#### **PV260 COURSE INTRODUCTION**

ROADMAP TO SOFTWARE QUALITY

Barbora Bühnová buhnova@fi.muni.cz

LAB OF SOFTWARE ARCHITECTURES AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS

FACULTY OF INFORMATICS MASARYK UNIVERSITY, BRNO



# Outline of the lecture

- Course introduction
  - Course motivation and goals
  - Course organization
  - Our team
- Roadmap to quality assurance methods
  - Define quality issues
  - Prevent quality issues
  - Detect quality issues
  - Repair quality issues
  - Keep track of quality issues
- Choose well, plan well





# Outline of the lecture

- Course introduction
  - Course motivation and goals
  - Course organization
  - Our team
- Roadmap to quality assurance methods
  - Define quality issues
  - Prevent quality issues
  - Detect quality issues
  - Repair quality issues
  - Keep track of quality issues
- Choose well, plan well





### Course motivation and goals

"People forget **how fast** you did a job – but they remember **how well** you did it" – some guy named Howard Newton

- The **aim of the course** is to help the students to
  - understand activities contributing to building **high-quality software**;
  - develop **critical thinking** and be able to identify **code flaws** related to reliability, performance, scalability, maintainability and testability;
  - be able to **refactor existing code** to improve different quality attributes;
  - have practical experience with software **testing** and related **tools**.



### **Outline of lectures**

Lect 1. [LaSArIS, B. Bühnová] Course organization. Roadmap to software quality engineering methods.

Lect 2. [LaSArIS, B. Bühnová] Clean Code & SOLID principles. Bad code smells and code refactoring.

Lect 3. [LaSArIS, B. Rossi] Software measurement and metrics, and their role in quality improvement.

Lect 4. [Solar Winds, Jiří Pokorný] Automated testing and testability. Continuous integration/delivery.

**Lect 5.** [LaSArIS, B. Rossi] Basic Principles of **Testing**. Requirements and test cases. Test plans and risk analysis. Specific issues in testing OO Software.

Lect 6. [Siemens, J. Verner] Quality and testing in agile. Practical insights on QA in real product development.

Lect 7. [LaSArIS, B. Bühnová] Focus on quality attributes and conflicts between them.

Lect 8. [To be confirmed] Performance engineering and performance testing.

Lect 9. [YSoft, O. Krajíček] The role of software architecture - practitioner view.

- Lect 10. [LaSArIS, B. Bühnová] Software architecture guidelines for software quality?
- Lect 11. [Honeywell, J. Papcun, J. Svoboda] Static code analysis and code reviews.
- Lect 12. [LaSArIS, D. Gešvindr] Challenges of quality management in cloud applications.

Lect 13. [LaSArIS, B. Rossi] Software quality management process.

Week 16. on 4. 6. 2020 [All] Colloquium event



### **Course organization**

- Lectures
  - Shared by us and **experts** from companies
  - May not be recorded
  - Final colloquium event after the end of semester (June 4, 2020)
- Seminars
  - Practical assignments on computers
  - Teamwork, homework, projects
  - 2 Java groups taught by LaSArIS lab members
  - 1 Java group taught by NetSuite experts
  - 1 C# group taught by Y Soft experts



## **Course organization**

- Evaluation
  - **45** points for seminar **assignments** 
    - All the assignments need to be at least submitted, otherwise, the student cannot attend the final colloquium event and write the test.
  - 10 seminar activity points
  - 10 lecture activity points
  - 35 points for final colloquium assessment, consisting of
    - obligatory **attendance** at the final colloquium event and
    - final written test
  - Minimum of 70 points for passing the course
- Colloquium event
  - On June 6, 2019, between 9:00-13:30
  - **Discussion groups** led by industrial experts
  - Student presentations of outcomes
  - Written test (at the end of the day, or on a separate term)

lasaris

#### Our team






# Outline of the lecture

- Course introduction
  - Course motivation and goals
  - Course organization
  - Our team
- Roadmap to quality assurance methods
  - Define quality issues
  - Prevent quality issues
  - Detect quality issues
  - Repair quality issues
  - Keep track of quality issues
- Choose well, plan well






## Quality Assurance (QA) methods



© B. Bühnová, PV260 Software Quality


### Roadmap to QA methods





# Define quality issues

- Software quality is commonly defined as the capability of a software product to conform to requirements [ISO/IEC 9001].
   Customer needs
- Requirements engineering
- Software metrics
  - 'You cannot manage what you cannot measure'
- Quality attributes
  - Of a product, process and resources





#### ... and your customer? What "quality" means to you? ... and your manager?


asaris

....

Stakeholders view			Quality goals
visible {	<b>User Experience</b> (customer)	- Usability - Accuracy - Reliability - Performance - Security	Feature
it looks good inside	<b>Code Quality</b> (developer <b>)</b>	- Modularity - Complexity - Resilience - Understandability - Testability	Engineering
invisible it will work also next year	<b>Long-term View</b> (manager <b>)</b>	- Adaptability - Portability - Reusability - Maintainability - Scalability	Cost effectiveness



# The Software Quality Iceberg





measurable or not?

# The big five

- Along the course we will focus on:
  - Maintainability ease of change (without increased technical debt)
  - **Performance response time** and efficiency in resource utilization
  - **Reliability** probability of **failure-free operation** over a period of time
  - Testability degree to which the system facilitates testing
  - Scalability system's ability to handle growing work load
- Quality attributes studied in related courses:
  - Security system's ability to protect itself from attacks
  - Usability ease of system use and learnability


# Prevent quality issues

- Coding best practices
  - Clean code, SOLID principles
  - Design patterns

code-level

processes


- Pair programming
- Code conventions
  - Language specif. recommendations
- Quality assurance processes
  - V-model of testing, Test Driven Development
- Standards for development process improvement
  - CMMI and ITIL reference models
  - ISO 9000, ISO/IEC 25010





# Detect quality issues


- Testing functional requirements
  - Manual or automated
- Testing non-functional req.
  - Performance, usability, security testing
- Design inspections
  - Manual inspections of design artifacts
- Code reviews
  - Manual inspections of code
- Automated static code analysis





testíng


## Roadmap to software testing



© B. Bühnová, PV260 Software Quality

# Repair quality issues

- Functional issue
  - Code repair
- Reliability issue
  - Fault tolerance mechanisms
- Performance issue
  - Concurrency, effective resource utilization, identify and remove system bottlenecks
- Security issue
  - Identify and remove system vulnerabilities (single points of failure)
- Maintainability issue
  - Refactoring to clean code principles, to design patterns





# Keep track of quality issues


- Issue tracking
  - Supports the management of issues reported by customers
- Technical debt management
  - Level of code quality degradation
  - Work that needs to be done before

     a particular job can be considered complete or proper
- Configuration management
  - Version management and release management
  - System integration





#### Roadmap to QA methods – the Big Picture



# Outline of the lecture


- Course introduction
  - Course motivation and goals
  - Course organization
  - Our team
- Roadmap to quality assurance methods
  - Define quality issues
  - Prevent quality issues
  - Detect quality issues
  - Repair quality issues
  - Keep track of quality issues
- Choose well, plan well





# Choose well, plan well


 Think well about your requirements and the cost of the quality





Cost

## Choose well – Combination is the key







# Plan well – The Power of Analogy

#### Airplane Servicing

- Requires **regular servicing** e.g. every 100,000 miles.
- Takes place even if everything seems to work all right, because we cannot afford a failure.
   Can we quantify it?

#### Technical Debt Management

- Introduced by Ward Cunningham
- Analogy of quality degradation with financial debt

   if not paid off, interests increase. One can get into trouble.

#### Sometimes it is wise to "borrow money"

- When one expects to have more money in the future (start-up company)
- When one needs to act fast not to miss a market opportunity
- When one expects money devaluation (e.g. developers will become more experienced, it will be easier to understand user needs)

### Takeaways

- **Quality assurance (QA)** is much more than **testing**, including many different methods to
  - prevent, detect, repair and keep track of quality issues
- Combination of the methods is the key to successful QA
  - But choose well and plan well, not all methods are best for your project!
- Make sure you understand the needs of your customer
  - Balance **both internal and external quality attributes** for both the present and the future

– thanks for listening



# References

- [1] Testing You Perform When You Develop a Siebel Application. Available online at <u>http://docs.oracle.com/cd/E14004\_01/books/DevDep/Overview5.html</u>
- [2] Steve McConnell. Code Complete: A Practical Handbook of Software Construction, Second Edition. Microsoft Press, June 2004.
- [3] Kevin Burke. Why code review beats testing: evidence from decades of programming research. Available online at<u>https://kev.inburke.com/kevin/the-best-ways-to-find-bugs-in-your-code/</u>
- [4] RebelLabs. 2013 Developer Productivity Report. Available online at <u>http://zeroturnaround.com/rebellabs/developer-productivity-report-2013-how-engineering-tools-practices-impact-software-quality-delivery/</u>
- [5] Jonathan Bloom. Titanic Dilemma: The Seen Versus the Unseen. Available online at <a href="http://blog.castsoftware.com/titanic-dilemma-the-seen-versus-the-unseen/">http://blog.castsoftware.com/titanic-dilemma-the-seen-versus-the-unseen/</a>

