

IA081 Lambda-Calculus

1. History of Math & Motivations

Jiří Zlatuška

February 20, 2024

Nature of Mathematical Objects

- Plato's "Academy": "*Let no one who is not a geometer enter*" – Plato (427-348 BCE)
- Platonism:
 1. There are mathematical objects
 2. These are abstract objects existing outside of space and time
 3. Math objects always existed & are entirely independent of people
 4. Math objects do not interact with the physical world in any "causal" way – we cannot change them, and they cannot change us, yet
 5. We are somehow able to gain knowledge of them

Prehistory of Formal Reasoning

- Aristotle (384-322 BCE) — *Analytica Posteriora* as a deductive science from basic truths or axioms
 - * Deductive proofs as demonstration arguments in Euclid geometry
 - * Logic in the form of syllogisms independent of mathematical/geometrical proofs
- Sufficient for more than two millenia

Positional number systems and calculations

- Numbers tied to areas
- Independent knowledge of Pythagoras (c. 580–500 BCE) Theorem (China - Zhou Bi Suan Jing, 1046–256 BCE, Babylonia – including sexagesimal approximation for the square root of 2 from 18th century BCE from Yale tablet, India – 2nd century BCE Bakhshali manuscript), hence construction of square roots
- Positional number system developed in India by Āryabhata (476–550), then adopted by Arabic Mathematicians via Brahmagupta by Al-Khwarizmi (“Algorithmi”, c. 780–847+, founder of the Algebra)
- Fibonacci (1170–1250) brought it to Europe, first only as a tool for scientific calculations
- French national Audit Office used Roman numerals as late as in 18th century

Algebra

- al-Khwarizmi (“Algorithmi”, c. 780–847+)
- the book “al-Kitab al-Mukhtasar fi Hisab al-Jabr wal-Muqabala“ (The Compendium on Calculation by Restoration and Balancing)
- Full algebraic treatment of mathematical problem solving, including quadratic equations and systems of equations
- Translated to Latin independently by Robert of Chester (England) and Gerard of Cremona (Italy) in the 12th century
- Known to Fibonacci who quotes al-Khwarizmi in his “Liber Abaci” of 1202 (under latinicized version of his first name, Maumeth)
- al-Jabr gave rise to “algebra”
- latinicized surname Algorithmi gave rise to “algorithm“ (solutions in al-Jabr are actually presented as algorithms to solve algebraic problems)

Logicism

- Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz (1646-1716)

Mathematical facts as truths of reason

Hoped in “calculus ratiocinator” as a systematic calculational logic for representation of human reasoning (similar to the differential calculus for mathematical physics)

Introduced logical operations (but did not publish this)

- Immanuel Kant (1724-1804) – Critique of Pure Reason

Arithmetic and Geometry as synthetic a priori issues akin to Metaphysics

- Richard J. W. Dedekind (1831-1916) - culmination of arithmetization of Arithmetic and Geometry

Logicism

- Gottlob Frege (1848-1925)
- Begriffsschrift, 1879 (concept notation for pure thought / logic)
- Grundlagen der Arithmetik, 1884
 - Analyticity of arithmetic truths derived from their
 - $7+5=12$ as analytical truth (contrary to Kant)
- Grundgesetze der Arithmetik, Vol. 1, 1893
 - distinction between sense and reference
 - introduction of notation for concepts and semantics
 - symbolic language for expressing everything explicitly & finite set of rules
- Vol. 2 was at the publisher in 1903, when Russell wrote to Frege about the Russell Paradox ($A = \{B: B \text{ is a set} \ \& \ B \notin B\}$, and both $A \in A$, and $A \notin A$)
- ❖ Problems with infinite sets in logicism, also Gödel's incompleteness

Formalization of logical inference

- Giuseppe Peano (1858-1932)

Around 1890 formalization of logical inference

Formal rules based on axioms and Modus

Ponens ($A \Rightarrow B$, $A \vdash B$)

- Bertrand Russell (1872-1970)

Principia Mathematica, 1910-13, with

Whitehead: expressing axioms as basic truths, and deriving logical truths by Modus Ponens and universal generalization

Combinatory Logic

- Moses Iljich Schönfinkel (1888–1942)
- „Elemente der Logic“ (1920) –a talk in Hilbert’s group in Gottingen
- replacement of functions of more arguments by higher-order unary ones ($f(x,y)=g(x)(y)$ with $g(x): y \mapsto f(x,y)$)
- Combinatory Logic for defining functions without any use of variables (**I**,**K**,**S**: **IM**=**M**, **KMN**=**M**, and **SMNL**=**ML(NL)**)
- Haskell Curry (1900–1982) – development of Combinatory Logic as a whole system (see also programming languages Haskell, Brook, and Curry)

David Hilbert (1862-1943)

Grundlagen der Geometrie, 1899

Four foundational problems:

1. Formalization of mathematical theory
2. Proof of consistency of the the axioms
3. Independence and completeness of the axioms
4. The decision problem: is there a method answering any question in the theory?

David Hilbert

- By 1920's, “Hilbert style” axiomatic approach dominates
- Propositional logic proved complete and decidable
- Predicate logic presented in Hilbert style by Ackermann by 1920

Hilbert Program ~1920

- **Hilbert Program:** expressing higher mathematics in terms of elementary Arithmetics;
formalizing all Mathematics in axiomatic form together with a proof of completeness
(finitistic methods, purely intuitive basis of concrete signs)
- P. Bernays, W. Ackermann, J. von Neumann, J. Herbrand
- Ackermann and von Neumann – proof of consistency of number theory, Ackermann thought near completion for analysis
- Hilbert claimed in 1928 in Bologna that the work is essentially completed

Kurt Gödel: completeness of First-Order Predicate Logic

- Completeness of First-Order Predicate Logic stated by Hilbert and Ackermann in 1928
- Kurt Gödel tackled this in his doctoral thesis in 1929
- Thm: Every logical expression is either satisfiable or refutable, aka Every valid logical expression is provable
- Presented as his forthcoming PhD thesis in September 1930 in Königsberg

Kurt Gödel: incompleteness of formal systems

- Also in September 1930 in Königsberg, presented as an “aside” (not a talk on the conference programme)
- The First Incompleteness Thm showing arithmetic is neither provable nor refutable in Peano arithmetic
- The Second Incompleteness Thm showing that consistency of arithmetic cannot be proved in Arithmetics itself,
 $Con(P) \equiv \neg Prov([0]=[1])$
- von Neumann interrupted his lectures on Hilbert proof theory in the Fall of 1930; seeing Hilbert program could not be achieved at all
→ Destruction of Hilbert Program (impossibility of proving consistence of a formal system inside of it)

Intuitionism (constructivism)

- L.E.J. Brouwer (1881-1966): mathematical knowledge comes from constructing mathematical objects within human intuition; belief that the law of excluded middle, or indirect existential proofs are dangerous to the coherence of Mathematics
- Around 1908 – clash with Hilbert, also in “The untrustworthiness of the principles of logic” challenged the belief that the rules of classical logic which came from Aristotle have absolute validity
- Arend Heyting (1898-1980) was his PhD student developing intuitionism further

Gödel and Gentzen

- Translation from Peano arithmetic to intuitionistic Heyting arithmetic by Gödel, in parallel with Gentzen, 1932-33

Gentzen

- Gentzen thesis (1934-35) on analysis of mathematical proofs
- Natural Deduction (intro & elim rules, esp. suitable for intuitionistic logic)

$$\frac{A \quad B}{A \& B} \&I$$

$$\frac{A \& B}{A} \&E_1 \quad \frac{A \& B}{B} \&E_2$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} [A] \\ \vdots \\ B \end{array}}{A \supset B} \supset I$$

$$\frac{A \supset B \quad A}{B} \supset E$$

Gentzen

- Gentzen thesis (1934-35) on analysis of mathematical proofs
- “Sequenzenkalkul”, Sequent Calculus
- Normalization and cut-elimination

$$\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, A \quad \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, B}{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, A \& B} \text{R\&} \quad \frac{A, \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}{A \& B, \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta} \text{L\&}_1 \quad \frac{B, \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta}{A \& B, \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta} \text{L\&}_2$$

$$\frac{A, \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, B}{\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta, A \supset B} \text{R}\supset \quad \frac{\Gamma \rightarrow \Theta, A \quad B, \Delta \rightarrow \Lambda}{A \supset B, \Gamma, \Delta \rightarrow \Theta, \Lambda} \text{L}\supset$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \rightarrow A \quad A, \Delta \rightarrow C}{\Gamma, \Delta \rightarrow C} \text{Cut}$$

Gödel and Gentzen

- Gentzen gave an alternative proof of the Incompleteness Thm (written 1939, published 1943) by showing a formula unprovable in Peano arithmetic (thus also showing consistency of Peano arithmetic)
- Gödel's proof of consistency using Dialectica interpretation

(Unclear mutual interaction in 1939.)

Computability and Undecidable problems

- Hilbert (1928): “Entscheidungsproblem”: Is there a general effective procedure deciding whether or not a given formula A of a calculus is provable?
- 1936: Alonzo Church proved on the basis of λ -calculus and Alan Turing on the basis of Turing machines several months later that

the answer to the Entscheidungsproblem is negative

- Existence of *undecidable problems* in Informatics

Alonzo Church (1903–1995)

and his λ -calculus

- λ -abstraction: making bound variables in function definitions explicit
- $\lambda x(M)$ means definition of a function mapping an argument a into $M[x/a]$ (“formal parameters” in programming languages)
- Operational semantics via rewriting: $\lambda x(M)N \rightarrow M[x/N]$
- Fixed-point Theorem: For each F there is X s.t. $FX = X$
- There is a fixed-point combinator Y s.t. $F(YF) = YF$
- Proof: $Y = \lambda f(\lambda x.f(xx))(\lambda x.f(xx))$

Computability and Undecidable problems

- 1936: Alonzo Church proved on the basis of λ -calculus and Alan Turing on the basis of Turing machines several months later that

the answer to the Entscheidungsproblem is negative

- Existence of *undecidable problems* in Informatics
- Church-Turing thesis (Kleene, 1952) – computable functions / computability

Church, Turing, and Gödel

- Church using λ -calculus as a formal tool tried to formalize mathematics
- Learning about Gödel's result, claimed that it does not apply to this system
- Kleene - recursive functions
- Rosser - reductions
- Church-Turing thesis (Kleene, 1952) for computable functions / computability:
 - Turing machines
 - λ -definability
 - Gödel's general recursive functions (Princeton, 1934)

Inconsistency in the presence of Logic – the Curry paradox (1942, after Kleene&Rosser, 1935)

- Logical principles:

$P \supset P$ (1) and

$(P \supset (P \supset Q)) \supset (P \supset Q)$ (2) together with

Modus Ponens (from P and $P \supset Q$ infer Q)

- Now construct F such that

$F = F \supset A$ (3)

By taking $F = \lambda x(x \supset A)$ in the **Fixed-Point theorem**.

- By (1) we get

$F \supset F$

- And applying (2) to the second F yields

$F \supset (F \supset A)$

- Now using (2) and **Modus Ponens** one gets

$F \supset A$

- And by (3) in the reverse we receive

F

- And by **Modus Ponens** we get

A , for any A , hence a paradox.

Completing Gödel's rupture in the structure of mathematics

- Gödel opened a crack in the foundations of Mathematics
- The complement of this rupture lays outside of combinatorial formulation; still within the real of Mathematics
- The “inside” of this crack opened up a new discipline, Informatics; may be thought of as a camouflage of formal logic (proof theory) into fairly applicable computational tool

Proof theory as a basis for constructivistic formulation

- Frege vs. Hilbert concerning Platonism vs. Formalism (Hilbert – Mathematics is invented and best viewed as formal symbolic games without intrinsic meaning)
- Hilbert vs. Brouwer concerning Formalism vs. Intuitionism
- Constructivism (Kronecker (1823-1891) with “God made the natural numbers, all else is the work of man“, and esp. Andrej Markov who claimed Mathematics should deal exclusively with constructive objects)
- Proof generation as object construction
- Proof simplification/normalization as a computational mechanism (even without underlying formula semantics)

Completion Requirements

- Essay on a topic using this concept, developing necessary mathematical details.
- Structure of the essay corresponding to an article introducing the topic, and developing details.
- This may either be something relevant to your work/interest, or e.g. taking some of the results concerning λ -Calculus or Combinatory Logic within a suitable formal system, and completing proofs in sufficient mathematical detail.
- 3-5 thousand words (approx. 6-12 pages).