

# PB173 - Tématický vývoj aplikací v C/C++

## Domain specific development in C/C++

(Fall 2014)

Skupina: [Aplikovaná kryptografie a bezpečné programování](#)

<https://is.muni.cz/auth/el/1433/podzim2013/PB173/index.qwarp?fakulta=1433;obdobi=5983;predmet=734514;prejit=2957738;>

Petr Švenda [svenda@fi.muni.cz](mailto:svenda@fi.muni.cz)  
Konzultace: A406, Pondělí 15-15:50



# Portability and memory restrictions

## Memory restrictions

- Size of the code vs. runtime memory requirements
- Depends on the target platform
  - usually of little concern (RAM is big enough)
  - sometimes critical factor for algorithms selection
    - embedded devices, e.g., sensor nodes
- Algorithms usually provides possibility for optimization
  - precomputed tables – speed vs. memory
  - key schedule vs. on-the-fly key schedule
  - optimizations may increase risk for side channel attacks
- **Write correct code first, then optimize**
  - especially true in security

## Portability – different operating systems

- Usually no problems with algorithms
  - plain C code
- Problems with additional functionality
  - read file, directory listing, user input, GUI
  - often cannot be solved by standardized functions or POSIX
  - abstract and separate platform-dependent functions
    - move them into distinct modules
    - easy to replace/extend for target platform later
- Data generated by your application should be portable
  - ASN.1 encoding
  - TLV encoding
  - binary vs. text formats
  - Base64 encoding

## Portability – different hardware platforms

- Little vs. big endian architecture
  - usually problem with bit-based operations
  - e.g., bit rotation
  - problem with interpretation of binary formats
- Highly optimized implementations
  - e.g., Gladman
  - may use architecture specific operations and behaviour
  - multiple byte operations in single tick
  - special representation of memory data
  - may use macros heavily

## Reference vs. optimized version

- Double meaning of “reference” word
  - reference implementation from algorithm designers (Rijndael)
  - reference == code you should use
- Reference implementation (e.g., Rijndael)
  - usually simple and understandable API
  - lower performance
  - may not protect against implementation attacks
  - typical usage is as supplementary material to algorithm description document
  - is used to create test vectors

## Reference vs. optimized version (2)

- Optimized version of algorithm
  - same results as reference implementation
  - portability usually impacted
- Techniques used
  - pre-computed tables often
  - may use whole size of the architecture registers
    - e.g., AES is byte oriented, but x64 can perform eight xor of single byte per tick
  - may use special instruction of particular CPU
  - may use specifics of target architecture (e.g., cache size)
- Typically for the production environment

# Choosing the right length



## Length of keys/block/hashes

- Choose length with some reserve
  - many things can go wrong
- Choose algorithms with corresponding lengths
  - key derivation by MD5 of keys for AES256?
- Do not protect keys distribution by keys with lower entropy
  - AES key encrypted by simple DES key
- Asymmetric keys length needs to be much longer
  - space of possible values is not continuous

ms

| Bits of security | Symmetric key algorithms | FFC<br>(e.g., DSA, D-H)  | IFC<br>(e.g., RSA) | ECC<br>(e.g., ECDSA) |
|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| 80               | 2TDEA <sup>19</sup>      | $L = 1024$<br>$N = 160$  | $k = 1024$         | $f = 160-223$        |
| 112              | 3TDEA                    | $L = 2048$<br>$N = 224$  | $k = 2048$         | $f = 224-255$        |
| 128              | AES-128                  | $L = 3072$<br>$N = 256$  | $k = 3072$         | $f = 256-383$        |
| 192              | AES-192                  | $L = 7680$<br>$N = 384$  | $k = 7680$         | $f = 384-511$        |
| 256              | AES-256                  | $L = 15360$<br>$N = 512$ | $k = 15360$        | $f = 512+$           |

Source:  
NIST SP800

## Recommended key sizes

| Algorithm security lifetimes                   | Symmetric key algorithms<br>(Encryption & MAC)                | FFC<br>(e.g., DSA, D-H)            | IFC<br>(e.g., RSA)  | ECC<br>e.g., ECDSA) |
|--|---|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Through 2010<br>(min. of 80 bits of strength)  | 2TDEA <sup>23</sup><br>3TDEA<br>AES-128<br>AES-192<br>AES-256 | Min.:<br>$L = 1024$ ;<br>$N = 160$ | Min.:<br>$k = 1024$ | Min.:<br>$f = 160$  |
| Through 2030<br>(min. of 112 bits of strength) | 3TDEA<br>AES-128<br>AES-192<br>AES-256                        | Min.:<br>$L = 2048$<br>$N = 224$   | Min.:<br>$k = 2048$ | Min.:<br>$f = 224$  |
| Beyond 2030<br>(min. of 128 bits of strength)  | AES-128<br>AES-192<br>AES-256                                 | Min.:<br>$L = 3072$<br>$N = 256$   | Min.:<br>$k = 3072$ | Min.:<br>$f = 256$  |

Source:  
NIST SP800

# Symmetric key cryptography

- Key length for symmetric cryptography
  - 80 bits not secure enough against brute-force
  - always good to have some reserve for algorithm flaws
    - flaw => key can be found faster than by brute-force
    - AES-128 is still OK
    - AES-256 do not have 256 bits of security
- Take your application needs into account!

## Making the keys

- From what are you making the keys?
  - password must have entropy equivalent to derived key
  - e.g., AES-128 key derived from “hello” will not have 128 bits security
- What if you create two keys from one with 128 bits of entropy?
- Do you really have perfect random generator?
  - 128 generated bits will not have 128 bits of entropy
  - generate more bits and use hash function to condense into 128 bits
- *(2013 - Seems that NSA was involved in intentional crippling of random generators – implementation and even standards)*

# Asymmetric cryptography

- RSA is still gold standard
  - use (at least) 2048 bits keys
  - 768 bits broken by brute-force
  - special number with 1024 bits broken by brute-force
  - 1024 bits not broken yet, but...
- Elliptic curve cryptography (ECC) seems cool
  - *Currently (2013), some doubts about ECC security based on leaked Snowden documents arise*
  - But do you really need shorter keys?
  - You will face harder portability, more coding problems, lower level of code testiness etc.

# Practical assignment

# Practical assignment

- Finalize codes based on the discussions during lecture today
- Write following simple unit tests:
  - file not exists or cannot be read/written into
  - encrypted blob was corrupted
  - wrong decryption key was used
  - test vectors for encryption and hashing
- Any UT framework (UnitTest++, MinUnit, CxxTest, Catch, QTest...)
- Code will be used later in architecture
  - will be used again and extended, so write it well
- Best practices
  - <http://blog.stevensanderson.com/2009/08/24/writing-great-unit-tests-best-and-worst-practises/>
  - <http://www.levelofindirection.com/journal/2010/12/28/unit-testing-in-c-and-objective-c-just-got-easier.html>



## Submissions, deadlines

- Upload application source codes as single zip file into IS Homework vault (Crypto - 2. homework (UT))
  - Finalized codes based on the discussions during lecture
  - Added unit tests
- 0-5 points assigned
- DEADLINE 28.9. 23:59

# Questions?