

Question 1.

- (a) The scheme is $(5, 3)$, so $n = 5$ and $t = 3$. The polynomial will be quadratic. $a_1 = 3^{394097} \bmod 101021 = 12117$, $a_2 = 5^{394097} \bmod 101021 = 30858$ and $S = 394097$. The polynomial is $a(x) = a_1x + a_2x^2 + S = 12117x + 30858x^2 + 394097 \bmod 567997$.

Using the polynomial we can calculate shares for each of the five users: $(1, 437072)$, $(2, 541763)$, $(3, 140173)$, $(4, 368296)$ and $(5, 90138)$.

- (b) The three shares create a system of three equations with three variables:

$$a_1 + a_2 + S = 438827, \quad (1)$$

$$2a_1 + 4a_2 + S = 273042, \quad (2)$$

$$3a_1 + 9a_2 + S = 133864. \quad (3)$$

After solving the system we get $S = 63222 \bmod 567997$.

Question 2.

- (a) $\alpha_1 = 113$
 $\alpha_2 = 169$

$$v = 83 \pmod{311}$$

Verification is done by: $\gamma = \alpha_1^{y_1} * \alpha_2^{y_2} * v^r \pmod{p}$

1) (20, 27, (18, 29)):

$$\gamma = 113^{18} * 169^{29} * 83^{27} \pmod{311} = 15 * 250 * 243 = 20 \pmod{311} \rightarrow \text{correct transcript}$$

2) (20, 4, (18, 26)):

$$\gamma = 113^{18} * 169^{26} * 83^4 \pmod{311} = 15 * 195 * 32 = 300 \neq 20 \rightarrow \text{not correct transcript}$$

3) (24, 4, (15, 26)):

$$\gamma = 113^{15} * 169^{26} * 83^4 \pmod{311} = 250 * 195 * 32 = 24 \rightarrow \text{correct transcript}$$

4) (24, 27, (15, 29)):

$$\gamma = 113^{15} * 169^{29} * 83^{27} \pmod{311} = 250 * 250 * 243 = 126 \neq 24 \rightarrow \text{not correct transcript}$$

(b) $y_1 = k_1 + a_1 * r \pmod{q}$

$$y_2 = k_2 + a_2 * r \pmod{q}$$

I took two correct transcripts: (20, 27, (18, 29)) and (24, 4, (15, 26)) and decided to try both possibilities:

1) Transcript (20, 27, (18, 29)) was first and (24, 4, (15, 26)) was second:

I got these 4 equations by using $(k_1)_2 = 3 * (k_1)_1 + 4 \pmod{31}$ and $(k_2)_2 = 5 * (k_2)_1 + 3 \pmod{31}$:

$$18 = k_1 + a_1 * 27 \pmod{31}$$

$$15 = 3 * k_1 + 4 + a_1 * 4 \pmod{31}$$

$$29 = k_2 + a_2 * 27 \pmod{31}$$

$$26 = 5 * k_2 + 3 + a_2 * 4 \pmod{31}$$

From the first two:

$$k_1 = 18 - 27 * a_1 \pmod{31} \rightarrow 15 = 3 * (18 - 27 * a_1) + 4 + 4 * a_1 \pmod{31}$$

$$11 = -81 * a_1 + 4 * a_1 + 54 \pmod{31}$$

$$11 = 16 * a_1 + 23 \pmod{31}$$

$$19 = 16 * a_1 \pmod{31}$$

$$a_1 = 19 * 16^{-1} \pmod{31} = 19 * 2 \pmod{31} = 7$$

From the second two:

$$k_2 = 29 - 27 * a_2 \pmod{31} \rightarrow 26 = 5 * (29 - 27 * a_2) + 3 + 4 * a_2 \pmod{31}$$

$$2 = 24 * a_2 \pmod{31}$$

$$a_2 = 2 * 24^{-1} \pmod{31} = 2 * 22 \pmod{31} = 13$$

2) Transcript (24, 4, (15, 26)) was first and (20, 27, (18, 29)) was second:

By similar computations I got:

$$15 = k_1 + 4 * a_1 \pmod{31}$$

$$18 = 3 * k_1 + 4 + 27 * a_1 \pmod{31}$$

$$18 = 3 * (15 - 4 * a_1) + 4 + 27 * a_1 \pmod{31} \rightarrow a_1 = 0$$

$$26 = k_2 + 4 * a_2 \pmod{31}$$

$$29 = 5 * k_2 + 3 + 27 * a_2 \pmod{31}$$

$$29 = 5 * (26 - 4 * a_2) + 3 + 27 * a_2 \pmod{31} \rightarrow a_2 = 25$$

But I didn't even need to control the second case, because I can verify that $a_1 = 7$ and $a_2 = 13$ is right solution from:

$$v = \alpha_1^{-a_1} * \alpha_2^{-a_2} \pmod{p} = 113^{-7} * 169^{-13} \pmod{311} = 7^{-1} * 91^{-1} \pmod{311} = 89 * 270 = 83 \rightarrow \text{so these recovered keys } a_1, a_2 \text{ are correct (the inverses can be computed by ext.eucl.algorithm).}$$

Question 3.

Lets denote treshold as T , F is field marshal, G is general, C is colonel and M is major.

From assignment we know, that:

$$F \geq T$$

$$3G \geq T \rightarrow G \geq \frac{T}{3}$$

$$2G + 5C \geq T \rightarrow 2 * \frac{T}{3} + 5C \geq T \rightarrow C \geq \frac{T}{15}$$

$$G + 7C + 15M \geq T \rightarrow \frac{T}{3} + 7 * \frac{T}{15} + 15M \geq T \rightarrow M \geq \frac{T}{75}$$

Now for condition that any number of Colonels or Majors cannot launch the missile without General it must holds:

$$50 * C + 100 * M < T$$

but we get that:

$$50 * \frac{T}{15} + 100 * \frac{T}{75} < T$$

Which is obviously not true. Thus there is no single instance of a threshold secret sharing scheme that would satisfy all conditions.

Question 4.

(a)

No. Because when we take second and third column combination (2,2) appears 3 times and repetition count is 1.

(b)

i.

OA(2,7,2)

This array exists and here is an example:

0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	1	1	1
0	1	1	0	0	1	1
0	1	1	1	1	0	0
1	0	1	0	1	0	1
1	0	1	1	0	1	0
1	1	0	0	1	1	0
1	1	0	1	0	0	1

ii.

OA(2,8,2)

Lets assume that such orthogonal array exists. Then it must hold

$$\lambda \geq \frac{k(n-1)+1}{n^2}$$

But in our case

$$2 \geq \frac{8(2-1)+1}{2^2}$$

and

$$2 < \frac{9}{4}$$

Thus there is no such orthogonal array.

Question 5.

- (a) We can see that the verification will succeed for any b^{\square} :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Alice sends: } y &= rs^b \pmod n \\ \text{Bob verifies: } xv^b &= r^2(s^2)^b = (rs^b)^2 = y^2 \pmod n \end{aligned}$$

In case Bob sends the challenge $b = 2$ to Alice, she calculates $y = rs^2 \pmod n$ and sends it to Bob. Since $v = s^2 \pmod n$, Alice opens her commitment by revealing r , as Bob can calculate $r = yv^{-1}$. However, because of the assumption that it's computationally infeasible for Bob to find $\sqrt{v} \pmod n$, s remains secret.

- (b) If Eve can guess the challenge, she can calculate her commitment for $b = 0, 1$ as described in the slides, either by sending $x = r^2$ for $b = 0$ or $x = r^2v^{-1}$ for $b = 1$, then she responds with $y = r$ to Bob's challenge.

¹Of course Bob does not need to know r , he just needs to know $x = r^2$, which Alice sends first as her commitment.

In case the challenge is $b = 2$, she sends her commitment as $x = r^2$ and as a response she sends $y = rv$, since $s^b = s^2 = v \pmod n$. Here is how Bob correctly verifies her response:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Eve sends commitment: } x &= r^2 \pmod n \\ \text{Bob sends challenge: } b &= 2 \\ \text{Eve sends response: } y &= rs^2 = rv \pmod n \\ \text{Bob verifies: } xv^b &= r^2v^2 = (rv)^2 = y^2 \pmod n \end{aligned}$$

As the part (a) suggests, in this case she can also fool Bob if he sends the challenge $b = 0$, as she can just send $y = r$ as a response, which Bob verifies as $y^2 = xv^0 = r^2$.

Actually we can generalize this method for all possible values of b . Eve just needs to correctly guess if the challenge will be odd or even. Then she calculates $x = r^2$ for even b or $x = r^2v^{-1}$. After Bob responds with his challenge, she calculates her response $y = rv^x$, x being the number of even/odd numbers between 0 and b . So in case of even numbers, $x = \frac{b}{2}$, in case of odd numbers $x = \frac{b-1}{2}$.

For example, this is how it works for $b = 3$:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{3-1}{2} = 1 \\ \text{Eve sends commitment: } x &= r^2v^{-1} \pmod n \\ \text{Bob sends challenge: } b &= 3 \\ \text{Eve sends response: } y &= rv^x = rv^1 \pmod n \\ \text{Bob verifies: } xv^b &= r^2v^2 = (rv)^2 = y^2 \pmod n \end{aligned}$$

For $b = 5$, her response would be $y = rv^2$ and the verification would be $xv^5 = r^2v^{-1}v^5 = r^2v^4 = y^2$.

This implies that the probability of fooling Bob is still 2^{-t} for t rounds, therefore Alice and Bob did not improve the security of this protocol.

Question 6.

Let A be an arbitrarily chosen $t - (q, n, 1)$ orthogonal array. Using q^t rows of A as codewords of our new code, we construct code C . We prove that code C is a q -ary maximum distance separable $[n, k]$ -code as follows. Suppose that $d(C) \leq n - t$ (and therefore that C is not a maximum distance separable code with aforementioned properties). Then there exist two codewords $x, y \in C$ such that they match on at least t columns. Within these columns the rows of x, y are the same which is a contradiction since we have constructed the code from an orthogonal array with $\lambda = 1$.

Now we show that the other side of the equivalence holds. Let C be a q -ary maximum distance separable $[n, k]$ -code. $M = q^{n-d+1}$. We construct a $M \times n$ array A by taking the codewords of C to be the rows of A . Consider the restriction of A to any subset of $n - d + 1$ columns. The q^{n-d+1} $(n - d + 1)$ -tuples obtained from the rows of A must all be different (otherwise two codewords would be less than d bits apart). Since there are q^{n-d+1} different $(n - d + 1)$ -tuples, every possible $(n - d + 1)$ -tuple occurs in exactly one row of A in this restriction. Since this holds for all the possible subsets of $n - d + 1$ columns of A , we have shown that A is a $(n - d + 1) - (q, n, 1)$ orthogonal array.