

IA168 — Problem set 1

Problem 1 [4 points]

Give an example of a **zero-sum** two-player strategic-form game G with **pure** strategies only such that $G \neq G_{Rat}^1 \neq G_{Rat}^2 \neq G_{Rat}^3$.

Problem 2 [8 points]

Consider a two-player strategic-form game with **mixed** strategies, where each player has exactly two strategies, called A_1, B_1 , and A_2, B_2 , respectively.

- Define the utility functions of both players so that there are infinitely many mixed Nash equilibria, but there are $\bar{\sigma}_1 \in \Sigma_1, \bar{\sigma}_2 \in \Sigma_2$ such that for all $\sigma_1 \in \Sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma_2$ neither $(\bar{\sigma}_1, \sigma_2)$ nor $(\sigma_1, \bar{\sigma}_2)$ is a mixed Nash equilibrium.
- Define the utility functions of both players so that there are as many mixed Nash equilibria as possible, but only finitely many of them.
- Prove that, provided there are only finitely many mixed Nash equilibria, there cannot be more of them than in your example from b).

Problem 3 [8 points]

Prove or disprove:

- IESDS creates no new Nash equilibria in any finite strategic-form game G with pure strategies.
- IESDS creates no new Nash equilibria in any strategic-form game G with pure strategies.