

Semestral Project

PA193 – Secure Coding Principles and Practices

Spring 2022



Centre for Research on Cryptography and Security

www.fi.muni.cz/crocs

Introduction

- Team of three people
- Programming language of your choice
- Four phases (~3 weeks each)
- Up to 30 points awarded
 - Bonus points possible for exceptional contribution
- Questions
 - Anytime by email: <u>xdufka1@fi.muni.cz</u>
 - Consultation possible upon request

Project phases

- Phase I deadline 2nd week
 - Form teams of 3 people
 - Setup GitHub repository and prepare a project with test vectors
- Phase II deadline 5th week
 - Setup automatic testing and commit signing
 - Start implementation
 - Report (3-4 A4)
- Phase III deadline 9th week
 - Finalize the implementation and release the final build
 - Recording and live presentation of your project (5-7 minutes)
- Phase IV deadline 13th week
 - Analyze project of another group
 - Final presentation at the last lecture (10 minutes)

Bech32m encoding

- Encoding using only 32 alphanumeric characters with efficient error detection and correction
 - Letters can be in upper or lower case, but lower case is preferred
 - Similar letters avoided (e.g., i and j)
 - Easy to read out loud
 - Easy to implement
 - Alphabet size of prime power is suitable for error-detecting codes
 - Error correction is possible
 - Efficiently encodable in QR codes in "alphanumeric mode"

 Bech32m has been designed as a replacement of base58 encoding, previously used in Bitcoin

CROCS

Bech32m encoding tool

- Implement tool for bech32m encoding and decoding
 - https://github.com/bitcoin/bips/blob/master/bip-0173.mediawiki
 - https://github.com/bitcoin/bips/blob/master/bip-0350.mediawiki
- Provide command-line interface for:
 - Encoding of arbitrary input to bech32m
 - Decoding of bech32m
 - Choosing of input/output format (base64/hex/binary)
 - Selecting input from cli-argument, file, or stdin (default)
 - Selecting output to file, or stdout (default)
- In case of erroneous decoding suggest the closest valid input
 - (Bech32m supports error correction)
- Focus on security of your implementation
 - Proper error handling
 - Secure handling of user-provided input

Phase I

- Form teams of 3 people
- Agree on your programming language:
 - C, Python, Rust, C++, Haskell, Go, ...
 - The language must be unique per seminar group
- Prepare your project on GitHub
 - Create a GitHub repository
 - Agree on a unique name
 - Prepare an empty project with test vectors from bech32m specification
- Write an email to <u>xdufka1@fi.muni.cz</u> containing:
 - Team member names
 - Selected programming language
 - Link to GitHub repository (add dufkan as reader if it is a private repository)
- Deadline Monday 21. 2. 2022 16:00

Phase II

- Configure Github Actions to run tests automatically
- Start digitally signing your commits
- Start the implementation
 - You can use only standard library
 - By the end of this phase, you should have:
 - Basic encoding/decoding functionality passing test vectors.
 - No need to provide user interface yet.
- Prepare 3-4 A4 report
 - Project design
 - Current progress
 - Encountered obstacles
- Deadline Monday 14. 3. 2022 16:00

Phase III

- Finalize the implementation
 - Try to identify any vulnerabilities with analysis tools
 - Release the final binary build with a digital signature (GPG)
- Prepare and record a presentation of your project (5-7 minutes)
 - Structure of the project
 - Encountered obstacles and solutions
 - Used analysis tools
 - How can the tool be used
 - (Quick guide for the other team in Phase IV)
- Discussion of the presentation
- Assignment of other team projects for the next phase
- Deadline Monday 11. 4. 2022 16:00

Phase IV – Review setup

```
# Create review branch without code
git checkout -b review
git rm -r --cached .
git commit -m "Create review branch"
git push --set-upstream origin review
```

Create branch for pull request into the review branch git checkout -b review_code git add . git commit -m "Add review code" git push --set-upstream origin review_code

Phase IV – Review setup

Create pull request from review_code to review branch

Comparing changes
Choose two branches to see what's changed or to start a new pull request. If you need to, you can also compare across forks.
th base: review ▼ ← compare: review_code ▼ ✓ Able to merge. These branches can be automatically merged.
Create pull request

• Review team will comment in the pull request (give them access)

5	+ match matches.value_	of("mode	").un	wrap() {									
Write	Preview	±	Н	в	I	Ē	<>	Ĉ	∷≡	1 2	\checkmark	0	¢	<->
<u>Calling unwrap is unsafe</u> . Use proper <u>error handling</u> .														
Attach files	s by dragging & dropping, selectin	ig or past	ing the	em.										MJ.
			-											

www.fi.muni.cz/crocs

Phase IV

- Analyze implementation of other team (assigned on seminars)
 - Static analysis (at least 1 tool)
 - Dynamic analysis (at least 1 tool)
 - Fuzzing (at least 1 tool)
 - Dependency checking, ... (use at least 5 tools in total)
- Provide comments on code in GitHub review branch
 - Use conventional comments: <u>https://conventionalcomments.org/</u>
- Prepare pull request with a fix of at least 1 discovered issue
- Prepare presentation for the last lecture (10 minutes)
 - Used analysis tools
 - Discovered issues, code quality
 - Fixes (+ screenshot of pull request)
- Deadline Monday 9. 5. 2022 16:00