

# IA010: Principles of Programming Languages

## Control-Flow

Achim Blumensath

blumens@fi.muni.cz

Faculty of Informatics, Masaryk University, Brno

# Continuation passing style

```
let f () {  
  let u = input("first: ");  
  let v = input("second: ");  
  process(u,v)  
};
```

# Continuation passing style

```
let f () {  
  let u = input("first: ");  
  let v = input("second: ");  
  process(u,v)  
};
```

## To resume, we need to know:

- where we are
- the result of the expression just computed
- the values of the local variables

# Continuation passing style

```
let f () {  
  let u = input("first: ");  
  let v = input("second: ");  
  process(u,v)  
};
```

```
fun (u) {  
  let v = input("second: ");  
  process(u,v)  
};
```

# Continuation passing style

```
let f () {  
  let u = input("first: ");  
  let v = input("second: ");  
  process(u,v)  
};
```

```
fun (u) {  
  let v = input("second: ");  
  process(u,v)  
};
```

```
fun (v) {  
  process(u,v)  
};
```

# Continuation passing style

```
let f () {  
  let u = input("first: ");  
  let v = input("second: ");  
  process(u,v)  
};
```

```
let f (k) {  
  input("first: ",  
    fun (u) {  
      input("second: ",  
        fun (v) {  
          process(u,v,k);  
        })  
    })  
};
```

# Example

```
let fac(n) { if n == 0 then 1 else n * fac(n-1) };
```

# Example

```
let fac(n) { if n == 0 then 1 else n * fac(n-1) };
```

```
let fac_cps(n,k) {  
  if n == 0 then  
    k(1)  
  else  
    fac_cps(n-1, fun (x) { k(n*x) })  
};
```



# Example

```
let fac(n) { if n == 0 then 1 else n * fac(n-1) };
```

```
let fac_cps(n,k) {  
  equal(n,0,  
    fun (c) {  
      if c then  
        k(1)  
      else  
        minus(n,1,  
          fun (a) {  
            fac_cps(a,  
              fun (b) { times(n,b,k) })  
          })  
    })  
  })  
};
```

# Continuations

$\langle expr \rangle ::= \dots \mid \mathbf{letcc} \langle id \rangle \{ \langle expr \rangle \}$

$\mathbf{letcc} \ k \ \{ \ 1 \ }$

$\mathbf{letcc} \ k \ \{ \ k(1) \ }$

$\mathbf{letcc} \ k \ \{ \ k(1+2) \ }$

$\mathbf{letcc} \ k \ \{ \ 2 + k(1) \ }$

$2 + \mathbf{letcc} \ k \ \{ \ k(1) \ }$

$3 + \mathbf{letcc} \ k \ \{ \ k(1+2) \ }$

$4 + \mathbf{letcc} \ k \ \{ \ 3+k(1+2) \ }$

$\mathbf{letcc} \ k \ \{ \ k(1) + k(2) \ }$

$\mathbf{letcc} \ k \ \{ \ \mathbf{letcc} \ l \ \{ \ k(1) + l(2) \ } \ }$

$\mathbf{letcc} \ k \ \{ \ \mathbf{letcc} \ l \ \{ \ l(1) + k(2) \ } \ }$

# Discussion

- increase in expressive power: user defined control-flow operations
- performance hit
- can lead to spaghetti code

# Generators

```
let gen() {  
  let n = 0;  
  while True {  
    yield n;  
    n := n+1;  
  }  
};
```

# Generators

```
let gen() {  
  let n = 0;  
  while True {  
    yield n;  
    n := n+1;  
  }  
};
```

```
let gen_return(x) { ( ) };  
  
let gen_helper() {  
  let n = 0;  
  while True {  
    letcc k {  
      gen_helper := k;  
      gen_return(n)  
    };  
    n := n+1;  
  };  
  0  
};  
let gen() {  
  letcc k {  
    gen_return := k;  
    gen_helper()  
  }  
};
```

# Exceptions

$\langle expr \rangle ::= \dots \mid \mathbf{try} \langle expr \rangle \mathbf{catch} \langle var \rangle \Rightarrow \langle expr \rangle$   
 $\mid \mathbf{throw} \langle expr \rangle$

```
try 2
catch x => x + 1
=> 2
```

```
try 2 + throw 4
catch x => x + 1
=> 5
```

# Exceptions

```
type error = | EmptyList;

let head(lst) {
  case lst
  | []      => throw EmptyList
  | [x|xs] => x
};

try head([])
catch x => 0
```

# Exceptions

```
type error = | NotFound;  
type key_val = [ key : a, val : b ];  
  
let lookup(lst : list(key_val), k : a) : b {  
  case lst  
  | [] => throw NotFound  
  | [x|xs] => if x.key == k then  
              x.val  
              else  
                lookup(xs, k)  
};
```

## Implementation

```
try e catch x => handler     $\implies$     letcc k { e(fun (x) { k(handler) }) }  
throw e k                   $\implies$     k(e)
```



# Discussion

## Exceptions

- (more or less) efficient way to return from deeply nested function calls
- less syntactic overhead
- very hard and error prone to combine with cleanup code and side effects
- creating implicit and non-local control-flow

## Error codes

- error prone (easy to forget)
- usually slower (check after each function call)
- more verbose
- control-flow is explicit and local

# Algebraic effects

Generalisation of exceptions with ability to **resume** the computation.

```
effect bar : int;
```

```
try 3 + bar catch bar => 1 + abort 5
```

```
==> try 3 + throw bar catch x => 5
```

```
try 3 + bar catch bar => 1 + resume 5
```

```
==> 3 + 5
```

# Algebraic effects

Generalisation of exceptions with ability to **resume** the computation.

```
effect bar : int;
```

```
try 3 + bar catch bar => 1 + abort 5
```

```
==> try 3 + throw bar catch x => 5
```

```
try 3 + bar catch bar => 1 + resume 5
```

```
==> 3 + 5
```

Everything we said about exceptions also holds for algebraic effects, just more so.