Autotuning

Introduction to autotuning, overview of our research

Jiří Filipovič et al. Institute of Computer Science Masaryk University

2021

Image: A math a math

▶ < ≣ ▶

Program development workflow

Implementation questions

- which algorithm to use?
- how to implement the algorithm efficiently?
- how to set-up a compiler?

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Program development workflow

Compiler's questions

- how to map variables to registers?
- which unrolling factor to use for a loop?
- which functions should be inlined?
- and many others...

< (T) >

∢ ≣⇒

Program development workflow

Execution

- how many nodes and threads assign to the program?
- should accelerators be used?
- how to mix MPI and OpenMP threads?

Image: A math a math

∢ ≣ ▶

Program development workflow

Execution

- how many nodes and threads assign to the program?
- should accelerators be used?
- how to mix MPI and OpenMP threads?
- A compiler works with **heuristics**, people usually too.

Tuning of the program

We can empirically tune those possibilities

- use different algorithm
- change code optimizations
- use different compiler flags
- execute in a different number of threads
- etc.

A B A A B A

∢ ≣⇒

Tuning of the program

A tuning allows us to outperform heuristics – we just test what works better.

- however, we have to invest more time into development
- there are vertical dependencies, so we cannot perform tuning steps in isolation
- the optimum usually depends on hardware and input

Autotuning

The tuning can be automated

then we talk about autotuning

Autotuning

- in design time, we define the space of *tuning parameters*, which can be changed
- each tuning parameter defines some property of the tuned application
- a search method is used to traverse the space of tuning parameters efficiently
- performed according to some objective, usually performance

< 三 ▶ ...

Taxonomy of Autotuning

Tuning scope

- what properties of the application are changed by autotuner
- e.g. compiler flags, number of threads, source code optimizations parameters
- Tuning time
 - offline autotuning (performed once, e.g., after SW installation)
 - dynamic autotuning (performed in runtime)

Developer involvement

- transparent, or requiring only minor developer assist (e.g. compiler flags tuning)
- low-level, requiring an expert programmer to identify tunning opportunities (e.g. optimizations parameters tuning)

Our focus

We target autotuning of code optimization parameters

- the source code is changed during a tuning process
- the user defines how tuning parameters influence the code
- very powerful (source code may control nearly everything)
- implementation is difficult
 - requires recompilation
 - runtime checks of correctness/precision
 - non-trivial expression of tuning parameters
 - we have no implicit assumptions about tuning space
- heterogeneous computing (we are tuning OpenCL or CUDA code)

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

offline and dynamic autotuning

Motivation Example

Let's solve a simple problem - vectors addition

- we will use CUDA
- we want to optimize the code

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

臣

Motivation Example

```
__global__ void add(float* const a, float* b) {
    int i = blockIdx.x*blockDim.x + threadIdx.x;
    b[i] += a[i];
}
```

It should not be difficult to write different variants of the code...

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

臣

Optimization

```
__global__ void add(float4* const a, float4* b) {
    int i = blockIdx.x*blockDim.x + threadIdx.x;
    b[i] += a[i];
}
```

Kernel has to be executed with n/4 threads.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

3

Optimization

```
__global__ void add(float2* const a, float2* b) {
    int i = blockIdx.x*blockDim.x + threadIdx.x;
    b[i] += a[i];
}
```

Kernel has to be executed with n/2 threads.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

3

Optimization

Kernel has to be executed with n/m threads, where m can be anything.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

臣

What to Optimize?

Mixture of:

- thread-block size
- vector variables
- serial work
- i.e. 3D space and this is trivial example...

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

臣

Autotuning

Autotuning tools may explore code parameters automatically

The code executing kernel add has to configure parallelism according to values of VECTYPE and SERIAL_WORK tuning parameters.

イロン イヨン イヨン ・ ヨン

크

Kernel Tuning Toolkit

We have developed a Kernel Tuning Toolkit (KTT)

- a framework allowing to tune code parameters for OpenCL and CUDA
- allows both offline and dynamic tuning
- enables cross-kernel optimizations
- mature implementation, documented, with examples
- https://github.com/HiPerCoRe/KTT

Kernel Tuning Toolkit

Typical workflow similar to CUDA/OpenCL

- initialize the tuner for a specified device
- create input/output of the kernel
- create kernel
- create a tuning space for the kernel
- assign input/output to the kernel
- execute or tune the kernel

KTT creates a layer between an application and OpenCL/CUDA.

< ≣ ▶

KTT Sample Code

```
// Initialize tuner and kernel
ktt::Tuner tuner(platformIndex, deviceIndex);
const ktt::DimensionVector ndRangeDimensions(inputSize);
const ktt::DimensionVector workGroupDimensions(128);
ktt::KernelId foo = tuner.addKernelFromFile(kernelFile. "foo".
  ndRangeDimensions, workGroupDimensions);
// Creation and assign of kernel arguments
ktt::ArgumentId a = tuner.addArgumentVector(srcA,
  ktt::ArgumentAccessType::ReadOnly);
ktt::ArgumentId b = tuner.addArgumentVector(srcB,
  ktt::ArgumentAccessType::WriteOnly);
tuner.setKernelArguments(foo,
  std::vector<ktt::ArgumentId>{a, b});
// Addition of tuning variables
tuner.addParameter(foo, "UNROLL", {1, 2, 4, 8});
tuner.tuneKernel(foo):
tuner.printResult(foo, "foo.csv", ktt::PrintFormat::CSV);
```

Kernel Tuning Toolkit

In practise, we usually need more functionality

- tuning parameters can affect parallelism configuration (e.g. block and grid size in CUDA)
 - by pre-defined functions (e.g. multiply specified block/grid dimmension)
 - by lambda function provided by programmer
- some combinations of tuning parameters can be discarded a priori

Iambda functions constraining tuning space

- ► KTT can check, if tuned kernel runs successfully
 - automatic check of successful execution
 - user can provide reference kernel, or reference class, and comparing function, KTT compares results automatically

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Advanced features of KTT

Cross-kernel optimizations

- the user can add specific code for kernels execution into launchComputation method
- the code may query tuning parameters
- the code may call multiple kernels
- allows tuning code parameters with wider influence, as tuned kernels do not need to be functionally equivalent

Reduction



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Advanced features of KTT

Dynamic autotuning

- dynamic tuning performs autotuning during application runtime
- KTT can execute the best kernel known so far to perform kernel's task
- or try different combination of tuning parameters before the execution
- tuning is transparent for the application
- tuning can be queried in any time

Dynamic Tuning Sample

```
// Main application loop
while(application_run) {
    ...
    if (tuningRequired)
      tuner.tuneKernelByStep(foo, {b});
    else {
      ktt::ComputationResult best =
        tuner->getBestComputationResult(foo);
      tuner.runKernel(compositionId,
        best.getConfiguration(), {b});
    }
    ...
}
```

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Dynamic tuning

Dynamic autotuning is challenging

- when the kernel is executed, there must be no significant performance drop
- automatic memory management has to move only necessary data
- KTT has to support asynchronous execution of
 - memory copy, host and device code execution
 - simultaneous execution of multiple kernels

Parallelism in KTT

- intra-manipulator: parallelism inside launchComputation method
- global parallelism: asynchronous execution of multiple launchComputation instances

During autotuning, global parallelism is disabled.

KTT Architecture



Jiří Filipovič et al.

Autotuning

æ

Benchmark set

Benchmark	dimensions	configurations
BiCG	11	5,122
Convolution	10	5,248
Coulomb 3D	8	1,260
GEMM	15	241,600
GEMM batched	11	424
Hotspot	6	480
Transpose	9	10,752
N-body	8	9,408
Reduction	5	175
Fourier	6	360

Table: A list of the benchmarks and the size and dimensionality (i.e., the number of tuning parameters) of their tuning spaces.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

臣

Testbed setup

Device	Architecture	SP perf.	BW
2× Xeon E5-2650	Sandy Bridge	512	102
Xeon Phi 5110P	Knights Corner	2,022	320
Tesla K20	Kepler	3,524	208
GeForce GTX 750	Maxwell	1,044	80
GeForce GTX 1070	Pascal	5,783	256
Radeon RX Vega 56	GCN 5	8,286	410
GeForce RTX 2080Ti	Turing	11,750	616

Table: Devices used in our benchmarks. Arithmetic performance (SP perf.) is measured in single-precision GFlops, memory bandwidth (BW) is measured in GB/s.

Image: A matrix and a matrix

∢ ≣⇒

Performance

Benchmark	2080Ti	1070	750	K20	Vega56	E5-2650	5110P
BiCG	88.3%	84.7%	81.7%	50.4%	75.6%	46.0%	6.45%
Coulomb 3D	91.8%	91.4%	84.3%	43.2%	65.3%	74.2%	22.2%
GEMM	79.8%	80.6%	91.1%	51.3%	96.3%	37.5%	19.7%
GEMM batched	86.8%	81.4%	90.0%	49.6%	86.0%	27.7%	20.9%
Transpose	87.1%	80.2%	86.3%	64.2%	86.1%	62.5%	10.0%
N-body	89.7%	86.6%	87.7%	40.6%	82.2%	77.7%	29.9%
Reduction	68.7%	87.5%	89.4%	64.1%	71.6%	33.9%	10.1%
Hotspot	$1.35 \times$	$1.94 \times$	2.06×	$1.4 \times$	$2.88 \times$	$1.2 \times$	$12.8 \times$

Table: Performance of benchmarks autotuned for various hardware devices. The performance relative to the theoretical peak of devices.

문 > 문

Performance portability

	GPU→GPU		
Benchmark	$avg\pmstdev$	worst	failed
BiCG	89.0%±12.3%	57%	1
Convolution	79.4%±14.9%	55%	3
Coulomb 3D	95.8%±6.5%	67%	0
GEMM	83.6%±16.4%	31%	0
GEMM batched	85.4%±17%	37%	0
Hotspot	80.3%±17.5%	46%	3
Transpose	85.0%±21.9%	8%	3
N-body	78.8%±24.2%	2%	3
Reduction	88.4%±24%	12%	3
Fourier	74.5%±30%	31%	0

Table: Relative performance of benchmarks ported across GPU architectures without re-tuning.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Dynamic autotuining of Batched GEMM



Figure: Batched GEMM on GeForce GTX 1070.

• • • • • • • • • •

< ∃⇒

Dynamic autotuining of Batched GEMM



Figure: Batched GEMM on Tesla K20.

3D Fourier Reconstruction



Figure: Performance of dynamic tuned 3D Fourier reconstruction.

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

æ

3D Fourier Reconstruction

	2080Ti	1070	750	680
2080Ti	100%	99%	31%	49%
1070	99%	100%	31%	50%
750	43%	67%	100%	94%
680	60%	72%	71%	100%

Table: Performance portability of 3D Fourier reconstruction with 128 \times 128 samples.

< < >>

∢ ≣ ▶

3D Fourier Reconstruction

	128×128	91×91	64×64	50×50	32x32
128x128	100%	100%	77%	70%	32%
91×91	100%	100%	76%	68%	33%
64×64	94%	94%	100%	91%	67%
50×50	79%	78%	98%	100%	86%
32x32	65%	67%	80%	92%	100%

Table: Performance portability on GeForce GTX1070 for different samples.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

臣

3D Fourier Reconstruction

	best runtime	tuning 50	tuning full
2080Ti	1m40s	$88\%\pm3\%$	54%
1070	5m49s	$96\%\pm2\%$	79%
750	16m59s	$92\%\pm4\%$	72%
680	15m12s	$94\%\pm2\%$	75%

Table: The relative performance of dynamically-tuned 3D Fourier reconstruction.

Image: A mathematical states and a mathem

→ < Ξ →</p>

臣

What do we use KTT for?

So we have developed fancy autotuning framework...

- which is interesting work anyway, but we can use it also for something more...
- In GPU-accelerated applications
 - used during program development (exploration of possible optimizations)
 - manually added into applications to enable dynamic tuning
 - used in cryo-electron microscopy suite Xmipp

What do we use KTT for?

Some more theoretical (but still with clear practical usage) tasks

- searching tuning space
- scheduling autotuning
- interoperability with other tools

What do we use KTT for?

Searching tuning space

- important to speed-up autotuning convergence
- discrete many-dimensional non-convex spaces are hard to optimize with mathematical optimization
- as spaces changes with hardware or input, it is also hard task for machine learning
- novel approach: ML used for relating tuning parameters to performance counters, expert system used steer optimization method

What do we use KTT for?

Scheduling autotuning

- to autotune or not to autotune that is the question
- if we perform finite number of computation for given combination of data and hardware, it is not clear whether autotuning improves overall time
- to decide the question, we need to know (predict)
 - overhead of tuning process (number of tuning steps × average time of tuned kernel with re-compilation)

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

- expected speed of tuned kernel
- we believe it is possible to guess from historical data and performance counters

What do we use KTT for?

Interoperability

- programming heterogeneous nodes is generaly challenging: distribution of work among multiple accelerators and CPU, data distribution
- we work on connection of KTT with StarPU
- StarPU implements task-based parallelism, it executes DAG of data-dependent tasks on heterogeneous nodes
 - alternative implementation of tasks
 - StarPU schedules data movement and task execution across the node
- KTT makes tasks tunable