IA168 — Problem set 1

Throughout this problem set, "game" means "two-player strategic-form game with pure strategies only".

Problem 1 [6 points]

Find a game with exactly 2 Pareto optimal strategy profiles and exactly 2 Nash equilibria so that:

- a) both of the Nash equilibria are Pareto optimal;
- b) exactly one Nash equilibrium is Pareto optimal;
- c) neither of the Nash equilibria is Pareto optimal.

Problem 2 [10 points]

Consider the following zero-sum game, defined by the payoff table for player 1:

where the payoffs of player 2 are the opposite values of these payoffs (e.g. $u_2(A_1, A_2) = -u_1(A_1, A_2) = -x$) and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Player 1 and player 2 will play this game infinitely many times and we will denote by $s_{1,i} \in \{A_1, B_1\}$ the strategy that player 1 chooses in the *i*-th iteration, by $s_{2,i} \in \{A_2, B_2\}$ the strategy that player 2 chooses in the *i*-th iteration and by s_i the strategy profile $(s_{1,i}, s_{2,i})$.

Suppose that $s_{1,1} = A_1$, $s_{2,1} = A_2$, $s_{1,i} = A_1$ iff A_1 is a best response to $s_{2,i-1}$ and $s_{2,i} = A_2$ iff A_2 is a best response to $s_{1,i-1}$ for i > 1. Find the necessary and sufficient condition for x, y so that:

- a) $\exists i \in \mathbb{N}: s_i = s_{i+1} = (A_1, A_2)$
- b) $\exists i \in \mathbb{N}: s_i = s_{i+1} = (B_1, B_2)$
- c) $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}: s_i \neq s_{i+1}, s_i \neq s_{i+2} \text{ and } s_i \neq s_{i+3}$
- d) $\exists i \in \mathbb{N}: s_i \neq s_{i+1}$ and either $s_i = s_{i+2}$ or $s_i = s_{i+3}$

Explain your reasoning.

Problem 3 [4 points]

Prove that each Nash equilibrium is rationalizable and survives IESDS (Theorem 17.2).