

# Module Integrity & Temporary Files

Petr Ročkai

## Overview

- Part 1: Dynamic Linking
- Part 2: Signatures and Trust
- Part 3: Temporary Files
- Part 4: DRM and Code Obfuscation
- Part 5: Homomorphic Cryptosystems

# Part 1: Dynamic Linking

## Static Linking

- library code is **built into** the executable
- distributed as **.a** (UNIX) or **.lib** (Windows)
- library is not needed to run the program
- → easy distribution – no external dependencies

## Resource Use

- disk space is taken up by **many copies** of the same code
- so is RAM when programs are loaded (executed)

## Static Linking: Vulnerability Management

- each application ships with its **own copy** of the code
- what if a problem is found in the library?
- **each application** needs to be **updated** separately

## Detour: How a Linker Works

- programs need **addresses** of things
  - global variables
  - procedures
- the **compiler** often does not know the address
- object files (**.o**) contain **relocations**
- the linker replaces **symbols** (names) with **addresses**

## Detour: Copy on Write

- multiple running programs share **text**
- this is because **fork()** does not copy everything
- **saves** a lot of **RAM** when many copies of a program run
- implemented using a memory management unit
- works on a page-by-page (4K on x86) basis

## Dynamic Linking

- allows a single library to be **shared** by many programs
- stored in **.so** (UNIX) or **.dll** files (Windows)
- UNIX: **ld.so** implements **runtime linking**
- part of the **linking** process done at **execution time**



## Dynamic Linker

- **loads** all the pieces into memory
- performs relocation **in memory**
- hands off execution to the program
- this is actually naive and **inefficient**
- in practice
  - position-independent code
  - lazy binding

## Position-Dependent Code

- normal code must be loaded at a **fixed address**
  - e.g. absolute jump and call instructions
  - direct references to global data
- runtime linker can **rewrite** those addresses
  - takes too much time
  - we lose sharing

## Position-Independent Code

- we want to confine relocations to a small area
  - this is the **global offset table** (GOT)
  - holds both data and code relocations
- compilers can emit **position-independent** code
  - use relative addresses when possible
  - use **address tables** for indirection (GOT, PLT)

## Lazy Binding

- do not relocate at **load** time
- replace inter-library calls with **stubs**
- the stub asks the linker to **relocate**
- the linker **rewrites** the stub with a jump
- unused parts of the code are **never relocated**

## Library Preloading

- the runtime linker can load additional libraries
  - via `LD_PRELOAD` on UNIX
  - `AppInit_DLLs` on Windows
  - `DYLD_INSERT_LIBRARIES` on OS X
- those extra libraries can **override** functionality
  - useful for **hooking** into library calls
  - but also **compromises** the integrity of the application

## Plugins

- often implemented using **shared libraries**
- **not** linked into the application
- explicitly loaded at runtime
  - using **dlopen** (UNIX) or **LoadLibrary** (Windows)
  - based on the filename
- used via function pointers obtained by name
  - **dlsym** or **GetProcAddress**

## Search Path Attacks

- the system needs to **find** shared libraries to load
- it is usually possible to **extend** or override this path
  - **LD\_LIBRARY\_PATH** on UNIX, **PATH** on Windows
  - **current directory** is also searched on Windows
- only a problem in special circumstances
  - the library is missing in system locations
  - loading based on the **SearchPath** API on Windows

## Library Injection

- arrange for your library to be loaded
  - either via preloading
  - or use the same **name** as a system library
  - and place it where it's found
- hard to do unless the library is missing on the system
- may be easier with **plugins**



## Interposing Calls

- assume your library has been loaded
- the code in the library runs with **privileges of the process**
- **your** implementation of the API can **do anything**
  - **log and exfiltrate** arguments and return values
  - **modify** either of those things
  - completely **hijack** the application
- you can also **dlopen** the correct library
  - and forward calls to the original

## Implications

- always make sure you are loading the correct library
- libraries have to be **trusted** by the application
- malicious library can do **anything** the process can do
  - e.g. by using global constructors or **DllMain**
  - those get to run before the main app even starts
- it can also turn the app into a **trojan** and **steal secrets**

## Use Secure Paths

- the **default** paths are quite secure
- do not try to outsmart the system
  - e.g. by looking up the library yourself
  - especially **bad** is using **SearchPath** on Windows
  - do not use **LoadLibrary** to **check** Windows **version**
- you can explicitly remove the working directory
  - only an issue on Windows use **SetDllDirectory("")**

## Side-by-Side with Checksums (Windows)

- the application ships its **own copies** of DLLs
- designed to avoid “DLL hell”
- lists DLL **checksums** → avoids injection
- problem: partially **defeats** code **sharing**
- problem: vulnerability management again

## Part 2: Signatures and Trust

## Signatures: Why?

- executable code is very powerful
- often **downloaded** from the internet
  - a man in the middle is a possibility
  - they could tamper with the application code
  - instant arbitrary code execution / **compromise**
- it is very important to establish **authenticity**

## Signatures: Hash Functions

- standard **cryptographic** hash functions (SHA-1 &c.)
- easy to compute for the package you have
- possibly hard to obtain the **expected** value
  - maybe fetch using HTTPS
  - but web servers are **easy to compromise**
  - better if you can get it from **multiple sources**
- usually needs **manual verification**
  - users are often lazy and generally unreliable
  - almost as bad as no signature at all

## Signatures: Keyed Hashes

- Message Authentication Code (HMAC &c.)
- needs a **shared secret**
- **not** suitable for standard distribution models
- could be used in per-customer distribution
- also possibly for subsequent **updates**



## Signatures: Asymmetric Crypto

- this is the **standard approach**
- problem: PKI / trust management
- reduces one problem to another problem
  - software distribution to key distribution
  - but keys are smaller
  - and once obtained, can be used for many packages
- initial keys can be distributed as hardcopies
  - e.g. on read-only installation media
  - or pre-installed on the computer with the OS

## Code Signing: Commercial Examples

- Secure Boot
- Java certificates (includes Android)
- Microsoft Authenticode
- Adobe Air certificates
- Microsoft Office and VBA certs
- Apple Developer Program

## Example: MS Authenticode

- based on RSA 2048 and SHA-1
- covers Active-X, plugins, executables
- software **vendors** need to obtain an X.509 **certificate**
  - also known as Code Signing Digital ID
  - many different CAs issue those
- the signature is embedded in the application
- when downloaded, the **system checks the signature**
  - any mismatches are **reported** but may be overridden
  - kernel code (drivers) are refused

## Microsoft WHQL

- Windows Hardware Quality Labs
- stricter requirements than generic Authenticode
- **testing logs** must be submitted to MS
- however: **no code review** is done by MS
  - WHQL does **not** imply the drivers are **secure**
  - it does imply a certain level of quality
- allows distribution through Windows Update

## Code Signing: Open Source

- OpenBSD binary distribution & packages
- FreeBSD and NetBSD likewise
- binary Linux distributions
  - Fedora, Debian, Ubuntu, RHEL, CentOS
  - almost every package manager
- **source code** is also often signed

# Trust

- signed  $\neq$  secure  $\neq$  trustworthy
- you need to **trust the vendor**
  - possibly backed by a legal contract
  - but usually not for off-the-shelf software
- even honest vendors make **mistakes**
  - vulnerabilities are widespread
- reviewing source code is the only **reliable** option

## Open Source: Collaborative Trust

- many people look at different bits
- if you find something bad, you **speak up**
- assume it is OK if everyone is silent
- seems to be **working well** in practice

## Open Source: Which Source?

- how to ensure everyone is looking at the same source?
  - source in `git` or similar
  - signed source distribution tarballs
- rate of change: can the readers keep up?



## Reproducible Builds

- how to check the **binary** came from given **source**?
- rebuilding may change the checksum of the result
- **essential** for collaborative trust for binary distributions
- `<https://reproducible-builds.org>`
- alternative: build everything yourself

## Security

- assume we trust the vendor
- when are signatures verified?
  - do we need to **decompress** the package first?
  - maybe even **unpack** the content
- trust OK only **after** the signature is verified
  - the header may be malicious if signature is bad

# Part 3: Temporary Files

## Why Temporary Files?

- data **too large** to fit in memory
- **transferring** data to other programs
- named pipes and UNIX domain sockets
- usually **not persistent**

## Creation in C / C++: ISO C

- `FILE *tmpfile()`
  - created in the default system location
  - deleted on close / program exit
  - unique file name (or no file name at all)
  - opened for reading and writing
- `tmpnam()` and `tempnam()`
  - do not use those functions
  - only for compatibility with very old programs

## Creation in C / C++: Windows

- `tmpnam_s()` from secure C library
  - not actually secure
  - never use this function with `fopen`
- `tmpfile_s()`
  - like `tmpfile` but different calling convention
  - neither is very useful on Windows (needs admin)

## Creation in C / C++: Windows

- use `CREATE_NEW` in `CreateFile()`
- also specify `FILE_FLAG_DELETE_ON_CLOSE`
- possibly also `FILE_ATTRIBUTE_TEMPORARY`
- you can get the filename by using `tmpnam_s`
- try with a new name if `CreateFile` fails

## Creation in C / C++: POSIX

- always use `mkdtemp` and `mkstemp`
- both are secure against race attacks
- `mkostemp` on newer systems
  - allows `O_SYNC` and `O_CLOEXEC` to be specified
- `unlink()` the file to get erase-on-exit



## Creation in Java

- `File tmp = File.createTempFile`
- do not leave garbage around: `tmp.deleteOnExit()`
- about as secure as `mkstemp()` in C
- needs at least Java 7

## Creation in Python

- `import tempfile`
  - then `help(tempfile)` or check online
- how to tell if the API is good?
  - read the **documentation**
  - does it mention **security**? **race** conditions?
  - is it **deprecated**? is there a warning?

## Temporary File Checklist (1)

- do not use them if not necessary
- never store secrets in temporary files
- do not use standard C functions
  - `tmpnam`, `mktemp`, `tempname` are bad
  - `tmpfile` is sometimes OK on UNIX

## Temporary File Checklist (2)

- use platform APIs to prevent races
  - `mkstemp`, `mkdtemp`
  - `open` with `O_CREAT` and `O_EXCL`
  - `CreateFile` with appropriate flags
- ensure proper permissions
  - set a restrictive ACL when calling `CreateFile`
  - already taken care of with `mkstemp`

# Part 4: DRM and Code Obfuscation

## What is DRM?

- Digital Rights Management
- essentially just **copy protection**
- as old as commercial software
- usually **not very successful**

## Naive DRM

- embed a secret key in the official viewer
- **encrypt** all content with the secret key
- distribute the **encrypted content**
- only the official viewer can play it
- but the key is **easy to recover**

## DRM is Hard

- the attacker has **complete control** over execution
- can use debuggers, analysers, fuzzers, etc.
- embedded **keys** are **easy to spot** (high entropy)
- obfuscation can help, but only a little
- once the key is compromised, so is all the content



## White-Box Cryptography

- all of the black-box assumptions
  - mainly chosen plaintext attacks
- the attacker can **also** look at execution
  - even **perturb** data while the algorithm runs
  - can see the entire **memory**
  - including any **key material**
- hard but (maybe) not impossible

- 2002: White-Box Cryptography, AES Implementation
  - initial proposal by Chow et al.
  - based on encrypted networks, broken in 2004
- 2006: White Box Cryptography: A New Attempt
  - Bringer et al., added perturbations
  - broken in 2010
- 2009: A Secure Implementation of White-Box AES
  - different approach by Xiao et al., broken in 2012
- 2011: Protecting White-Box AES with Dual Ciphers
  - broken in 2013 by CRoCS

## Summary

- unless you do DRM, do not put **secrets** in binaries
- **offload** sensitive computations
  - smart cards, hardware security modules
- white-box cryptography is **hard**
  - we don't even know if it's actually possible
  - long history of failed attempts

# Part 5: Homomorphic Cryptosystems

## Why Homomorphic Crypto?

- inverse problem to DRM
- **private** data in the **public** cloud
  - reminder: cloud = someone else's computer
  - “someone else” has **full control** over execution
- how to do useful things without decrypting?

## Homomorphism?

- $f(e(x), e(y)) = e(f(x, y))$ 
  - $e$  is the encryption function
  - $f$  is some useful operation
- example:  $f$  is multiplication,  $e$  is RSA
  - $x^k \cdot y^k \bmod m = (x \cdot y)^k \bmod m$
  - does **not** work for addition
- RSA is only **partially** homomorphic

## Fully Homomorphic Encryption

- allows **arbitrary** computation
- needs unlimited addition **and** multiplication
  - the rest can be built from those
- first **plausible** system: Gentry's Cryptosystem
  - proposed in 2009
  - extremely **slow**: 30 minutes per 1 bit operation

## Second Generation Systems

- based on the **learning with errors** problem
  - need to reconstruct a **linear** function
  - from a finite number of **noisy** samples
- AES-128 circuit as a benchmark
  - about 36 hours per block initially
  - down to 4 minutes by 2014
- amenable to SIMD-like evaluation
  - brings down AES-128 to 2s per block
  - by processing 120 blocks at once