

PV204 Security technologies



Trusted element, side channels attacks



Petr Švenda svenda@fi.muni.cz

Faculty of Informatics, Masaryk University

CRCS

Centre for Research on
Cryptography and Security

The masterplan for this lab

- Implementation of modular exponentiation (RSA)
 1. Understand naïve and square&multiply algorithm
 - Toy example with integers (32 bits)
 2. Understand how to measure operation (clock())
 - Pre-prepared functions – console or file output
 - Visualization of multiple measurements (R, <http://plot.ly>)
 - What can be inferred from measurements
 3. Use large datatype MPI instead of int ($> 10^2$ bits)
 4. Understand blinding as protection technique
 5. Homework

Naïve vs. square and multiply algorithm

- SideChannelExercise.zip source code from IS
- Inspect naïve and square&multiply algorithm
 - Limited to integers (unsigned long) for simplicity
- Measure timings
 - Pre-prepared measurement functions
 - `measureExponentiation()`
 - `clock()` used for measurement (1ms granularity)
- Identify dependency of algorithm on secret value

Naïve modular exponentiation algorithm

```
typedef unsigned long ULONG;  
const int ULONG_LENGTH = sizeof(ULONG);
```

```
ULONG naiveExponentiation(ULONG message, ULONG exponent, ULONG modulus) {  
    ULONG result = message;  
    for (int i = 1; i < exponent; i++) {  
        // result = (result * message) % modulus; // this may cause type overflow  
        result *= message;  
        result %= modulus;  
    }  
  
    return result;  
}
```

- What is disadvantage of this algorithm?
- Is algorithm vulnerable to timing side-channel?
- Is algorithm vulnerable to another side-channel?

```

typedef unsigned long ULONG;
const int ULONG_LENGTH = sizeof(ULONG);

```

```

ULONG squareAndMultiply(ULONG message, ULONG exponent, ULONG modulus) {
    // Obtain effective length of exponent in bits
    int sizeExponent = ULONG_LENGTH;
    ULONG mask = 1;
    ULONG bit = 0;
    for (int i = 0; i < ULONG_LENGTH * 8; i++) {
        bit = exponent & mask;
        if (bit != 0) { sizeExponent = i + 1; }
        mask <<= 1;
    }
    // Compute square and multiply algorithm
    ULONG result = 1;
    for (int i = sizeExponent - 1; i >= 0; i--) {
        //result = (result * result) % modulus; // this may cause type overflow
        result *= result;
        result %= modulus;
        if ((exponent & (1 << i)) != 0) { // given bit is not 0
            // result = (result * message) % modulus; // this may cause type overflow
            result *= message;
            result %= modulus;
        }
    }
    return result;
}

```

Square&multiply algorithm

- Pre-prepared function squareAndMultiply()
- What is advantage of this algorithm?
- Is algorithm vulnerable to timing side-channel?
- Which part of code is dependent on secret value?
- `measureExponentiation(65535, 65535, 10000003L, SQUAREANDMULTIPLY);`
 - What is the time required to complete operation?
 - What are implication for attacker's ability to mount timing attack?
- How to mask dependency on secret exponent?
- Is int (ULONG) enough for cryptographic security?

Big integers (MPI from PolarSSL)

- 32 bits are not enough, 4096 is recommended
 - No native type in C/C++, use PolarSSL's MPI

```

void squareAndMultiplyMPI(const mpi* message, const mpi* exponent, const mpi* modulus,
                          mpi* result) {
    // Obtain length of exponent in bits
    int sizeExponent = 0;
    int maxBitLength = mpi_size(exponent) * 8;
    for (int i = 0; i < maxBitLength; i++) {
        if (mpi_get_bit(exponent, i) != 0) { sizeExponent = i + 1; }
    }
    // Compute square and multiply algorithm
    mpi_lset(result, 1);
    for (int i = sizeExponent - 1; i >= 0; i--) {
        mpi_mul_mpi(result, result, result); // result *= result;
        mpi_mod_mpi(result, result, modulus); // result %= modulus;
        if (mpi_get_bit(exponent, i) != 0) { // given bit is not 0
            mpi_mul_mpi(result, result, message);
            mpi_mod_mpi(result, result, modulus);
        }
    }
}

```

Create large pseudo-random MPI

```
mpi message; mpi_init(&message);
mpi exponent; mpi_init(&exponent);
mpi modulus; mpi_init(&modulus);

// Cryptographically large number (2048b)
const int NUMBER_SIZE = 256;
// Init with pseudorandom values (prng will always start with same value)
mpi_fill_random(&message, NUMBER_SIZE, generateRNG, NULL);
mpi_fill_random(&exponent, NUMBER_SIZE, generateRNG, NULL);
mpi_fill_random(&modulus, NUMBER_SIZE, generateRNG, NULL);
// Fix MSb and LSb of modulus to 1
modulus.p[0] |= 1; mpi_set_bit(&modulus, 1, 1);

measureExponentiationMPI(&message, &exponent, &modulus, SQUAREANDMULTIPLY);
```


Measure times with MPI

- Operation with large MPI can be measured
 - 100s-1000s ms
- Visualize histogram of multiple measurements
 - Pre-prepared measurements functions with file output
 - `measureExponentiationRepeat()`
 - <https://plot.ly> (Histogram, Traces->Range/bins 1)
- Try repeated measurement with same data
- Try repeated measurement with different data
- Are measured times constant? Why?

Fix: Blinding

- Create `squareAndMultiplyBlindedMPI()` as improved version of `squareAndMultiplyMPI()`
 1. Generate random value r and compute $r^e \bmod N$
 2. Compute blinded ciphertext $b = c * r^e \bmod N$
 3. Decrypt b and then divide result by r

$$(r^e \cdot c)^d \cdot r^{-1} \bmod n = r^{ed} \cdot r^{-1} \cdot c^d \bmod n = r \cdot r^{-1} \cdot c^d \bmod n = m.$$

Homework

- Finalize implementation of blinding of argument with MPI
- Create unit tests that will verify identical functionality
 - `squareAndMultiplyMPI` and `squareAndMultiplyBlindedMPI`
- Perform analysis of blinded and non-blinded version
 - Timing results for 1000 measurements
 - Visualized histograms
 - Scenario 1: Same data
 - Scenario 2: Same exponent, low hamming weight of data
 - Scenario 3: Same exponent, high hamming weight of data
 - Scenario 4: Low/high hw exponent and random data
- Discuss the difference observed
- Discuss feasibility of attack against non-blinded version

Homework – what to submit

- Source code of your blinded operation
- Test showing that it computes correctly
- 2 pages of text and figures
 - Visualized measurements (histograms, 4 scenarios)
 - Discussion of difference observed
 - Discussing of feasibility of attack against blinded/non-blinded implementation
- Submit **before 4.3. 6:00am** into IS HW vault