

## **Personal Summary**

### *1. Personality test*

I was already familiar with this task, I did this test on a SeSLab Seminar. Redoing was still interesting, checking if my assessment was correct and stable was useful. I agree with the idea that it is always good to know yourself and act on it. You cannot evade communication problems, but you can at least understand them and solve them better, if you know, that people are really different and you also know how they are different. First time I did this test I was quite excited about it and tested many of my friends and got some nice results, so if I hadn't already done the test, I would welcome it with open arms. But as I said, it wasn't superfluous the second time either. I learned mainly what my type can do, I realized my capabilities more specifically and tried to act on it.

### *2. Finding area of being useful*

This part was interesting, because our team got together. I was looking forward to being assigned to unknown people, because I already had a stable project partner on some seminars and I wanted to learn something new and a change like this is the best opportunity (this also answers one of your further questions about team composition). At first, we grasped the task differently, we were feeling, that we should concentrate on our personal traits and how can they directly be useful and not on a specific business case. So first I felt a bit confused, but we jumped on the right wave really fast and worked well on our new idea.

This task was definitely not useless, it promotes creativity, need of some market research and team building. All of these activities are important in everyday business, so I liked it. I have learnt, that I need to have specified and clear goals, so that I do not waste resources on good work with no final effect. I am not sure, if the task should be specified in a better way, because most of the other teams got it well.

### *3. Describing area by the means of BMC*

I have already encountered Osterwalder's methodology at the PPPM lectures, it was an optional part of a value proposition assignment. I was glad to improve my business modeling knowledge and most importantly, refresh it with newer sources. And get an explanation and more specific tasks. It was a good and needed practice for me. This task has reaffirmed my positive opinion on importance of looking on ideas and problems from different and bigger perspectives.

### *4. Doing a financial analysis*

This task left me feeling uneasy. I lack the experience to provide specific numbers, so I had to work with somewhat-informed guesses. We discussed this during the seminar, and you provided us with as many helpful suggestions as you could. I took from it, that if I were to do it with real plans of doing some business, really rigorous work on financial prediction is needed, so that I can persuade myself and also any possible investors of my future success. And didn't find this task useless, because I always find providing some financial estimates the hardest thing to do in projects like this.

*What else have you learned?*

One of the strongest Šaha-effects÷ was when I thought about us missing deadlines. I was disappointed a bit that I did not remember what I firstly came across in a book by Peter F. Drucker, that it is essential to assign specific people to specific tasks and set dates. We did not do that everytime and than the work became naturally less manageable and it was more chaotic, which led to nothing good, in most cases to loss of valuable feedback.

*What are your contributions to the team work?*

At the beginning, we assigned our team roles according to the personality types, so we agreed on me being the team leader (two inventors). I was responsible for communicating with you, getting the work together, polishing and submitting it.

But I also contributed to the creative parts, we were doing tasks mainly together and during brainstorming every one of us added some useful thoughts.

*Was it easy for you to write down this summarization?*

Yes. Or maybe I could say it was not too hard. It has a few reasons. I do like writing in English and try never to miss an opportunity to practice. I also frequently think about successes and failures in my work / studies / daily life and take down notes, to reflect on the ideas later with some distance. I had the structure and the probable content of this text in my mind for a longer time and the only hard part is my more-than-I-would-appreciate-failing time- and self-management.