

WELL-QUASI-ORDERING (WQO)

GT 6.0

DEF: WQO is binary relation transitive, reflexive and

for every infinite seq x_1, x_2, \dots there exist $i < j$ st.

$x_i \leq x_j$. Then (x_i, x_j) is a good pair.

PROP: \leq is WQO iff there is no inf. antichain, nor inf. strictly decreasing chain.

Proof: Let x_1, x_2, \dots be an infinite sequence having no good pair.

Colour the pair (i, j) if $i < j$ & $x_i > x_j$ RED otherwise BLUE.

By Ramsey Theorem there exists an infinite subsequence i_0, i_1, i_2, \dots

with all pair of the same colour.

Then x_{i_0}, x_{i_1}, \dots is inf. antichain or strictly decreasing.

Def: For WQO \leq , a set X is downward-closed (lower ideal) if $\forall x \in X$ and $\forall y \leq x : y \in X$.

Prop: If \leq is WQO, then for every down-closed X there is a finite forbidden set Z , such that

$$\forall y : y \notin X \Leftrightarrow \exists z \in Z : y \geq z$$

Proof (but) obstacles infinite many changings - updating \Rightarrow infinite dec. seq
- enlarging \Rightarrow inf. antichain

add & name obsolete

DEF: ordering for sets: $A \leq B$ if there is inj $f: A \rightarrow B$

st. $\forall a \in A: f(a) \geq a$.

PROP: If \leq is WQO (on elements), then also all finite subsets are WQO by \leq .

Proof: Select "minimal" bad sequence A_0, A_1, A_2, \dots
(ie. not having good pair) such that $\forall i \geq 0$,
 $|A_i|$ is minimal among all bad seq. starting with
 A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{i-1} . Now choose arb. $a_n \in A_n$, $n=0, 1, \dots$

By WQO there is a inf increasing chain

$a_{n_0} \leq a_{n_2} \leq \dots$, and set $B_i = A_{n_i} \setminus \{a_{n_i}\}$.

The seq $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, B_0, B_1, \dots$

has $|B_0| < |A_{n_0}|$ and hence it has a good pair

which act. is $B_i \leq B_j$. But $a_{n_i} \leq a_{n_j}$,
and hence $A_{n_i} \leq A_{n_j}$, contr. □

THM: ~~...~~

Trees are WQO by topological minor.

Proof: similar to previous one.

We make each tree rooted (anyhow), and define $T_x \leq T_x'$
if a is subdivision of T_x has isomorphism subgr. in T_x' preserving the
direction "anyway from root".

Again, we choose a "min" bad sequence T_0, T_1, T_2, \dots st. $\forall i \geq 0$ height(T_i)
is minimal possible among all bad seq. starting $T_0, T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{i-1}$.

Let \mathcal{D} be the set of all r. trees obtained from T_i by deleting the root.
Then \mathcal{D} is WQO: Let W_0, W_1, \dots be seq in \mathcal{D} , and W_0 be branch of T_n .
The seq $T_0, \dots, T_{n-1}, W_0, W_1, \dots$ is not bad, since height(W_0) $\leq h(T_n)$

So there is a good pair, $W_i \leq W_j$.

But then also all finite subsets of D are WQO and the trees T_i are actually finite sets of their branches from D , and so we get $T_i \leq T_j$.
 ... a contradiction \Downarrow □

Look to $w \leq y \rightarrow$ choose assignment.
~~syllabus~~