

Seminar 2

Algorithm 1 (Soundex Code)

Transformation of a string to a 4-character soundex code

1. Keep the first character
2. Rewrite $\{A, E, I, O, U, H, W, Y\}$ to 0
3. Rewrite characters
 - (a) $\{B, F, P, V\}$ to 1
 - (b) $\{C, G, J, K, Q, S, X, Z\}$ to 2
 - (c) $\{D, T\}$ to 3
 - (d) $\{L\}$ to 4
 - (e) $\{M, N\}$ to 5
 - (f) $\{R\}$ to 6
4. Remove duplicities
5. Remove zeros
6. Change to length 4 (truncate or add trailing zeros)

Algorithm 2 (Querying in Permuterm Index)

For query q , find keys according to the following scheme:

- for $q = X$, find keys in the form $X\$$
- for $q = X^*$, find keys in the form $\$X^*$
- for $q = *X$, find keys in the form $X\*
- for $q = *X^*$, find keys in the form X^*
- for $q = X^*Y$, find keys in the form $Y\$X^*$

Exercise 2/1

Below is a part of index with positions in the form

doc1: $\langle pos1, pos2, pos3, \dots \rangle$; doc2: $\langle pos1, pos2, \dots \rangle$; ...

- angels: 2 : $\langle 36, 174, 252, 651 \rangle$; 4 : $\langle 12, 22, 102, 432 \rangle$; 7 : $\langle 17 \rangle$;
- fools: 2 : $\langle 1, 17, 74, 222 \rangle$; 4 : $\langle 8, 78, 108, 458 \rangle$; 7 : $\langle 3, 13, 23, 193 \rangle$;
- fear: 2 : $\langle 87, 704, 722, 901 \rangle$; 4 : $\langle 13, 43, 113, 433 \rangle$; 7 : $\langle 18, 328, 528 \rangle$;
- in: 2 : $\langle 3, 37, 76, 444, 851 \rangle$; 4 : $\langle 10, 20, 110, 470, 500 \rangle$; 7 : $\langle 5, 15, 25, 195 \rangle$;
- rush: 2 : $\langle 2, 66, 194, 321, 702 \rangle$; 4 : $\langle 9, 69, 149, 429, 569 \rangle$; 7 : $\langle 4, 14, 404 \rangle$;
- to: 2 : $\langle 47, 86, 234, 999 \rangle$; 4 : $\langle 14, 24, 774, 944 \rangle$; 7 : $\langle 19, 319, 599, 709 \rangle$;
- tread: 2 : $\langle 57, 94, 333 \rangle$; 4 : $\langle 15, 35, 155 \rangle$; 7 : $\langle 20, 320 \rangle$;
- where: 2 : $\langle 67, 124, 393, 1001 \rangle$; 4 : $\langle 11, 41, 101, 421, 431 \rangle$; 7 : $\langle 15, 35, 735 \rangle$;

The following terms are phrase queries. Which documents correspond to the following queries and on which positions?

a) *fools rush in*

b) *fools rush in* AND *angels fear to tread*.

The index is incorrect. How?

In order to retrieve the query it is necessary that the words are in a sequence. That is, if the word *angels* is in **doc2** on position 36, then the word *fear* has to be in the same document on the position 37 and so on.

For the exercise **a)** we calculate all possible positions of the phrase. Word *fools* appears in **doc2** on positions $\langle 1, 17, 74, 222 \rangle$. That means that the word *rush* has to appear on positions $\langle 2, 18, 75, 223 \rangle$ and the word *in* on positions $\langle 3, 19, 76, 224 \rangle$. Similar process is applied on **doc4** and **doc7** which retrieves the requested results.

doc2 $\langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle, \langle 17, 18, 19 \rangle, \langle 74, 75, 76 \rangle, \langle 222, 223, 224 \rangle$

doc4 $\langle 8, 9, 10 \rangle, \langle 78, 79, 80 \rangle, \langle 108, 109, 110 \rangle, \langle 458, 459, 460 \rangle$

doc7 $\langle 3, 4, 5 \rangle, \langle 13, 14, 15 \rangle, \langle 23, 24, 25 \rangle, \langle 193, 194, 195 \rangle$

Now we look at the original position index and search for whether there is a conjunction between requested and real positions. Take **doc2** and check whether the words *fools*, *rush* and *in* are in a sequence on positions $\langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$. Since yes, the system returns **doc2** as relevant to our query. Same analogy is used for the remaining documents for which we get the result **doc2**: $\{\langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle\}$; **doc4**: $\{\langle 8, 9, 10 \rangle\}$; and **doc7**: $\{\langle 3, 4, 5 \rangle, \langle 13, 14, 15 \rangle\}$.

For the exercise **b)** we find the requested positions for also the term *angels fear to tread*.

doc2 $\langle 36, 37, 38, 39 \rangle, \langle 174, 175, 176, 177 \rangle, \langle 252, 253, 254, 255 \rangle, \langle 651, 652, 653, 654 \rangle$

doc4 $\langle 12, 13, 14, 15 \rangle, \langle 22, 23, 24, 25 \rangle, \langle 102, 103, 104, 105 \rangle, \langle 432, 433, 434, 435 \rangle$

doc7 $\langle 17, 18, 19, 20 \rangle$

They appear in the correct order in **doc4**: $\{\langle 12, 13, 14, 15 \rangle\}$ and in **doc7**: $\{\langle 17, 18, 19, 20 \rangle\}$. Taking the first part from **a)**, we only check whether the results overlap $\{\mathbf{doc2}(1), \mathbf{doc4}(8), \mathbf{doc7}(3), \mathbf{doc7}(13)\} \cap \{\mathbf{doc4}(12), \mathbf{doc7}(17)\} = \{\mathbf{doc4}(8,12), \mathbf{doc7}(3,17), \mathbf{doc7}(13,17)\}$.

The index is incorrect. We need to have a look into **doc7**, where on position 15 are two terms *in* and *where*.

Exercise 2/2

Below is a part of index with positions in the form

doc1: $\langle pos1, pos2, pos3, \dots \rangle$; doc2: $\langle pos1, pos2, \dots \rangle$; ...

- ostrich: 1 : $\langle 1,7 \rangle$; 2 : $\langle 4,5 \rangle$;
- hippo: 1 : $\langle 5,8,9 \rangle$; 3 : $\langle 6,9 \rangle$;
- lion: 1 : $\langle 3,6 \rangle$; 2 : $\langle 3,7 \rangle$;
- giraffe: 1 : $\langle 2,4 \rangle$; 2 : $\langle 1,2,8 \rangle$;

Which documents correspond to the phrase query *lion giraffe hippo* and on which positions? Include intermediate results.

Candidates:

doc1 ⟨3, 4, 5⟩, ⟨6, 7, 8⟩

doc2 ⟨3, 4, 5⟩, ⟨7, 8, 9⟩

Result:

doc1 ⟨3, 4, 5⟩

Exercise 2/3

Consider a query composed of two terms. Non-positional postings list of one term is composed of 16 items $P = [4, 6, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 32, 47, 81, 120, 215, 300, 500]$ and the second term has the postings list of only a single element $R = [47]$. Find out how many comparisons (and why) are necessary to find out the intersection of the lists that are organized as follows:

- a) standard postings lists
 - b) postings lists with skip pointers of skip frequency $\sqrt{|P|}$
-

- a) A naive algorithm compares each element from P with each element from R , which is 11.
- b) With skip pointers of frequency $\sqrt{|P|} = 4$ we reduce the number of comparisons. Instead of the next element $i + 1$ only, the pointer goes directly to $i + \sqrt{|P|}$ until the referred value is larger than the searched value, after which it jumps back and then step forward by 1. Starting at position 0, the algorithm proceeds as follows: compare 4:47, jump to 14, compare 14:47, jump to 22, compare 22:47, jump to 120, compare 120:47, jump back to 22, step to 32, compare 32:47, step to 47, compare 47:47, done. The number of compare operations is 6.

Exercise 2/4

Consider a query composed of two terms. Non-positional postings list with skip pointers of one term is composed of 16 items $P_1 = [4, 6, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 32, 47, 81, 120, 215, 300, 500]$ with skip frequency of square root of its length and the second term has the standard postings list $P_2 = [18, 32, 60]$. How many comparisons are necessary to find out the intersection of the lists?

(4, 18), (14, 18), (22, 18), (16, 18), (18, 18), (20, 32), (22, 32), (120, 32), (32, 32), (47, 60), (81, 60).

Exercise 2/5

List the comparisons performed to intersect the following sorted non-positional postings lists with skip pointers of frequency 5.

$$P_1 = [2, 10, 12, 16] \quad \text{and} \quad P_2 = [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]$$

(2, 1), (2, 7), (2, 3), (10, 3), (10, 4), (10, 5), (10, 6), (10, 7), (10, 12), (10, 8), (10, 9), (10, 10), (12, 11), (12, 12), (16, 13), (16, 14), (16, 15).

Exercise 2/6

List the comparisons performed to intersect the following sorted non-positional postings lists with skip pointers of frequency 5.

$$P_1 = [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15] \quad \text{and} \quad P_2 = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 11, 15, 16]$$

(4, 1), (4, 10), (4, 2), (4, 3), (4, 4), (5, 5), (6, 10), (7, 10), (8, 10), (9, 10), (10, 10), (13, 11), (13, 15), (14, 15), (15, 15).

Exercise 2/7

- Find two different words of the same soundex code.
 - Find two phonetically similar words of different soundex codes.
-

a) *word* and *short* have codes S630

b) *fog* and *thug* have codes F200 and T200

Exercise 2/8

Write elements in a dictionary of the permuterm index generated by the term *mama*.

mama\$, *ama*\$m, *ma*\$ma, *a*\$mam, \$*mama*.

Exercise 2/9

Which keys are usable for finding the term *s*ng* in a permuterm wildcard index?

ng\$s*

Exercise 2/10

What is the complexity of intersection of two un-ordered posting lists of lengths m and n ?

$\mathcal{O}(m \log m + n \log n)$

Exercise 2/11

What is the complexity (in \mathcal{O} -notation) of intersecting of two ordered posting lists of lengths m and n ?

$\mathcal{O}(m + n)$

Exercise 2/12

What is the worst-case complexity of searching in hash tables?

Linear.