x86 CPU	Intel MIC	Optimization	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map

OpenCL for x86 CPU and Intel MIC

Jiří Filipovič

Fall 2022

Jiří Filipovič OpenCL for x86 CPU and Intel MIC

(日)、<回)、<三)、</p>

< 注→ 注

x86 CPU	Intel MIC	Optimization	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
00000				
x86 CPI	L Architect	IIre		

Common features of (nearly all) modern x86 processors

- core is complex, out-of-order instruction execution, large cache
- multiple cache coherent cores in single chip
- vector instructions (MMX, SSE, AVX)
- NUMA for multi-socket systems

x86 CPU ○●○○○	Intel MIC	Optimization	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
OpenCL	Device			



Jiří Filipovič OpenCL for x86 CPU and Intel MIC

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト 三日

x86 CPU ००●००	Intel MIC	Optimization 000000000	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
CPU and	OpenCL			

The projection of CPU HW to OpenCL model

- CPU cores are compute units
- vector ALUs are processing elements
 - so the number of work-items running in lock-step is determined by instruction set (e.g., SSE, AVX) and data type (e.g., float, double)
- one or more work-groups create a CPU thread
 - the number of work-groups should be at least equal to the number of cores
 - higher number of work-groups allows to better workload balance (e.g., what if we have eight work-groups at six-core CPU?), but creates overhead
- work-items form serial loop, which may be vectorized

x86 CPU	Intel MIC	Optimization	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
00000				
Implicit	and Explici	it Vectorizati	on	

Implicit vectorization

- we write scalar code (similarly as for NVIDIA and AMD GCN)
- the compiler generates vector instructions from work-items (creates loop over work-items and vectorizes this loop)
- better portability (we do not care about vector size and richness of vector instruction set)
- supported by Intel OpenCL, AMD OpenCL does not support it yet

Explicit vectorization

- we use vector data types in our kernels
- more complex programming, more architecture-specific
- potentially better performance (we do not rely on compiler ability to vectorize)

x86 CPU	Intel MIC	Optimization	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
00000				
	f C	ווס		
IJITEREN	Ces from (1	PU		

Images

- CPU does not support texture units, so they are emulated
- better to not use...
- Local memory
 - no special HW at CPU
 - brings overhead (additional memory copies)
 - but it is meaningful to use memory pattern common for using local memory, as it improves cache locality

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC ●000000	Optimization 000000000	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
Intel MIC				

What is MIC?

- Many Integrated Core Architecture
- originated in Intel Larrabee project (x86 graphic card)

Existing hardware

- Knights Corner (KNC) and Knights Landing (KNL) generation
- large number of x86 cores
- cores are connected by bi-directional ring bus (KNC) or mesh (KNL)
- cache-coherent system
- connected to high-throughput memory

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC	Optimization	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
KNC P	rocessor			



Jiří Filipovič OpenCL for x86 CPU and Intel MIC

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 三日

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC ○○●○○○○	Optimization 000000000	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
KNL Pr	ocessor			



◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣…

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC	Optimization	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
Intel MIC				

MIC core

- relatively simple, KNC in-order, KNL based on Atom Airmont
- use hyperthreading (4 threads per core)
 - needs to be used to exploit full performance on KNC
- fully cache coherent, 32+32 KB L1 cache (I+D), 512 KB L2 cache
- contain wide vector units (512-bit vectors)
 - predicated execution
 - gather/scatter instructions
 - transcendentals

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC 0000●00	Optimization 000000000	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
Current	Hardware			

Xeon Phi

- product based on MIC architecture
- bootable processor, or PCI-E card with dedicated memory
 - runs its own operating system

Xeon Phi 7210

- 64 x86 cores at 1.3 GHz
- 16 GB HBM RAM + DDR4 RAM up to 384 GB
- 2.25 TFlops DP, 4.5 TFlops SP
- 450 GB/sec HBM, 102 GB/s DDR4 memory bandwidth

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC	Optimization 000000000	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
Progran	nming Mod	els		

Native programming model (KNC)

- we can execute the code directly at accelerator
- after recompilation, we can use the same code as for CPU
- programming via OpenMP, MPI

Offload programming model (KNC)

- application is executed at host
- code regions are offloaded to accelerator, similarly as in the case of GPUs
 - by using **#**pragma offload with intel tools
 - by using OpenCL

KNL is host processor.

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC ○○○○○○●	Optimization 000000000	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
MIC and	d OpenCL			

The projection of MIC HW to OpenCL programming model is very similar to CPU case

- work-groups creates threads
- work-items creates iterations of vectorized loops
 - higher number of work-items due to wider vectors
 - less sensitive to divergence and uncoalesced memory access due to richer vector instruction set
- high need of parallelism
 - e.g., 64 cores executes 256 threads

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC	Optimization ••••••	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
OpenCl	Optimizat	ion for CPU	and MIC	

We will discuss optimizations for CPU and MIC together

- many common concepts
- differences will be emphasized

∢ ≣ ▶

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC	Optimization 00000000	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
Parallelisn	n			

How to set a work-group size?

- we do not need high parallelism to mask memory latency
- but we need enough work-items to fill vector width (if implicit vectorization is employed)
- the work-group size should be divisible by vector length, it can by substantially higher, if we don't use local barriers
 - Intel recommends 64-128 work-items without synchronizations and 32-64 work-items with synchronizations
 - general recommendation, needs experimenting ...
- we can let a compiler to choose the work-group size

How many work-groups?

- ideally multiple of (virtual) cores
- be aware of NDRange tile effect (especially at MIC)

(□) < 0 > 1

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC	Optimization 00000000	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
Thread-	level Parall	elism		

Task-scheduling overhead

- overhead of scheduling large number of threads
- issue mainly on MIC (CPU has too low cores)
- problematic for light-weight work groups
 - low workload per work-item
 - small work-groups
- can be detected by profiler easily

Barriers overhead

- no HW implementation of barriers, so they are expensive
- higher slowdown on MIC

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC	Optimization 000●00000	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
Vectoriz	ation			

Branches

- if possible, use uniform branching (whole work-group follows the same branch)
- consider the difference
 - if (get_global_id(0) == 0)
 - if (kernel_arg == 0)
- divergent branches
 - can forbid vectorization
 - can be masked (both then and else branches are executed)

(E) (E)

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC	Optimization ○○○○●○○○○	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
Vectoriz	zation			

Scatter/gather

- supported mainly on MIC
- for non-consecutive memory access, compiler tries to generate scatter/gatter instructions
 - instructions use 32-bit indices
 - get_global_id() returns size_t (64-bit)
 - we can cast indices explicitly
- avoid pointer arithmetics, use array indexing
 - more transparent for the compiler

Memory	/ Locality			
x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC	Optimization	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map

Cache locality

- the largest cache dedicated to core is L2
- cache blocking create work-groups using memory regions fitting into L1, or not exceeding L2 cache

AoS

- array of structures
- more efficient for random access

SoA

- structure of arrays
- more efficient for consecutive access

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC	Optimization ○○○○○●○○	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
Memory	/ Access			

Memory access pattern

- consecutive memory access is the most efficient in both architectures
- however, there are differences
 - KNC is in-order, so the memory access efficiency heavily depends on prefetching, which is more successful for consecutive access
 - CPU does not support vector gather/scatter

Alignment

- some vector instructions require alignment
 - IMCI (MIC): 64-byte
 - AVX: no requirements
 - SSE: 16-byte
- pad innermost dimension of arrays

Memorv	Access			
x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC	Optimization 0000000●0	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map

Prefetching on KNC

- prefetching is done by HW and by SW
 - generated by the compiler
 - also can be explicitly programmed (function void prefetch(const __global gentype *p, size_t num_elements))
- explicit prefetching helps, e.g., in irregular memory access pattern

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC	Optimization ○○○○○○○●	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
Memory	/ Access			

False sharing

- accessing different addresses in the same cache line from several threads
 - cache line has 64 bytes on modern Intel processors
- brings significant penalty
- padding is the solution...

Concurrent R/W access to the same address

- it is better to create local copies and merge them when necessary (if possible)
- reduces also synchronization

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC	Optimization 00000000	Reduction ●○○○○	Electrostatic Potential Map
Vector	reduction			

Rewritten CUDA version

- uses very similar concept as was demonstrated in former lecture, but run in constant number of threads
- reaches nearly peak theoretical bandwidth on both NVIDIA and AMD GPUs

(E) (E)

```
__kernel void reduce(__global const int* in, __global int* out,
    unsigned int n, __local volatile int *buf) {
 unsigned int tid = get_local_id(0);
 unsigned int i = get_group_id(0)*(get_local_size(0)*2)
   + get_local_id(0);
 unsigned int gridSize = 256*2*get_num_groups(0);
 buf[tid] = 0;
 while (i < n) {
   buf[tid] += in[i];
   if (i + 256 < n)
      buf[tid] += in[i+256];
   i += gridSize;
  barrier(CLK_LOCAL_MEM_FENCE):
```

ヘロア 人間 アメヨア 人間 アー

```
//XXX hard optimization for 256-thread work groups
if (tid < 128)
  buf[tid] += buf[tid + 128];
barrier(CLK_LOCAL_MEM_FENCE);
if (tid < 64)
  buf[tid] += buf[tid + 64];
barrier(CLK_LOCAL_MEM_FENCE);
//XXX hard optimization for 32-bit warp size
//XXX problematic on new NVIDIA HW
if (tid < 32) {
  buf[tid] += buf[tid + 32];
  buf[tid] += buf[tid + 16];
  buf[tid] += buf[tid + 8];
  buf[tid] += buf[tid + 4];
  buf[tid] += buf[tid + 2];
  buf[tid] += buf[tid + 1];
}
if (tid == 0) atomic_add(out, buf[0]);
```

}

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

00000	0000000	00000000	00000	000000000000		
Vector reduction						

Execution of GPU code on CPU and Phi

- difficult to vectorize
- overhead of local reduction, which is not necessary

Optimizations for CPU and MIC

- the simplest solution is to use only necessary amount of parallelism
- work-groups of one vectorized work-item

Reduction for	CPIL and MIC		
x86 CPU Intel N 00000 00000	00 000000000	COOC €	OCOCOCOCOCOCOCOCOCOCOCOCOCOCOCOCOCOCOC

```
__kernel void reduce (__global const int16* in, __global int* out,
    const unsigned int n, const unsigned int chunk) {
 unsigned int start = get_global_id(0)*chunk;
 unsigned int end = start + chunk;
 if (end > n) end = n;
 int16 tmp = (0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0);
 for (int i = start/16; i < end/16; i++)
   tmp += in[i];
 int sum = tmp.s0 + tmp.s1 + tmp.s2 + tmp.s3 + tmp.s4
   + tmp.s5 + tmp.s6 + tmp.s7 + tmp.s8 + tmp.s9 + tmp.sa
   + tmp.sb + tmp.sc + tmp.sd + tmp.se + tmp.sf;
 atomic_add(out, sum);
}
```

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン 三日



Important problem from computational chemistry

- we have a molecule defined by position and charges of its atoms
- the goal is to compute charges at a 3D spatial grid around the molecule
- In a given point of the grid, we have

$$V_i = \sum_j \frac{w_j}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r_{ij}}$$

Where w_j is charge of the *j*-th atom, r_{ij} is Euclidean distance between atom *j* and the grid point *i* and ϵ_0 is vacuum permittivity.

イロン イヨン イヨン

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC	Optimization	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
Algorithm	Analysis			

Parallelization

- each grid point can be processed in parallel
- not practical to parallelize loop going over atoms (reduction) Performance bound of the naive algorithm
 - 11 arithmetic operations per one atom per grid point
 - atom's data require 16 bytes (4 floats Cartesian position and charge)
 - computation for one grid point is memory-bound
 - caches maintain locality for multiple grid points (atom reads are synchronous)

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC	Optimization 000000000	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
Improvin	g the Algo	orithm		

We can compute a grid per 2D slices

- enough parallelism
- distance in z-dimension can be precomputed (stored instead of z-dimension of atom's data)
- reduce number of arithmetic operations per atom per grid point to 9

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC	Optimization 000000000	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
Implem	entation			

energyGrid[outIndex] += energyValue;

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC	Optimization 00000000	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
Perform	ance			

Let's set slice size to 512×512 , number of atoms to 4096, WG size to 16 \times 16, and measure the performance in number of atoms evaluated per second.

Code	2×CPU	MIC	GPU
slices	25.8 Geval/s	48.1 Geval/s	45.0 Geval/s

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC	Optimization 00000000	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
Performa	nce			

Let's optimize WG size

 $\bullet~8\times2$ for CPU, 8×1 for MIC, 16×4 for GPU

Code	2×CPU	MIC	GPU
slices	25.8 Geval/s	48.1 Geval/s	45.0 Geval/s
optimized WG	26.1Geval/s	54.4 Geval/s	45.8 Geval/s

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ □

臣

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC	Optimization 000000000	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
Removing	Redunda	ancy		

Are there any redundant work among WIs?

- WIs in the same warp/vector read the same atom data
- WIs in the same row compute the same *y*-distance
- redundancy removing critical for GPU, but may also improve performance on CPU and MIC (if compiler fails to remove invariant code)

We can assign more work per WI

- "unrolling of the outer (parallelized) loop", so a WI computes several grid points at a row
- increases private memory locality (atom data are used for more grid points)
- removes some redundant computation of *y*-distance
- reduces strong scaling, uses more registers

・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨ と ・ 日 と

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC	Optimization 000000000	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
Performa	nce			

We have tested from 1 to 8 grid points and re-optimize WG size.

 \bullet unroll 8× for CPU, 2× for MIC and 8× for GPU

Code	2×CPU	MIC	GPU
slices	25.8 Geval/s	48.1 Geval/s	45.0 Geval/s
optimized WG	26.1 Geval/s	54.4 Geval/s	45.8 Geval/s
unrolling	54.5 Geval/s	60.9 Geval/s	162.0 Geval/s

▲冊 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC	Optimization	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
Memor	Access Or	otimization		

CPU and MIC often prefers SoA

- we can split x, y, z-dimensions and charge w into separate arrays
- GPU caches global memory in L2 cache only
 - we can use constant memory for atom data

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC	Optimization	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
Performar	nce			

We have tested from 1 to 8 grid points and re-optimize WG size.

• CPU and MIC prefers SoA, GPU prefers constant memory (more visible effect if unrolling is disabled)

Code	2×CPU	MIC	GPU
slices	25.8 Geval/s	48.1 Geval/s	45.0 Geval/s
optimized WG	26.1 Geval/s	54.4 Geval/s	45.8 Geval/s
unrolling	54.5 Geval/s	60.9 Geval/s	162.0 Geval/s
optimized mem.	60.2 Geval/s	61.1 Geval/s	164.9 Geval/s

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC	Optimization	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
Manual	Vectorizati	on		

Vectorization of memory access

- we pack atoms data into vectors (both in SoA and AoS)
- usable to enforce vectorized data access

Vectorized computation

• we read vectorized data and perform vectorized computation in each WI

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC	Optimization	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map 000000000000000000000000000000000000
Performar	ice			

We have tested using vector from size 2 to size 8.

 CPU prefers to not vectorize, MIC prefers SoA with vector size 4 and scalar computation, GPU prefers scalar computation with AoS using vector size 8 (i.e. two atoms are packed into single vector)

Code	2×CPU	MIC	GPU
slices	25.8 Geval/s	48.1 Geval/s	45.0 Geval/s
optimized WG	26.1 Geval/s	54.4 Geval/s	45.8 Geval/s
unrolling	54.5 Geval/s	60.9 Geval/s	162.0 Geval/s
optimized mem.	60.2 Geval/s	61.1 Geval/s	164.9 Geval/s
vectorized		62.4 Geval/s	168.3 Geval/s

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC	Optimization	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
Perform	ance witho	ut squere roo	st.	

The performance of MIC is quite low and optimizations does not improve it

- slower implementation of native_rsqrt
- depsite it leads to uncorrect algorithm, we have tested performance with removed reciprocal square root

x86 CPU 00000	Intel MIC	Optimization	Reduction	Electrostatic Potential Map
D				

		C			
	or	formanco	without	cauoro	root
				Sunere	TOOL
-	<u> </u>		without	Squere	1000

Code	2×CPU	MIC	GPU
slices	30.0 Geval/s	103.8 Geval/s	43.6 Geval/s
optimized WG	30.6 Geval/s	114.3 Geval/s	43.8 Geval/s
unrolling	68.3 Geval/s	148.9 Geval/s	221.8 Geval/s
optimized mem.	70.9 Geval/s	159.3 Geval/s	260.0 Geval/s
vectorized		175.4 Geval/s	266.4 Geval/s

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで