

# LM Smoothing (The EM Algorithm)

## PA154 Jazykové modelování (3)

Pavel Rychlý

pary@fi.muni.cz

March 9, 2017

**Source:** Introduction to Natural Language Processing (600.465)  
Jan Hajič, CS Dept., Johns Hopkins Univ.  
[www.cs.jhu.edu/~hajic](http://www.cs.jhu.edu/~hajic)

# The Zero Problem

- "Raw" n-gram language model estimate:
  - necessarily, some zeros
    - ▶ !many: trigram model  $\rightarrow 2.16 \times 10^{14}$  parameters, data  $\sim 10^9$  words
  - which are true 0?
    - ▶ optimal situation: even the least frequent trigram would be seen several times, in order to distinguish its probability vs. other trigrams
    - ▶ optimal situation cannot happen, unfortunately (open question: how many data would we need?)
  - $\rightarrow$  we don't know
  - we must eliminate zeros
- Two kinds of zeros:  $p(w|h) = 0$ , or even  $p(h) = 0$ !

# Why do we need Nonzero Probs?

- To avoid infinite Cross Entropy:
  - happens when an event is found in test data which has not been seen in training data

$H(p) = \infty$ : prevents comparing data with  $\geq 0$  "errors"

- To make the system more robust
  - low count estimates:
    - ▶ they typically happen for "detailed" but relatively rare appearances
  - high count estimates: reliable but less "detailed"

# Eliminating the Zero Probabilities: Smoothing

- Get new  $p'(w)$  (same  $\Omega$ ): almost  $p(w)$  but no zeros
- Discount  $w$  for (some)  $p(w) > 0$ : new  $p'(w) < p(w)$

$$\sum_{w \in \text{discounted}} (p(w) - p'(w)) = D$$

- Distribute  $D$  to all  $w$ ;  $p(w) = 0$ : new  $p'(w) > p(w)$ 
  - possibly also to other  $w$  with low  $p(w)$
- For some  $w$  (possibly):  $p'(w) = p(w)$
- Make sure  $\sum_{w \in \Omega} p'(w) = 1$
- There are many ways of smoothing

# Smoothing by Adding 1

- Simplest but not really usable:

Predicting words  $w$  from a vocabulary  $V$ , training data  $T$ :

$$p'(w|h) = \frac{c(h, w) + 1}{c(h) + |V|}$$

- ▶ for non-conditional distributions:  $p'(w) = \frac{c(w)+1}{|T|+|V|}$

Problem if  $|V| > c(h)$  (as is often the case; even  $\gg c(h)$ !)

- Example:

Training data:

<s> what is it what is small? |T| = 8

$V = \{\text{what, is, it, small, }, \langle s \rangle, \text{flying, birds, are, a, bird, .}\}$ ,  $|V| = 12$

$p(\text{it}) = .125$ ,  $p(\text{what}) = .25$ ,  $p(\text{.}) = 0$       $p(\text{what is it?}) = .25^2 \times .125^2 \cong .001$

$p(\text{it is flying.}) = .125 \times .25 \times 0^2 = 0$

$p'(\text{it}) = .1$ ,  $p'(\text{what}) = .15$ ,

$p'(\text{what is it?}) = .15 \times .1^2 \cong .0002$

$p'(\text{.}) = .05$

$p'(\text{it is flying.}) = .1 \times .15 \times .05^2 \cong .00004$

# Adding *less than 1*

## ■ Equally simple:

Predicting word  $w$  from a vocabulary  $V$ , training data  $T$ :

$$p'(w|h) = \frac{c(h, w) + \lambda}{c(h) + \lambda|V|}, \quad \lambda < 1$$

► for non-conditional distributions:  $p'(w) = \frac{c(w) + \lambda}{|T| + \lambda|V|}$

## ■ Example:

Training data:

<s> what is it what is small? |T| = 8

$V = \{\text{what, is, it, small, ?, <s>, flying, birds, are, a, bird, .}\}$ ,  $|V| = 12$

$p(\text{it}) = .125$ ,  $p(\text{what}) = .25$ ,  $p(.) = 0$       $p(\text{what is it?}) = .25^2 \times .125^2 \cong .001$

$p(\text{it is flying.}) = .125 \times .25 \times 0^2 = 0$

Use  $\lambda = .1$

$p'(\text{it}) \cong .12$ ,  $p'(\text{what}) \cong .23$ ,

$p'(\text{what is it?}) = .23^2 \times .12^2 \cong .0007$

$p'(\text{.}) \cong .01$

$p'(\text{it is flying.}) = .12 \times .23 \times .01^2 \cong .000003$

- Suitable for estimation from large data
  - similar idea: discount/boost the relative frequency estimate:

$$p_r(w) = \frac{(c(w) + 1) \times N(c(w) + 1)}{|T| \times N(c(w))}$$

where  $N(c)$  is the count of words with count  $c$  (count-of-counts)

specifically, for  $c(w) = 0$  (unseen words),  $p_r(w) = \frac{N(1)}{|T| \times N(0)}$

– good for small counts (< 5–10, where  $N(c)$  is high)

– normalization! (so that we have  $\sum_w p'(w) = 1$ )

# Good-Turing: An Example

Remember:  $p_r(w) = \frac{(c(w)+1) \times N(c(w)+1)}{|T| \times N(c(w))}$

Training data: <s> what is it what is small? |T| = 8  
V = {what, is, it, small, ?, <s>, flying, birds, are, a, bird, .}, |V| = 12  
p(it) = .125, p(what) = .25, p(.) = 0    p(what is it?) = .25<sup>2</sup> × .125<sup>2</sup> ≅ .001  
p(it is flying.) = .125 × .25 × 0<sup>2</sup> = 0

- Raw estimation ( $N(0) = 6, N(1) = 4, N(2) = 2, N(i) = 0$ , for  $i > 2$ ):

$$p_r(it) = (1+1) \times N(1+1) / (8 \times N(1)) = 2 \times 2 / (8 \times 4) = .125$$

$$p_r(what) = (2+1) \times N(2+1) / (8 \times N(2)) = 3 \times 0 / (8 \times 2) = 0:$$

keep orig. p(what)

$$p_r(.) = (0+1) \times N(0+1) / (8 \times N(0)) = 1 \times 4 / (8 \times 6) \cong .083$$

- Normalize (divide by  $1.5 = \sum_{w \in |V|} p_r(w)$ ) and compute:

$$p'(it) \cong .08, p'(what) \cong .17, p'(\cdot) \cong .06$$

$$p'(what is it?) = .17^2 \times .08^2 \cong .0002$$

$$p'(it is flying.) = .08^2 \times .17 \times .06^2 \cong .00004$$



# Smoothing by Combination: Linear Interpolation

- Combine what?
  - ▶ distribution of various level of detail vs. reliability
- n-gram models:
  - ▶ use (n-1)gram, (n-2)gram, ..., uniform
    - reliability
    - ← detail
- Simplest possible combination:
  - sum of probabilities, normalize:
    - ▶  $p(0|0) = .8$ ,  $p(1|0) = .2$ ,  $p(0|1) = 1$ ,  $p(1|1) = 0$ ,  
 $p(0) = .4$ ,  $p(1) = .6$
    - ▶  $p'(0|0) = .6$ ,  $p'(1|0) = .4$ ,  $p'(1|0) = .7$ ,  $p'(1|1) = .3$

# Typical n-gram LM Smoothing

- Weight in less detailed distributions using  $\lambda = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ :

$$p'_{\lambda}(w_i | w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}) = \lambda_3 p_3(w_i | w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}) + \lambda_2 p_2(w_i | w_{i-1}) + \lambda_1 p_1(w_i) + \lambda_0 / |V|$$

- Normalize:

$$\lambda_i > 0, \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i = 1 \text{ is sufficient } (\lambda_0 = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i) (n = 3)$$

- Estimation using MLE:

- fix the  $p_3, p_2, p_1$  and  $|V|$  parameters as estimated from the training data
- then find such  $\{\lambda_i\}$  which minimizes the cross entropy (maximizes probability of data):  $-\frac{1}{|D|} \sum_{i=1}^{|D|} \log_2(p'_{\lambda}(w_i | h_i))$

## ■ What data to use?

- try training data  $T$ : but we will always get  $\lambda_3 = 1$ 
  - ▶ why? let  $p_{iT}$  be an  $i$ -gram distribution estimated using r.f. from  $T$
  - ▶ minimizing  $H_T(p'_\lambda)$  over a vector  $\lambda$ ,  $p'_\lambda = \lambda_3 p_{3T} + \lambda_2 p_{2T} + \lambda_1 p_{1T} + \lambda_0 / |V|$ 
    - remember  $H_T(p'_\lambda) = H(p_{3T}) + D(p_{3T} || p'_\lambda)$ ;  $p_{3T}$  fixed  $\rightarrow H(p_{3T})$  fixed, best
    - which  $p'_\lambda$  minimizes  $H_T(p'_\lambda)$ ? Obviously, a  $p'_\lambda$  for which  $D(p_{3T} || p'_\lambda) = 0$
    - ...and that's  $p_{3T}$  (because  $D(p || p) = 0$ , as we know)
    - ...and certainly  $p'_\lambda = p_{3T}$  if  $\lambda_3 = 1$  (maybe in some other cases, too).
    - ( $p'_\lambda = 1 \times p_{3T} + 0 \times p_{2T} + 1 \times p_{1T} + 0 / |V|$ )
- thus: do not use the training data for estimation of  $\lambda$ !
  - ▶ must hold out part of the training data (**heldout** data,  $\underline{H}$ )
  - ▶ ...call remaining data the (true/raw) **training** data,  $\underline{T}$
  - ▶ the **test** data  $\underline{S}$  (e.g., for comparison purposes): still different data!

# The Formulas

Repeat: minimizing  $\frac{-1}{|H|} \sum_{i=1}^{|H|} \log_2(p'_\lambda(w_i|h_i))$  over  $\lambda$

$$\begin{aligned} p'_\lambda(w_i|h_i) &= p'_\lambda(w_i|w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}) = \\ &= \lambda_3 p_3(w_i|w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}) + \lambda_2 p_2(w_i|w_{i-1}) + \lambda_1 p_1(w_i) + \lambda_0 \frac{1}{|V|} \end{aligned}$$

"Expected counts of lambdas":  $j = 0..3$

$$c(\lambda_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{|H|} \frac{\lambda_j p_j(w_i|h_i)}{p'_\lambda(w_i|h_i)}$$

"Next  $\lambda$ ":  $j = 0..3$

$$\lambda_{j,next} = \frac{c(\lambda_j)}{\sum_{k=0}^3 c(\lambda_k)}$$

# The (Smoothing) EM Algorithm

- 1 Start with some  $\lambda$ , such that  $\lambda > 0$  for all  $j \in 0..3$
  - 2 Compute "Expected Counts" for each  $\lambda_j$ .
  - 3 Compute new set of  $\lambda_j$ , using "Next  $\lambda$ " formula.
  - 4 Start over at step 2, unless a termination condition is met.
- Termination condition: convergence of  $\lambda$ .
    - Simply set an  $\varepsilon$ , and finish if  $|\lambda_j - \lambda_{j,next}| < \varepsilon$  for each  $j$  (step 3).
  - Guaranteed to converge: follows from Jensen's inequality, plus a technical proof.

# Remark on Linear Interpolation Smoothing

## ■ "Bucketed Smoothing":

– use several vectors of  $\lambda$  instead of one, based on (the frequency of) history:  $\lambda(h)$


- ▶ e.g. for  $h = (\text{micrograms,per})$  we will have

$$\lambda(h) = (.999, .0009, .00009, .00001)$$

(because "cubic" is the only word to follow...)

– actually: not a separate set for each history, but rather a set for "similar" histories ("bucket"):

$\lambda(b(h))$ , where  $b: V^2 \rightarrow N$  (in the case of trigrams)  
 $b$  classifies histories according to their reliability ( $\sim$ frequency)



# Bucketed Smoothing: The Algorithm

- First, determine the bucketing function  $\underline{b}$  (use heldout!):
  - decide in advance you want e.g. 1000 buckets
  - compute the total frequency of histories in 1 bucket ( $f_{max}(b)$ )
  - gradually fill your buckets from the most frequent bigrams so that the sum of frequencies does not exceed  $f_{max}(b)$  (you might end up with slightly more than 1000 buckets)
- Divide your heldout data according to buckets
- Apply the previous algorithm to each bucket and its data

# Simple Example

- Raw distribution (unigram only; smooth with uniform):  
 $p(a) = .25, p(b) = .5, p(\alpha) = 1/64$  for  $\alpha \in \{c..r\}$ ,  $= 0$  for the rest: s, t, u, v, w, x, y, z
- Heldout data: baby; use one set of  $\lambda$   
( $\lambda_1$ : unigram,  $\lambda_0$ : uniform)
- Start with  $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1 = .5$ :

$$p'_\lambda(b) = .5 \times .5 + .5/26 = .27$$

$$p'_\lambda(a) = .5 \times .25 + .5/26 = .14$$

$$p'_\lambda(y) = .5 \times 0 + .5/26 = .02$$

$$c(\lambda_1) = .5 \times .5 / .27 + .5 \times .25 / .14 + .5 \times .5 / .27 + .5 \times 0 / .02 = 2.27$$

$$c(\lambda_0) = .5 \times .04 / .27 + .5 \times .04 / .14 + .5 \times .04 / .27 + .5 \times .04 / .02 = 1.28$$

Normalize  $\lambda_{1,next} = .68, \lambda_{0,next} = .32$

Repeat from step 2 (recompute  $p'_\lambda$  first for efficient computation, then  $c(\lambda_i), \dots$ ).

Finish when new lambdas almost equal to the old ones (say,  $< 0.01$  difference).



# Some More Technical Hints

- Set  $V = \{\text{all words from training data}\}$ .
  - ▶ You may also consider  $V = T \cup H$ , but it does not make the coding in any way simpler (in fact, harder).
  - ▶ But: you must *never* use the test data for your vocabulary
- Prepend two "words" in front of all data:
  - ▶ avoids beginning-of-data problems
  - ▶ call these index -1 and 0: then the formulas hold exactly
- When  $c_n(w,h) = 0$ :
  - ▶ Assigning 0 probability to  $p_n(w|h)$  where  $c_{n-1}(h) > 0$ , but a uniform probability ( $1/|V|$ ) to those  $p_n(w|h)$  where  $c_{n-1}(h) = 0$  (this must be done both when working on the heldout data during EM, as well as when computing cross-entropy on the test data!)