

**1) Use forms of the words below with the suffix *-(t)ion* to complete the sentences below.**

*false, demonstrate, destruct, obligate, hesitate, found, compete, redeem, recognize, exhaust, protect, extinct, starve, communicate,*

1. Pollution could lead to climate changes and \_\_\_\_\_.
2. The \_\_\_\_\_ against oil rigs in Arctic is not at its peak yet.
3. He suffered from \_\_\_\_\_ after the long run.
4. Wars in Africa do not help in dealing with \_\_\_\_\_.
5. \_\_\_\_\_ is a healthy basis of every social interaction.
6. There was a slight \_\_\_\_\_ in his voice.
7. Prosopagnosia is disorder where facial \_\_\_\_\_ ability is damaged.
8. Anger and fighting breed only \_\_\_\_\_.
9. Have you read \_\_\_\_\_ from Isaac Asimov?
10. There probably exists a piece of art which is a \_\_\_\_\_ and we don't even know it.
11. Humans as a kind should feel moral \_\_\_\_\_ to learn first aid.
12. Every radical political movement had a way for world's \_\_\_\_\_ if they just killed everyone who stands in the way.
13. There is a cheese chasing \_\_\_\_\_ in England, where cheese is rolling down the hill.
14. Law \_\_\_\_\_ was sworn by the police.

**2) Complete the text by changing the form of the word in capitals**

Politics on TV

I love watching (1) \_\_\_\_\_ (DISCUSS) programs, and I love politics, so you'd think I'd enjoy watching (2) \_\_\_\_\_ (POLITICS) being interviewed on TV. But I don't. All too often, (3) \_\_\_\_\_ (JOURNAL) ask them the most (4) \_\_\_\_\_ (RIDICULE) questions, and, when they do get an interesting question, I sit there watching in (5) \_\_\_\_\_ (BELIEVE) as some of the most (6) \_\_\_\_\_ (POWER) people in the country give totally (7) \_\_\_\_\_ (CONVINCE) responses. It's as if they don't care whether their reply is (8) \_\_\_\_\_ (BELIEVE) or not. Often, they're very poor (9) \_\_\_\_\_ (COMMUNICATE), and they're frequently even more (10) \_\_\_\_\_ (INFORM) about key issues than I am. I don't expect them to be particularly (11) \_\_\_\_\_ (HUMOR) – they are serious people, after all – but at least they could say something interesting (12) \_\_\_\_\_ (OCCASION). It makes me want to stand for election myself!

**3) Rewrite as reported questions, beginning with the words given.**

- 1) "Have you had your ear pierced?"  
My mum asked me if \_\_\_\_\_
- 2) "Can I meet you there at six o'clock tonight, Doug?"  
Julian wanted to know whether \_\_\_\_\_
- 3) "Are you still moving to Blackpool next week?"  
Fiona asked Rod and Jenny whether \_\_\_\_\_

- 4) "Does Graham have to wear a suit to work?"  
I asked Ms. Daley if Graham \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) "Do you want someone to feed your cat while you're away?"  
Jan asked me if \_\_\_\_\_
- 6) "Can you guess what I've given Lindsay for her birthday?"  
Daisy asked Wendy if \_\_\_\_\_
- 7) "Do you love me or not, Gloria?"  
Fred asked Gloria whether \_\_\_\_\_
- 8) "Will Simon be coming to the party tomorrow night?"  
Adrian wondered whether \_\_\_\_\_
- 9) "Tell me if you were anywhere near 34 Aylesford Street last night!"  
The police officer demanded to know whether Sykes \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**4) Look at the following thoughts of Stephen Hawking on the future of AI and complete the text using forms of the words given.**

Looking further ahead, there are no fundamental limits to what can be (ACHIEVEMENT) \_\_\_\_\_ : there is no physical law precluding particles from being (ORGANIZATION) \_\_\_\_\_ in ways that perform even more advanced (COMPUTE) \_\_\_\_\_ than the arrangements of particles in human brains. An explosive (TRANSIT) \_\_\_\_\_ is possible, although it might play out differently from the sci-fi movie *Transcendence* starring Johnny Depp: as Irving Good realized in 1965, machines with superhuman intelligence could (REPEAT) \_\_\_\_\_ improve their design even further, triggering what Vernor Vinge called a "singularity" and Johnny Depp's movie character calls "transcendence".

One can imagine such technology outsmarting (FINANCE) \_\_\_\_\_ markets, out-inventing human researchers, out-manipulating human leaders, and developing weapons we cannot even understand. Whereas the short-term impact of AI (DEPENDENCE) \_\_\_\_\_ on who controls it, the long-term impact depends on whether it can be controlled at all.

So, facing possible futures of incalculable benefits and risks, the experts are surely doing everything possible to ensure the best outcome, right? Wrong. If a superior alien (CIVILIZE) \_\_\_\_\_ sent us a message saying, "We'll arrive in a few decades," would we just reply, "OK, call us when you get here – we'll leave the lights on"? Probably not – but this is more or less what is happening with AI. Although we are facing potentially the best or worst thing to happen to humanity in history, little serious research is (DEVOTION) \_\_\_\_\_ to these issues outside non-profit (INSTITUTIONALIZE) \_\_\_\_\_ such as the Cambridge Centre for the Study of (EXISTENCE) \_\_\_\_\_ Risk, the Future of Humanity Institute, the Machine Intelligence Research Institute, and the Future of Life Institute. All of us should ask ourselves what we can do now to improve the chances of reaping the benefits and (AVOIDANCE) \_\_\_\_\_ the risks.

**5) Look at the text one more time. Do you agree or disagree? Discuss in groups.**