MUNI FACULTY OF INFORMATICS

Data Warehouse Indexing & Optimization PA220: Database systems for data analytics

Contents

- Approaches to indexing
- Data partitioning
- Joins
- Materialized views

Why Indexes?

- Consider a 100 GB table; at 100 MB/s read speed we need 17 minutes for a full table scan
- Query for the number of "Bosch S500" washing machines sold in Germany last month
	- Applying restrictions (product, location) the **selectivity** would be strongly improved
		- If we have 30 locations, 10,000 products and 24 months in the DW, the selectivity value is 1/30 * 1/ 10,000 * 1/24 = 0,000 000 14
- So… we read 100 GB for 1,4KB of data

The low value of selectivity \rightarrow Highly selective predicate!

• The problem is: *how to filter data in a fact table as much as possible*

Why Indexes?

• Reduce the size of read pages of data cube to a minimum with indexes

Index Types

- Tree structures
	- B + -tree, R-tree, …
- Hash based
	- Dynamic hash table
- Special
	- Bitmap index
	- Block-Range INdex (in Pg)

Multidimensional Data

- B⁺-tree
	- classic structure very efficient in updates
	- supports point and range queries
	- limited to 1D data
- UB-tree
	- uses B*-tree and
	- Z-curve to linearize n-dim data
- R-tree
	- wrapping by n-dim rectangles
	- \bullet R⁺, R^{*}, X-tree

UB-Trees

- Convert n-dim data to a single dimension by the Z-curve
- and Index by B^{*} tree

- The Z-curve provides for good performance for range queries!
	- Consecutive values on the Z-curve index similar data
	- Similarity by means of neighborhood

UB-Trees

- Z-Value address representation
	- Calculate the z-values such that neighboring data is clustered together
	- Calculated through bit interleaving of the coordinates of the tuple
	- To localize a value with coordinates one must perform de-interleaving

For Z-value 51, we have the offset 50. 50 in binary is 110010

We have Z-regions – describes one block in storage. E.g. [1-9], [10-18].

October 31, 2024 **PA220 DB** for Analytics 8

UB-Trees – Range Query

- Range queries (RQ) in UB-Trees
	- Each query can be specified by 2 coordinates
		- q_a (the upper left corner of the query rectangle)
		- q_{b} (the lower right corner of the query rectangle)
- Range Query Algorithm
	- 1. Calculate z-values for q_a and q_b
	- 2. Get a node with Z-Region containing q_a
		- e.g., Z-Region of q_a is $[10:18]$
	- 3. The corresponding page is loaded and filtered with the query predicate
		- E.g., value 10 has after de-interleaving x=1 and y=2, which is outside the query rectangle

Q: $x \in [2;5]$, $y \in [3;6]$

UB-Trees – Range Query

- Range Query Algorithm (cont.)
	- 4. After q_a, all values on the Z-curve are de-interleaved and checked by their coordinates
		- The data is only accessed from the disk.
		- The next jump point on the Z-curve is 27.
	- 5. Repeat Steps 2 and 3 until the decoded end-address of the last filtered region is bigger than q_b

Calculating the *jump point* mostly involves:

- Performing **bit operations** and comparisons
- 3 points: q_a , q_b and the current Z-Value

UB-Trees – next "jump" point

• Idea of getting next jump point

Index comparison

• Area of records to scan (dark-grey) to get the answer (light-grey)

R-Trees

- Like B-trees
	- Data objects stored in leaf nodes
	- Nodes represented by minimum bounding rectangles
	- High-balanced structure

Query S: 3 out of 11 nodes are checked. (root, R2, R8)

R-Trees Querying

- Many MBR overlaps deteriorate query performance
	- All nodes get visited in the worst case.
- Key is insertion/split optimization
	- Minimize volume by MBR \rightarrow overlaps.

R+ Tree

• Eliminates overlaps by replication of objects in leaves

• Improves performance of point queries

Bitmap Index

- A bitmap index for an attribute is a data structure composed of:
	- A collection of bitmaps (bit-vectors)
		- The number of bit-vectors represents the count of distinct values of an attr. in the relation
	- Bitmap (bit vector/array) is an array data structure that stores individual bits
		- Bit signals the presence of value in the row with the relative index of the bit's position.
		- The length of each bit-vector is the cardinality of the relation.
		- It is compressed by Run-length encoding.

Bitmap Index

- Records are allocated under permanent numbers.
	- There is a mapping between record numbers and record addresses.
- Insertion
	- bit-vectors are extended, and the new record is appended to the table
- Update
	- toggle the bits in the old bit-vector array and in the new one.
- Deletion
	- in the fact table \rightarrow tombstones
	- in the index \rightarrow bit is cleared

Bitmap Index – Queries

- Combine OR/AND values
	- OR/AND bit ops on vectors
	- E.g., Saturn | P&C

• Combine different indexes on the same table

Bitmap Index

- Good for data which has a "small" number of distinct values
	- E.g., gender data, clothing sizes
	- Thus, the selectivity value is *low.*
		- Combinations of multiple indexes lead to highly-selective predicates.
	- Similar performance as B+ tree for static (read-only) data
		- also, when all values are distinct
- Many distinct values cause many bit arrays.
	- Transform to multiple components and project to decrease it.
	- \cdot \rightarrow Multi-component Bitmap Index
- Not very good for range queries on values.
	- \rightarrow Range-encoded Bitmap Index

Multi-component Bitmap Index

• Encoding using a different numeration system to reduce storage space **Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4**

 $1st$

 2_{nd}

- E.g., <div, mod> classes
- Idea:
	- transform values into more dimensions and project Marting June 1980 Sep 1980
	- intersection of projections gives the original value
- E.g., the month attribute has values between 0 and 11.
	- Encode by $X = 3 \times Z + Y$

October 31, 2024 **PA220 DB** for Analytics 20

st Jan Apr Jul Oct

nd Feb May Aug Nov

Multi-component Bitmap Index

- Encoding using a different numeration system to reduce storage space, e.g., <div,mod> classes
- Idea:
	- transform values into more dimensions and project
	- intersection of projections gives the original value
- E.g., the month attribute

Multi-component Bitmap Index

- If we have 100 (0..99) different days to index we can use a multicomponent bitmap index with the basis of <10,10>
- The storage is reduced from 100 to 20 bitmap-vectors
	- 10 for y and 10 for z
- The read-access for a point query (1 day out of 100) needs however 2 read operations instead of just 1
	- plus, the bit-and operation on the bit-arrays

Range-encoded Bitmap Index

- Requires a logical ordering of values
- Idea:
	- set the bit in all bit-vectors of the values following this current one
	- range queries will check just 2 bit-vectors
		- matches are: NOT previous AND current
- Disadvantage:
	- a point query requires reading 2 vectors

Range-encoded Bitmap Index

- Query: Persons born between March and August
	- So, persons who didn't exist in February but existed in August.
	- Just 2 vectors read: ((NOT A1) AND A7)

• Normal bitmap would require 6 vectors to read.

Summary of Indexes

- B-Trees are not fit for multidimensional data
	- UB-trees can be applicable
- R-Trees may not scale to many dimensions
- Bitmap indexes are typically only a fraction of the size of the indexed data in the table
- Bitmap indexes reduce response time for large classes of ad hoc queries

Data Partitioning

- Breaking data into "non-overlapping" parts
- May correspond to the granularity of a dimension and use ranges to define partitions of a fact table.
- Improves:
	- Business query performance,
		- i.e., minimize the amount of data to scan
	- Data availability,
		- e.g., back-up/restore can run at the partition level
	- Database administration,
		- e.g., archiving data, recreating indexes, loading tables

Data Partitioning

- Approaches:
	- Logical partitioning by
		- Date, Line of business, Geography, Organizational unit, Combinations of these factors, …
	- Physical partitioning
		- Makes data available to different processing nodes
		- Possible parallelization on multiple disks/machines
- Implementation:
	- Application level
	- Database system

Data Partitioning: Two Options

- Horizontal splitting out the rows of a table into multiple tables
- Vertical splitting out the columns of a table into multiple tables

Horizontal Partitioning

- Distributes records into disjoint "tables"
- Typically, "view" over the union of the table is created
- Types of partition function:
	- range a range of values per table
	- list enumeration of values per table
	- hash result of a hash function determines the table
- Data warehouse context:
	- Fact table is partitioned by, e.g.,
		- Time dimension weeks, months, or age of data
		- Another dim if it does not change often branch, region
	- Individual partitions (tables)
		- require defining constraints on their contents
			- to use a subset of partitions in query execution

Vertical Partitioning

- Involves creating tables with fewer columns and using additional tables to store the remaining columns
	- Usually called row splitting
	- Row splitting creates one-to-one relationships between the partitions
		- Create a view that merges them
- Different physical storage might be used
	- E.g., storing infrequently used or very wide columns on a different device
- Data warehouse context :
	- move seldom-used columns from a highly-used table to another
		- Sometimes done as a side effect when an "outrigger" dimension is used.
		- This is relevant to fact tables and their measure columns!

Vertical Partitioning (contrast to dimensions)

- Mini-dimension with outrigger is a solution
	- Many dimension attributes are used very frequently as browsing constraints
		- In big dimensions these constraints can be hard to find among the lesser used ones
	- Logical groups of often used constraints can be separated into small dimensions

Customer

- which are very well indexed and easily accessible for browsing
- E.g., demography dimension
	- Notice the foreign key in customer

October 31, 2024 **PA220 DB** for Analytics 31

Summary of Data Partitioning

- Advantages
	- Records used together are grouped together
	- Each partition can be optimized for performance
	- Security, recovery
	- Partitions stored on different disks reduce contention
	- Take advantage of parallel processing capability
- Disadvantages
	- Slow retrieval across partitions (expensive joins in vertical partitioning)
	- Complexity
- Recommendations
	- A table is larger than 2GB (from Oracle)
	- A table has more than 100 million rows (practice)

Join Optimization in DWH

- Queries over several dimensions are often needed
	- This results in joins over the tables
	- Though joins are generally expensive operations, the overall cost of the query may strongly differ with the chosen evaluation plan for the joins
- Joins are commutative and associative
	- $R \bowtie S \equiv S \bowtie R$
	- $R \bowtie (S \bowtie T) \equiv (S \bowtie R) \bowtie T$

Join Optimization

- This allows evaluating individual joins in any order
	- Results in join trees
		- Different join trees may show very different evaluation performance
	- Number of possible join trees may grow rapidly (n!)
- DBMS optimizer considers
	- statistics to minimize result size
		- all possibilities → impossible for large *n*
	- heuristics to pick promising ones
		- when the number of relations is high (e.g., >6)
		- e.g., genetic algorithms

Join Selection Heuristics

- Join relations that relate by an attribute/condition
	- which avoids cross joins
- Minimize the result size (A is the common attr.)
	- $T(R)*T(S)$ $max(V(R,A), V(S,A))$
- Availability of indexes and selectivity of other conditions
- User tuning
	- Hints in Oracle
	- Change **join_collapse_limit** in PostgreSQL

Join Selection Heuristics in DWs

- OLTP's heuristics are not suitable in DWs
	- E.g., join Sales with Geo in the following case:
		- Sales has 10 mil records, in Germany there are 10 stores, in January 2016 there were products sold in 20 days, and the Electronics group has 50 products
	- If 20 % of our sales were performed in Germany,
		- the selectivity value is high.
		- so, an index would not help that much
	- The intermediate result would still comprise 2 mil records

Join Selection Heuristics in DWs

- The **cross join** of the dimension tables is recommended
	- Geo dimension 10 stores in Germany
	- Time dimension 20 days in Jan 2016
	- Product dimension 50 products in Electronics
	- 10m facts in Sales
	- 10*20*50 = 10,000 records after performing the cross product
- But can also be expensive!
- Cross-join of dimensions allows
	- a single pass over Sales
	- using an index on the most selective attribute yet.

Join Selection Heuristics in DWs

- If cross join is too large, **intersect partial joins**
	- applicable when all dimension FKs are indexed
	- in fact, it is a **semi-join** (no record duplication can take place)

Summary of Joins

- Prefer a cross-join on dimensions
	- If not all dimension FKs are indexed
- Intersect semi-joins otherwise
- Avoid standard DBMS's plans
	- But check the plan first \odot

Materialized Views

- Views whose tuples are stored in the database are said to be materialized
- They provide fast access, like a (very high-level) cache
- Need to maintain the view's contents as the underlying tables change
	- Ideally, we want incremental view maintenance algorithms

Materialized Views

- How can we use MV in DW?
	- E.g., we have queries requiring us to join the Sales table with another dimension table and aggregate the result
		- SELECT P.Categ, SUM(S.Qty) FROM Product P, Sales S WHERE P.ProdID=S.ProdID GROUP BY P.Categ
		- SELECT G.Store, SUM(S.Qty) FROM Geo G, Sales S WHERE G.GeoID=S.GeoID GROUP BY G.Store
		- …
	- There are more solutions to speed up such queries
		- Pre-compute the two joins involved (product with sales and geo with sales)
		- Pre-compute each query in its entirety
		- Or use a common and already materialized view

Materialized Views

- Having the following view materialized
	- CREATE MATERIALIZED VIEW Totalsales(ProdID, GeoID, total) AS **SELECT S.ProdID, S.GeoID, SUM(S.Qty) FROM Sales S GROUP BY S.ProdID, S.GeoID**
- We can use it in our queries
	- SELECT P.Categ, SUM(T.Total) FROM Product P, Totalsales T WHERE P.ProdID=T.ProdID GROUP BY P.Categ
	- SELECT G.Store, SUM(T.Total) FROM Geo G, Totalsales T WHERE G.GeoID=T.GeoID GROUP BY G.Store

Materialized Views - Issues

- Choice of materialized views
	- What views should we materialize, and what indexes should we build on the pre-computed results?
- Utilization
	- Given a query and a set of materialized views, can we use the materialized views to answer the query?
- Maintenance
	- How frequently should we refresh materialized views to make them consistent with the underlying tables?
	- And how can we do this incrementally?

Materialized Views: Utilization

- Utilization must be transparent
	- Queries are internally rewritten to use the available MVs by the query rewriter
	- The query rewriter performs integration of the MV based on the query execution graph

Materialized Views: Utilization

• E.g., mono-block query (perfect match)

Materialized Views: Utilization

- Correctness:
	- A query Q` represents a valid replacement of query Q by utilizing the materialized view M, if Q and Q` always deliver the same result.
- Implementation requires the following:
	- The selection condition in M cannot be more restrictive than the one in Q.
	- The projection from Q must be a subset of the projection from M.
	- It must be possible to derive the aggregation functions in Q from ones in M.
	- Additional selection conditions in Q must also be possible on M.

Materialized Views: Integration

- A way to integrate a more restrictive view:
	- Split the query Q in two parts, Q_{a} and Q_{b} , such that
		- $\sigma(Q_a) = (\sigma(Q) \wedge \sigma(M))$ and
		- $\sigma(Q_b) = (\sigma(Q) \land \neg \sigma(M))$

Query Q'

Materialized Views & DWs

- Often store aggregated results
- For a set of "n" group-by attributes, there are $2ⁿ$ possible combinations
	- Too many to materialize all
	- What to materialize?

Materialized Views & DWs

- Choosing the views to materialize
	- Static choice:
		- The choice is performed at a certain time point
			- by the DB administrator (not very often) or by an algorithm
		- The set of MVs remains unmodified until the next refresh
		- The chosen MVs correspond to older queries
	- Dynamic choice:
		- The MV set adapts itself according to new queries

- Static choice
	- Choose which views to materialize, in concordance with the "benefit" they bring
		- The benefit is computed based on a cost function
	- The *cost function* involves
		- Query costs
		- Statistical approximations of the frequency of the query
		- Actualization/maintenance costs
	- Classical knapsack problem a limit on MV storage and the cost of each MV
	- Greedy algorithm
		- Input: the lattice of cuboids, the expected cardinality of each node, and the maximum storage size available to save MVs
		- It calculates the nodes from the lattice which bring the highest benefit according to the cost function, until there is no more space to store MVs
		- Output: the list of lattice nodes to be materialized

- Disadvantages of static choice
	- OLAP applications are interactive
		- Usually, the user runs a series of queries to explain a behavior he has observed, which happened for the first time
			- So now the query set comprises hard to predict, ad-hoc queries
	- Even if the query pattern is observed after a while, it is unknown for how much time the pattern will remain valid
		- Queries are always changing
	- Often modification to the data leads to high update effort
- There are, however, also for OLAP applications, some often repeating queries that should in any case be statically materialized.

- Dynamic choice
	- Monitor the queries being executed over time
	- Maintain a materialized view processing plan (MVPP) by incorporating most frequently executed queries
	- Modify MVPP incrementally by executing MVPP generation algorithm
		- as a background process
	- Decide on the views to be materialized
	- Reorganize the existing views
	- It works on the same principle as caching, but with semantic knowledge

- Dynamic choice
	- Updates of cached MV:
		- In each step, the cost of MV in the cache as well as of the query is calculated
		- All MVs as well as the query result are sorted according to their costs
		- The cache is then filled with MV in the order of their costs, from high to low
		- This way it can happen that one or more old MVs are replaced with the current query
	- Factors consider in the *cost function*:
		- Time of the last access
		- Frequency of query
		- Size of the materialized view
		- The costs a new calculation or actualization would produce for a MV
		- Number of queries which were answered with the MV
		- Number of queries which could be answered with this MV

Maintenance of Materialized Views

- Keeping a materialized view up-to-date with the underlying data
	- How do we refresh a view when an underlying table is refreshed?
	- When should we refresh a view in response to a change in the underlying table?
- Approaches:
	- Re-computation re-calculated from the scratch
	- Incremental updated by new data, not easy to implement
	- Immediate as part of the transaction that modifies the underlying data tables
		- Advantage: materialized view is always consistent
		- Disadvantage: updates are slowed down
	- Deferred some time later, in a separate transaction
		- Advantage: can scale to maintain many views without slowing updates
		- Disadvantage: view briefly becomes inconsistent

Maintenance of Materialized Views

- Incremental maintenance
	- Changes to database relations are used to compute changes to the materialized view, which is then updated
	- Considering that we have a materialized view V, and that the basis relations suffer modifications through inserts, updates or deletes, we can calculate V` as follows
		- $V = (V \Delta^{-}) \cup \Delta^{+}$, where Δ^{-} and Δ^{+} represent deleted and inserted tuples, respectively

Maintenance of Materialized Views

- Deferred update options:
	- Lazy
		- delay refresh until next query on view, then refresh before answering the query
	- Periodic (Snapshot)
		- refresh periodically queries are possibly answered using outdated version of view tuples
		- widely used in DWs
	- Event-based
		- e.g., refresh after a fixed number of updates to underlying data tables

Summary

- The term **selectivity** and its interpretation.
- Bitmap indexes are universal, space-efficient
- UB-trees, R*-trees, X-trees for multidimensional data
- Partitioning
	- Records used together should be stored together
	- Mini-dimension
- Joins
	- Computing cross join on dimension table is an option
- Materialized views can replace parts of a query
	- Select what to materialize (not everything) statically or dynamically