY

- ► If a dummy variable is interacted with another variable (*x*), it is a slope dummy.
- ► It changes the relationship between *x* and *y* for a subset of data defined by a dummy variable condition:

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \beta_2 (x_i \cdot D_i) + \varepsilon_i$$

where

$$D_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the } i\text{-th observation meets a particular condition} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We have

$$y_i = \beta_0 + (\beta_1 + \beta_2)x_i + \varepsilon_i \text{ if } D_i = 1$$
  

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \varepsilon_i \text{ if } D_i = 0$$

<□ ト < □ ト < □ ト < 亘 ト < 亘 ト < 亘 ト < 亘 の Q (~ 19 / 25)

# Slope dummy



#### EXAMPLE

• Estimating the determinants of wages:

 $\widehat{wage_i} = -2.620 + \begin{array}{c} 0.450 \ educ_i + \ 0.170 \ M_i \cdot educ_i + \ 0.010 \ exper_i \\ (0.054) \ (0.021) \ (0.065) \end{array}$ 

where 
$$M_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the } i\text{-th person is male} \\ 0 & \text{if the } i\text{-th person is female} \end{cases}$$
  
*wage* ... average hourly wage in USD

 Interpretation: men gain on average 17 cents per hour more than women for each additional year of education, ceteris paribus

#### SLOPE AND INTERCEPT DUMMIES

 Allow both for different slope and intercept for two subsets of data distinguished by a qualitative condition:

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 D_i + \beta_2 x_i + \beta_3 (x_i \cdot D_i) + \varepsilon_i$$

where

 $D_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the } i\text{-th observation meets a particular condition} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

► We have

$$y_i = (\beta_0 + \beta_1) + (\beta_2 + \beta_3)x_i + \varepsilon_i \text{ if } D_i = 1$$
  

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_2 x_i + \varepsilon_i \text{ if } D_i = 0$$

SLOPE AND INTERCEPT DUMMIES



<□ ト < @ ト < 注 ト < 注 ト 注 の Q (~ 23 / 25

#### DUMMY VARIABLES - EXTENSION

- ► What if a variable defines three or more qualitative attributes?
- Example: level of education elementary school, high school, and college
- Define and use a set of dummy variables:

$$H = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if high school} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad C = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if college} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Should we include also a third dummy in the regression, which is equal to 1 for people with elementary education?
  - ► No, unless we exclude the intercept!
  - Using full set of dummies leads to perfect multicollinearity (dummy variable trap, see next lectures)

# SUMMARY

- ► We discussed different nonlinear specifications of a regression equation and their interpretation
- We defined the concept of a dummy variable and we showed its use
- ► Further readings:
  - Studenmund, Chapter 7
  - Wooldridge, Chapters 6 & 7