









PA198 **Augmented Reality Interfaces**

Lecture 12 Collaborative AR Applications & Future

> Fotis Liarokapis liarokap@fi.muni.cz

11th December 2017

Collaborative AR **Applications**



Collaboration











- · Collaboration is working with others to do a task and to achieve shared goals
 - A recursive process where two or more people or organizations work together to realize shared goals



- Collaboration
 - Business, Entertainment, etc
- Computer Supported Collaborative Work (CSCW)
- Groupware





Collaborative Learning



- · Collaborative activities are most often based on four principles:
 - The learner or student is the primary focus of instruction
 - Interaction and 'doing' are of primary importance
 - Working in groups is an important mode of learning
 - Structured approaches to developing solutions to real-world problems should be incorporated into learning







Persistent Information

- · Email
- · News group
- · Papers
- · Mail
- Electronic Notebook




Legal and Records requirem enta


- · Telephone
- · Video Conference · Chat/White board

Real Time Information Exchange


- Shared authoring &
- applications
- Shared VR space
- · Instrument control

Notebook is a chronological record of ideas, data and events






w computer-Supported Cooperative ♥ Work (CSCW)



 CSCW is a generic term, which combines the understanding of the way people work in groups with the enabling technologies of computer networking, and associated hardware, software, services and techniques

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Computer-supported cooperative work





Today's Technology



- Lack of spatial cues
- Limited participants
- 2D collaboration
- · Collaborative Virtual Environments
 - Separation from real world
 - Reduced conversational cues



Billinghurst, M. Lecture 6: Collaborative AR Applications, HIT Lab NZ, University of Canterbury



 ∇

Beyond Video Conferencing



- · 2D Interface onto 3D
 - VRML, Web3D
- Projection Screen
 - CAVE, WorkBench
- Volumetric Display
 - Scanning laser
- Virtual Reality
 - Natural spatial cues





Beyond Virtual Reality



Future Collaboration?



- · Lessons from CSCW
 - Seamless
 - Enhance Reality
- · Immersive Virtual Reality
 - Separates from real world
 - Reduces conversational cues



· Remote Conferencing



· Face to face Conferencing







AR & Collaboration



Construct3D [Kaufmann 2000]



- · Claim:
 - AR techniques can be used to provide spatial cues that significantly enhance face-to-face and remote collaboration on three-dimensional tasks



· Collaborative geometry education tool

- · Different learning modes
 - Teacher, student, exam

- Personal interaction panel

- Tangible interaction







Construct3D Video





Collaborative AR



- · Seamless Interaction
 - Natural Communication
 - Attributes:
 - Virtuality
 - Augmentation
 - Co-operation
 - Independence
 - Individuality







Seamless CSCW







- Seam
 - Spatial, temporal, functional discontinuity
- Types of Seams
 - Functional
 - · Between different functional workspaces
 - Cognitive
 - Between different work practices



Billinghurst M. Lecture 6: Collaborative AR Applications. HIT Lab NZ. University of Canterbury



Cognitive Seams





Effect of Seams











- Loss of Gaze Information
- Degradation of Non-Verbal Cues
- · Cognitive Seams:
 - Learning Curve Effects
 - User Frustration





Open Research Questions







Collaborative AR Interfaces



- Does seamlessness enhance performance?
- · What AR cues can enhance collaboration?
- How does AR collaboration differ ?
- What technology is required?





- WebSpace, Shared Space, Table Top Demo, Interface
- · Comparison, AR Interface Comparison
- Remote Collaboration
 - SharedView, RTAS, Wearable Info Space, WearCom, AR Conferencing, BlockParty
- Transitional Interfaces
 - MagicBook
- Hybrid Interfaces
 - AR PRISM, GI2VIS











Communication Cues



· A wide variety of communication cues used







Communication Cues.

Face to Face

Collaboration



Differences in Collaboration



- In computer supported collaboration it is often hard for users to exchange non-verbal communication cues, even when they are colocated



- · Face-to-face collaboration
 - People surround a table
 - It is easy to see each other



- · Computer supported collaboration
 - People sit side by side
 - It is hard to see each other



Shared Space - Table Top Demo



- Goal
 - Create compelling collaborative AR interface usable by novices
- · Exhibit content
 - Matching card game
 - Face to face collaboration
 - Physical interaction





Billinghurst, M. Lecture 6: Collaborative AR Applications, HIT Lab NZ, University of Canterbury

Results from Shared Space




- 2,500 3,000 users
- Observations
 - No problems with the interface
 - · Only needed basic instructions
 - Physical objects easy to manipulate
 - Spontaneous collaboration
- User study (157 participants)
 - Users felt they could easily play with other people and interact with objects
- Improvements
 - Reduce lag, improve image quality, better HMD

 \triangle

 \triangle

 \triangle







Recording a Hologram



- · To make a hologram, the following are required:
 - A suitable object or set of objects
 - A suitable laser beam
 - Part of the laser beam to be directed so that it illuminates the object beam and another part so that it illuminates the recording medium directly (the reference beam)
 - Enabling the reference beam and the light which is scattered from the object onto the recording medium to form an interference pattern
 - A recording medium
 - Converts this interference pattern into an optical element which modifies either the amplitude or the phase of an incident light beam according to the intensity of the interference pattern
 - An environment
 - Provides sufficient mechanical and thermal stability that the interference pattern is stable during the time in which the interference pattern is recorded





CNN Hologram



- · Elections in 2008, USA
- · Holographic technology used
 - First time in TV





CNN Hologram Video





` [

Basic AR Conferencing



 Moves conferencing from the desktop to the workspace



Billinghurst, M. Lecture 6: Collaborative AR Applications, HIT Lab NZ, University of Canterbury



https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=thOxW19vsTg



Features





Pilot Study



- Hardware
 - SGI 02
 - Virtual i-O HMD
 - Head mounted camera
- Software
 - Live video
 - Shared whiteboard
 - Vision based registration/tracking



Billinghurst, M. Lecture 6: Collaborative AR Applications, HIT Lab NZ, University of Canterbury

- · How does AR conferencing differ?
- Task
- Discussing images
- 12 pairs of subjects
- Conditions
 - Audio only (AC)
 - Video conferencing (VC)
 - Mixed reality conferencing (MR)






Results







- Paid more attention to pictures
- Remote video provided peripheral cues
- In AR condition
 - Difficult to see everything
 - Remote user distracting
 - Communication asymmetries



Features

- Mobile video conferencing
- Full size images
- Spatial audio/visual cues
- Interaction with real world
- Dozens of users
- Body-stabilized data



HCI

llinghurst, M. Lecture 6: Collaborative AR Applications, HIT Lab NZ, University of Canterbury



Billinghurst, M. Lecture 6: Collaborative AR Applications, HIT Lab NZ, University of Canterbury



Initial Prototype












- · Internet Telephony
- Spatial Audio/Visuals
- · See-through HMD
- · Head Tracking
- Wireless Internet
- · Wearable Computer
- · Static Images



Multicast Groups

- Position Broadcasting
 - 10 kb/s per person
- Audio Broadcasting
 - 172 kb/s per person
- · Local sound spatialization
 - DirectSound3D
- · Graphics Interface
 - DirectX/Direct3D



HCISSO

Billinghurst, M. Lecture 6: Collaborative AR Applications, HIT Lab NZ, University of Canterbu



Billinghurst, M. Lecture 6: Collaborative AR Applications, HIT Lab NZ, University of Canterbu



Pilot User Study






- Task
 - Recognize words in spoken phrases
- Conditions
 - Number of speakers
 - 1,3,5 simultaneous speakers
 - Spatial/Non Spatial Audio
 - Visual/Non visual cues





Results





HCISSON

Billinghurst, M. Lecture 6: Collaborative AR Applications, HIT Lab NZ, University of Canterbury

HCI

 \triangle

 \triangle

 \triangle









MagicBook Concept





- A collaborative AR interface supporting transitions from reality to virtual reality

- Physical Components
 - Real book
- Display Elements
 - AR and VR content
- Interaction Metaphor
 - Book pages hold virtual scenes







Multiscale

Collaboration

· Milgram defined the term 'Augmented Virtuality' to identify systems which are mostly synthetic with some real world imagery added such as texture mapping video onto virtual objects





MagicBook Transitions



- · Interfaces of the future will need to support transitions along the Reality-Virtuality continuum
- · Augmented Reality is preferred for:
 - Co-located collaboration
- · Immersive Virtual Reality is preferred for:
 - Experiencing world immersively (egocentric)
 - Sharing views
 - Remote collaboration



MagicBook Features



- · Seamless transition between Reality and Virtuality
 - Reliance on real decreases as virtual increases
- Supports egocentric and exocentric views
 - User can pick appropriate view
- · Computer becomes invisible
 - Consistent interface metaphors
 - Virtual content seems real
- Supports collaboration

Billinghurst, M. Lecture 6: Collaborative AR Applications, HIT Lab NZ, University of Canterbury



MagicBook Collaboration



- · Collaboration on multiple levels:
 - Physical Object
 - AR Object
 - Immersive Virtual Space
- Egocentric + exocentric collaboration
 - Multiple multi-scale users
- · Independent Views
 - Privacy, role division, scalability




MagicBook Video





Conclusions





- AR preferred over immersive VR
- AR facilitates seamless/natural communication
- · Remote Collaboration
 - AR spatial cues can enhance communication
 - AR conferencing improves video conferencing
 - Many possible confounding factors
- Future
 - Expect a lot of new AR technologies and apps











Future of AR



- Many years of development
 - A lot of achievements
- · Moving from desktop to mobile
 - New interfaces are required
 - Research is changing



AR Nowadays










- 30th November 2015 AR went to space!
- New hardware improvements expected
- · Many companies
 - > \$600 Million USD marketAnd growing
 - Thousands of applications (mainly mobile)
- A lot of tools exist but no complete solution





- Overcome social problems with AR
- Cloud Services
 - Cloud based storage/processing
- AR Authoring Tools
 - Easy content creation for non-experts
- Collaborative Experiences
 - AR teleconferencing




Investments

A


Facts & Expectations




- Big investments by Google and Apple
 - 29 M Euros Apple (Metaio)
 - 542 M dollars (Magic Leap)
 - · Facebook invested in VR
















Key Enabling Technologies







- Augmentation
 - Display Technology
- · Real-time interaction
 - Interaction Technologies
- 3D Registration
 - Tracking Technologies







MASARYKOVA UNIVERZITA






MASARYKOVA UNIVERZITA

Displays Projections

- · Early years
 - Bulky HMDs
- Nowadays
 - Handheld, lightweight HMDs
- Near Future
 - Projected AR
 - Wide FOV see through
 - Retinal displays
- Far Future
 - Contact lens





- Rollable retro-reflective sheet
- Wide FOV, shared interaction
 - i.e. CastAR (http://castar.com)
 - \$400 USD, available Q4 2015



Billinghurst, M. Augmented Reality: The Next 20 Years, AWE Asia, 18th October 20




CastAR Video





Wide FOV See-Through (3+ years)



- Wider FOV
- Thin see through
- Socially acceptable
- · Pinlight Displays
 - LCD panel + point light sources
 - 110 degree FOV
 - UNC/Nvidia



Lumus DK40

Maimone, A., Lanman, D., et al. Pinlight displays: wide field of view augmented reality eyeglasses using defocused point light sources, Prot ACM SIGGRAPH 2014 Emerging Technologies, 20, 2014.







Retinal Displays (5+ years)




Contact Lens (15 + years)



- · Photons scanned into eye
 - Infinite depth of field
 - Bright outdoor performance
 - Overcome visual defects
 - True 3D stereo with depth modulation
- Microvision (1993-)
 - Head mounted monochrome
- MagicLeap (2013-)
 - Projecting light field into eye





- Wide FOV

· Contact Lens only

- Unobtrusive

- Socially acceptable
- Innovega
 - http://innovega-inc.com/

- Significant technical challenges

• Power, data, resolution



Billinghurst, M. Augmented Reality: The Next 20 Years, AWE Asia, 18th October 2015.







Interaction Projections



- Early years
 - Limited interaction
 - Viewpoint manipulation
- Nowadays
 - Screen based, simple gesture
 - Tangible interaction
- Future
 - Natural gesture, Multimodal
 - Intelligent Interfaces
 - Physiological/Sensor based











Natural Gesture (2-5 years)

Interaction








- Depth sensors for gesture capture
- Move beyond simple pointing
- Rich two handed gestures
 - i.e. Microsoft Research Hand Tracker - 3D hand tracking, 30 fps, single sensor
- · Commercial Systems
 - Meta, Hololens, Occulus, Intel, etc







· RGB-D hand tracking on Android

Smart Glass Hand Interaction

· Natural gesture input for glasses





Sharp, T., Keskin, C., et al. Accurate, Robust, and Flexible Real-time Hand Tracking, Proc CHI, Vol. 8, 2015


irst, M. Augmented Reality: The Next 20 Years, AWE Asia, 18th October 2015.



Multimodal Input (5+ years)



- Combine gesture and speech input
 - Gesture good for qualitative input
 - Speech good for quantitative input
 - Support combined commands
 - "Put that there" + pointing
- HIT Lab NZ multimodal input
 - 3D hand tracking, speech
 - Multimodal fusion module
 - Complete tasks faster with MMI, less



Tracking







- Recognize user behaviour
- Provide adaptive feedback
- Support scaffolded learning
- Move beyond check-lists of actions
- Eg AR + Intelligent Tutoring
 - Constraint based ITS + AR
 - PC Assembly
 - 30% faster, 25% better retention











Tracking Projections



- Early years
 - Location based, marker based,
 - Magnetic/mechanical
- Nowadays
 - Image based, hybrid tracking
- Future
 - Ubiquitous
 - Model based
 - Environmental










- · Track from known 3D model
 - Use depth + colour information
 - Match input to model template
 - Use CAD model of targets
- · Recent innovations
 - Learn models online
 - Tracking from cluttered scene
 - Track from deformable objects





Environmental Tracking (3+ yrs)



- · Environment capture
 - Use depth sensors to capture scene & track from model
- InifinitAM
 - Real time scene capture on mobiles (dense or sparse)
 - Dynamic memory swapping allows large environment
 - Cross platform, open source library available









Conclusions



 \triangle







- 30th November 2015 AR went to space!
- · New hardware improvements expected
- Many companies
 - > \$600 Million USD market And growing
 - Thousands of applications (mainly mobile)
- A lot of tools exist but no complete solution



