IA085: Satisfiability and Automated Reasoning

Seminar 4

Exercise 1 *Consider an alphametics summation puzzle such as the following:*

where each letter corresponds to a decimal digit, different letters represent different digits, and the leading digits (here S and M) cannot be zero.

Using pySMT*, write a function* solve_sum *that receives three strings corresponding to the three rows of the puzzle and finds its solution, if one exists.*

Exercise 2 It is possible to represent a function of one variable $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ *symbolically by a term with one free variable x of sort* Int *whose evaluation gives the result of the function.*

Using pySMT*, write a function* is_injective *that given a term t representing a function f decides whether the function is injective.* HINT: The method *t.substitute*() might
With *quitable implementation, your the folloging code chauld quiring* come in handy.

With suitable implementation, your the following code should output True, True, False, False*:*

```
from pysmt.shortcuts import Symbol, Ite
from pysmt.typing import INT
```

```
x = Symbol("x", INT)
```

```
def is_injective(t):
    pass
```


Exercise 3 *Consider the following* symbolic transition system $S =$ (X, I, T) :

$$
X = \{x, y\}
$$

\n
$$
I = x = 0 \land y = 10
$$

\n
$$
T = ((x \neq 3 \land (x' = x + 1)) \lor (x = 3 \land (x' = 0))) \land
$$

\n
$$
((x \neq 2 \land (y' = y + 4)) \lor (x = 2 \land (y' = y - 13)))
$$

Implement bounded model checking *algorithm using* pySMT *and use it to show that the transition system does not satisfy the property* $P = y \ge 0$ *.*

In other words, iteratively check satisfiability of the following formula with increasing k:

$$
I(X_1) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq k-1} T(X_i, X_{i+1})\right) \wedge \neg P(X_k)
$$

In the notation above, the set of variables X^k is a new copy of the set of variables X that represents the system state in the time k. The formula $I(X_i)$ *is the formula I with all variables X replaced by* X_k *and similarly for* $T(X_i, X_{i+1})$ and $P(X_i)$.

The formula states that there is a sequence of k successor states that starts with an initial state and ends with a state that does not satisfy the property.

If any of the formulas for $k \geq 1$ *is satisfiable, the system does not satisfy the property.*

bonus: *How can you use incremental* api *of the* smt *solver to make the algorithm faster?*

Exercise 4 *Consider the following symbolic transition system* $S =$ (*X*, *I*, *T*)*:* The system differs from the previous

$$
X = \{x, y\}
$$

\n
$$
I = x = 0 \land y = 10
$$

\n
$$
T = ((x \neq 3 \land (x' = x + 1)) \lor (x = 3 \land (x' = 0))) \land
$$

\n
$$
((x \neq 2 \land (y' = y + 4)) \lor (x = 2 \land (y' = y - 11)))
$$

Implement k-induction *algorithm using* pySMT *and use it to show that the transition system satisfies the property* $P = y \ge 0$ *.*

In other words, iteratively check satisfiability of the following two formulas with increasing k:

$$
\varphi_{base} = I(X_1) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{1 \le i \le k-1} T(X_i, X_{i+1})\right) \wedge \left(\bigvee_{1 \le i \le k} \neg P(X_i)\right) \qquad (1)
$$
\n
$$
\varphi_{ind} = \left(\bigwedge_{1 \le i \le k} T(X_i, X_{i+1})\right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{1 \le i \le k} P(X_k)\right) \wedge \neg P(X_{k+1}) \qquad (2)
$$

If the first formula is unsat*, then the first k states of the system satisfy the property. If the second formula is* unsat*, then if any k successor states satisfy the property, also the next state must satisfy the property.*

If both of the formulas are unsatisfiable for some $k \geq 1$ *, the system does satisfy the property.*

bonus: *Strengthen the assumption of the formula φind so that the first k states have to be parwise distinct. Think about how to change the transition system so that the property cannot be proven without the strengthening and can be proven with it.*

bonus: *How can you use incremental* api *of the* smt *solver to make the algorithm faster?*

one in the last subformula of *T*.