

- 6.1 (a) Encrypt your UČO using the Rabin cryptosystem with  $n = 698069$ . Then calculate all four possible decryptions of the ciphertext you calculated, with the knowledge that  $n = 887 \times 787$ .

Solution:

- *Encryption:*  $m = 456149$  and  $m < n$ , therefore we can compute the ciphertext

$$c = m^2 = 456149^2 \equiv \mathbf{577578} \pmod{698069}.$$

- *Decryption:* The decryption formula is  $m \equiv \sqrt{c} \pmod{n}$ . We use Chinese remainder theorem to calculate the possible results.

$$\begin{array}{ll} x \equiv 577578 & \pmod{887} & y \equiv 577578 & \pmod{787} \\ x \equiv 577578^{\frac{887+1}{4}} & \pmod{887} & y \equiv 577578^{\frac{787+1}{4}} & \pmod{787} \\ x \equiv 577578^{222} & \pmod{887} & y \equiv 577578^{197} & \pmod{787} \\ x \equiv 231 & \pmod{887} & y \equiv 311 & \pmod{787} \end{array}$$

We are looking for the Bezout's coefficients ( $k$  and  $l$ ) for  $p = 887$  and  $q = 787$

$$204 \cdot 787 - 181 \cdot 887 = 1.$$

Therefore  $k = -181$  and  $l = 204$ .

Finally we can calculate all four possible decryptions, using this statement

$$\pm x \cdot l \cdot q \pm y \cdot k \cdot p \equiv m_i \pmod{n}.$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} m_1 \equiv 231 \cdot 204 \cdot 787 + 311 \cdot (-181) \cdot 887 \equiv 419782 & \pmod{698069} \\ m_2 \equiv 231 \cdot 204 \cdot 787 - 311 \cdot (-181) \cdot 887 \equiv 456149 & \pmod{698069} \\ m_3 \equiv -231 \cdot 204 \cdot 787 + 311 \cdot (-181) \cdot 887 \equiv 241920 & \pmod{698069} \\ m_4 \equiv -231 \cdot 204 \cdot 787 - 311 \cdot (-181) \cdot 887 \equiv 278287 & \pmod{698069} \end{array}$$

The original message is  $m_2$ . □

- (b) Encrypt your UČO with the ElGamal cryptosystem with  $p = 567899$ ,  $q = 2$ ,  $x = 12345$  and random choice  $r = 938$ .

Solution: First of all, the part of public key is  $y = q^x \pmod{p}$ , therefore  $y = 2^{12345} \equiv 222588 \pmod{567899}$ .

Now we are able to encrypt the message  $m = 456149$ . The ciphertext is  $c = (a, b)$ , where  $a = q^r \pmod{p}$  and  $b = y^r w \pmod{p}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ll} a = 2^{938} & \equiv 201104 \pmod{567899} \\ b = 222588^{938} \cdot 456149 & \equiv 25233 \pmod{567899} \end{array}$$

The message  $m = 456149$  is encrypted as **(201104, 25233)**. □

**Question 2.**

---

$$q = 7, y = 505, p = 541$$

$$m = \sqrt{p-1} = \sqrt{540} = 24$$

$$0 \leq i, j \leq 23:$$

$L_1$  :

j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
$q^{m-j} \pmod{p}$	1	110	198	140	252	129	124	115	207	48	411	307

j	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
$q^{m-j} \pmod{p}$	228	194	241	1	110	198	140	252	129	124	115	207

$L_2$  :

i	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
$y \cdot q^{-i} \pmod{p}$	505	304	198	492	534	540	309	276	194	105	15	234

i	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
$y \cdot q^{-i} \pmod{p}$	188	336	48	316	277	426	370	362	129	173	102	401

Pairs with common second values, and resulting exponents:

$$(9, 48), (14, 48), x_1 = 24 \cdot 9 + 14 = 230 \pmod{540}$$

$$(5, 129), (20, 129), x_2 = 24 \cdot 5 + 20 = 140 \pmod{540}$$

$$(20, 129), (20, 129), x_3 = 24 \cdot 20 + 20 = 500 \pmod{540}$$

$$(13, 194), (8, 194), x_4 = 24 \cdot 13 + 8 = 320 \pmod{540}$$

$$(2, 198), (2, 198), x_5 = 24 \cdot 2 + 2 = 50 \pmod{540}$$

$$(17, 198), (2, 198), x_6 = 24 \cdot 17 + 2 = 410 \pmod{540}$$

**Question 3.**

---

We assume that year has 365 days. People born on 29<sup>th</sup> of February usually celebrate birthday on the 28<sup>th</sup> anyway.

- (a) The birthday co-incidence probability given by the birthday paradox equation is:

$$1 - \frac{365!}{365^n(365 - n)!}$$

---

IV054 2019

Jan Pokorný (456195 (xpokorn3))

Homework 6

For  $n = 135$ , this gives:

$$1 - \frac{365!}{365^{135}(365 - 135)!} \approx 0.99999999999960$$

Meaning the probability is around 99.999999999960%, or, in other words, practically certain.

- (b) Since the chance of some specific other student sharing birthday with me is  $\frac{1}{365}$ , the chance of him not sharing is  $\frac{364}{365}$ , the probability of all the 134 students other than me not sharing is  $\left(\frac{364}{365}\right)^{134}$  and finally the probability of some other student sharing birthday is:

$$1 - \left(\frac{364}{365}\right)^{134} \approx 0.3076$$

Meaning the probability is around 30.76%.

**Question 4.**

---

Given problem is an equivalent to solving the birthday paradox for a year with  $2^{64}$  days. Let's consider the complementary event, that is that no collision occurred. The probability of such event considering  $n$   $2^{64}$ -bit hashes (by the pigeonhole principle  $n \leq 2^{64}$ ) is equal to

$$P(A') = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{i}{2^{64}}\right) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{2^{64} - i}{2^{64}} = \frac{1}{2^{64n}} \cdot \frac{2^{64}!}{(2^{64} - n)!}$$

However as the size of hash is large, an approximation can be used. Assuming  $n \ll 2^{64}$  we will use the fact that for  $\ln(1 - \epsilon) = -\epsilon$  for small positive  $\epsilon$ . We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(P(A')) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \ln\left(1 - \frac{i}{2^{64}}\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} -\frac{i}{2^{64}} = -\frac{1}{2^{64}} \cdot \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \approx -\frac{1}{2^{64}} \cdot \frac{n^2}{2} \text{ (for large } n) \\ e^{\ln(P(A'))} &= P(A') \approx e^{-\frac{n^2}{2 \cdot 2^{64}}} \end{aligned}$$

The probability  $P(A)$  of generating at least one collision in a set of  $n$   $2^{64}$ -bit hashes is at least  $\frac{3}{4}$  when  $P(A') \leq \frac{1}{4}$ . Therefore we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\frac{n^2}{2 \cdot 2^{64}}} &\leq \frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{n^2}{2 \cdot 2^{64}} &\leq \ln\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \\ n^2 &\leq -2 \cdot 2^{64} \cdot \ln\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \approx 5.11452 \dots \cdot 10^{19} \\ n &\approx 7.15159 \dots \cdot 10^9 \end{aligned}$$

At least  $\approx 7.15159 \dots \cdot 10^9$  guesses must be made in order to obtain probability of a collision at least  $\frac{3}{4}$ .

**Question 5.**

---

We are encrypting message  $x = 1111_2$ ,  $s_0 = 195$  with parameters  $p = 11$  and  $q = 43$ .

$$\begin{aligned} n &= p \times q = 473 \\ s_1 &= 195^2 \bmod 473 = 185 \\ s_2 &= 185^2 \bmod 473 = 169 \\ s_3 &= 169^2 \bmod 473 = 181 \\ s_4 &= 181^2 \bmod 473 = 124 \\ s_5 &= 124^2 \bmod 473 = 240 \\ \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 &= 1110 \text{ (least significant bits of } s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) \end{aligned}$$

$$c = (s_5, x \oplus \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4) = \underline{\underline{(240, 0001)}}$$

**Question 6.**

---

(a) The function is not negligible because if we set  $p(n) = n^2$  and suppose the inequality

$\ln(1 + \frac{1}{n}) > \frac{1}{n^2}$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ln(1 + \frac{1}{n}) * n^2 = \infty$  which is  $> 1$  for at least most of  $n$  values, meaning  $f(n) \leq \frac{1}{p(n)}$  can not hold "for almost all  $n$ " as the definition states.

(b) It is easy to see that for any polynomial  $p(m) = a_m n^m + \dots + a_0$  the function  $r_p(n) = \sum_{i=0}^m |a_i| * n^m$  produces greater values than the polynomial. Therefore, if  $f(n) \leq \frac{1}{r_p(n)}$ , then

$$f(n) \leq \frac{1}{r_p(n)}.$$

$$e^{\frac{1}{n}} e^{-n} \leq \frac{1}{r_p(n)}$$

$$e^{\frac{1-n^2}{n}} \leq \frac{1}{r_p(n)}$$

$$\ln(e^{\frac{1-n^2}{n}}) \leq \ln(\frac{1}{r_p(n)})$$

$$\frac{1-n^2}{n} \leq \ln(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=0}^m |a_i| * n^m})$$

$$\frac{1-n^2}{n} \leq -\ln(\sum_{i=0}^m |a_i| * n^m)$$

$$\frac{1-n^2}{n} \leq -\ln(\sum_{i=0}^m |a_i|) - m * \ln(n)$$

$$\frac{1-n^2}{n} + \ln(\sum_{i=0}^m |a_i|) \geq m$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1-n^2}{n} + \ln(\sum_{i=0}^m |a_i|)}{-\ln(n)} = \infty$$

From the reason similar as in (a),  $m$  is lower than at least most of  $n$  values for any polynomial, hence the function is negligible.

Question 7.

---

- (a) Suppose you know a valid plaintext-ciphertext pair  $w_1 = 457, (a_1, b_1) = (663, 2138)$ , constructed using the ElGamal cryptosystem with public key  $p = 6661, q = 6, y = 6015$ . Also you know that instead of using a new random  $r$  to encrypt each new message, the sender just increments the previous one, i.e.  $r_2 = r_1 + 1$ . With this knowledge decrypt the following ciphertext  $(a_2, b_2) = (3978, 1466)$  without calculating discrete logarithms.

we know that:

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= 457 \\ a_1 &= q^{r_1} \pmod p \\ b_1 &= y^{r_1} w_1 \pmod p \\ w_1 &= b_1 a_1^{-x} \pmod p \\ \text{and therefore } a_1^x &= w_1^{-1} b_1 \pmod p \\ \text{and we also know:} \\ r_2 &= r_1 + 1 \\ a_2 &= q^{r_2} = q^{r_1+1} = a_1 q \pmod p \\ b_2 &= y^{r_2} w_2 = y^{r_1+1} w_2 \pmod p \\ w_2 &= b_2 a_2^{-x} \pmod p \end{aligned}$$

To calculate  $w_2$  now we can use this knowledge

$$\begin{aligned} w_2 &= \frac{b_2}{a_2^x} \pmod p \\ &= \frac{b_2}{(a_1 q)^x} \pmod p \\ &= \frac{b_2}{a_1^x q^x} \pmod p \\ &= \frac{b_2}{w_1^{-1} b_1 y} \pmod p \\ &= b_2 w_1 b_1^{-1} y^{-1} \pmod p \end{aligned}$$

Now to actually decrypt  $w_2$  we need to calculate  $b_1^{-1} \pmod p$  and  $y^{-1} \pmod p$  (we can use for example extended euclidean algorithm)

$$\begin{aligned} b_1^{-1} &\equiv 4153 \pmod{6661} \\ y^{-1} &\equiv 464 \pmod{6661} \\ \text{and now we can calculate } w_2 & \\ w_2 &= b_2 w_1 b_1^{-1} y^{-1} = 1466 \cdot 457 \cdot 464 \cdot 4153 = 888 \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Show that the same attack is possible for any linear update function of the random seed, i.e. whenever  $r_2 = kr_1 + \ell \pmod{p-1}$ .

we know that:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= q^{r_1} \pmod p \\ b_1 &= y^{r_1} w_1 \pmod p \\ w_1 &= b_1 a_1^{-x} \pmod p \\ \text{and therefore } a_1^x &= w_1^{-1} b_1 \pmod p \\ \text{and we also know:} \\ r_2 &= kr_1 + \ell \pmod{p-1} \\ a_2 &= q^{r_2} = q^{kr_1 + \ell} = a_1^k q^\ell \pmod p \\ \text{and therefore:} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} w_2 &= b_2 a_2^{-x} \pmod p \\ &= b_2 (a_1^k q^\ell)^{-x} \pmod p \\ &= b_2 (a_1^{xk} q^{x\ell})^{-1} \pmod p \\ &= b_2 ((w_1^{-1} b_1)^k y^\ell)^{-1} \pmod p \\ &= b_2 (w_1^{-k} b_1^k y^\ell)^{-1} \pmod p \\ &= b_2 w_1^k b_1^{-k} y^{-\ell} \pmod p \\ &= b_2 w_1^k (b_1^{-1})^k (y^{-1})^\ell \pmod p \end{aligned}$$