Threads II: Atomic Operations PB173 Programming in Modern C++

Nikola Beneš, Vladimír Štill, Jiří Weiser

Faculty of Informatics, Masaryk University

spring 2016

- atomic operations \blacksquare
- memory barriers п
- libaray: std::atomic, std::atomic_flag
- **lack-free programming**

"A need to execute an operation containing more CPU instructions."

use a mutex to guard to shared piece of code

could be) expensive

I (depends on the implementation)

context switching

How can we implement a mutex?

How can we implement a mutex? Through the system call.

How can we implement a mutex? Through the system call. Oh, wait. . . How can we implement this feature in the OS?

How can we implement a mutex?

Through the system call.

Oh, wait. . . How can we implement this feature in the OS?

- **parallel architectures have to provide low level synchronization** primitives
	- special instructions in the native assembler
	- **n** (sometimes) adopted by higher languages
- pre-C $++11$: only compiler-specific interface to those primitives
- C_{++} 11 standard defines common interface across platforms

Special (micro) instructions preventing both compiler and processor from reordering memory accesses.

- \blacksquare function calls to different compilation unit prevents compiler to reorder reads and writes
- volatile modifier prevents compiler from reordering accesses to volatile objects relatively to each other
- **u** two different approaches to memory barriers
	- acquire semantics
	- release semantics

Read access tagged with acquire semantics causes that no other read operation placed **after** the tagged access can be executed before the tagged access.

The access to sharedZ variable cannot occur before the access to sharedY variable.

```
int x = sharedX;
int y = sharedY; // this is tagged access
int z = sharedZ;
```
Write access tagged with release semantics causes that no other write operation places **before** the tagged access can be executed after the tagged access. By the time of tagged accessing, every write access which happened before is visible.

The access to sharedX variable cannot occur after the access to sharedY variable. Any other thread can see new values in both sharedX and sharedY.

```
sharedX = x:
sharedY = y; // this is tagged access
sharedZ = z;
```
...and Mutexes

mutex lock

acquire semantics

mutex unlock

m. release semantics

u wait on conditional variable

 \blacksquare both acquire and release semantics

notify on conditional variable

 $C++$ standard does not specify

POSIX says it has release semantics $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

Memory Ordering in $C_{++}11$

for barriers and atomic operations

relaxed

no ordering, just atomic operation

- acquire
- release
- \blacksquare release-acquire
	- combines together
	- \blacksquare for compound operations (increment, exchange)
- sequence semantics
	- release-acquire $+$ total ordering
	- default ordering
	- **recommended approach** $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

STD – Atomic

std::atomic_flag

- standard guarantees atomicity
- **two operations**
	- test and set
		- **assings true, returns the previous value**

reset

assigns false

std::atomic<T>

- could use lock (std::atomic_flag)
- **usually really atomic for primitive types**
- wide palette of atomic operations

```
struct SpinLock {
    SpinLock() { _flag.clear(); }
    ~SpinLock() {
        assert( ! flag.test_and_set() );
    }
    void lock() {
        while( flag.test and set() );
    }
    void unlock() { _flag.clear(); }
private:
    std::atomic_flag _flag;
};
```
STD – Atomic Pick a Seat

```
S *mySeat = new ...;
for ( std::atomic< S * > &seat : row ) {
    S *expected = nullptr;
    if (seat.compare_exchange_strong(expected, mySeat)) {
        // we can sit down
        break;
    }
    while ( expected->power() < mySeat->power() ) {
        // kick him off
        if ( seat.compare_exchange_strong( expected,
                                            mySeat ) )
            break;
    }
}
```
Lock-Free Programming

- \blacksquare the previous algorithm
- **Consists of**
	- **E** exchanges operations
	- compare and swap operations
	- cycles m.
- \blacksquare "algorithm is *lock-free* if there is guaranteed system-wide progress"
	- spin lock breaks this condition (deadlock)
- \blacksquare "algorithm is *wait-free* if there is also guaranteed per-thread progress"

Lock-Free Programming

- \blacksquare the previous algorithm
- consists of
	- **E** exchanges operations
	- compare and swap operations
	- cycles
- \blacksquare "algorithm is *lock-free* if there is guaranteed system-wide progress"
	- spin lock breaks this condition (deadlock)
- \blacksquare "algorithm is *wait-free* if there is also guaranteed per-thread progress"
- Wanna know more?
	- **Join the Paradise lab.**
- \blacksquare implement a simple lock-free queue
- do not use mutexes, just atomic operations $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$
- use algorithm from this paper: [http://www.cs.rochester.](http://www.cs.rochester.edu/~scott/papers/1996_PODC_queues.pdf) [edu/~scott/papers/1996_PODC_queues.pdf](http://www.cs.rochester.edu/~scott/papers/1996_PODC_queues.pdf)