Alternative Architectures

Philipp Koehn

10 October 2024

attention

- Machine translation is a structured prediction task
	- **–** output is not a single label
	- **–** output structure needs to be built, word by word
- Relevant information for each word prediction varies
- Human translators pay attention to different parts of the input sentence when translating
- \Rightarrow Attention mechanism

- Given what we have generated so far (decoder hidden state)
- ... which words in the input should we pay attention to (encoder states)?

- Given: the previous hidden state of the decoder s_{i-1} – the representation of input words $h_j = (\overleftarrow{h_j}, \overrightarrow{h_j})$
- Predict an alignment probability $a(s_{i-1}, h_j)$ to each input word j (modeled with with a feed-forward neural network layer)

• Normalize attention (softmax)

$$
\alpha_{ij} = \frac{\exp(a(s_{i-1}, h_j))}{\sum_k \exp(a(s_{i-1}, h_k))}
$$

• Relevant input context: weigh input words according to attention: $c_i = \sum_j \alpha_{ij} h_j$

• Use context to predict next hidden state and output word

Computing Attention

- Attention mechanism in neural translation model (Bahdanau et al., 2015)
	- **–** previous hidden state sⁱ−¹
	- $-$ input word embedding h_i
	- **–** trainable parameters *b*, W_a , U_a , v_a

 $a(s_{i-1}, h_j) = v_a^T$ a^T tanh $(W_a s_{i-1} + U_a h_j + b)$

- Other ways to compute attention (Luong et al., 2015)
	- **−** Dot product: $a(s_{i-1}, h_j) = s_{i-1}^T h_j$
	- **–** Scaled dot product: $a(s_{i-1}, h_j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|l|}}$ $|h_j|$ $s_{i-1}^Th_j$
	- **−** General: $a(s_{i-1}, h_j) = s_{i-1}^T W_a h_j$
	- **−** Local: $a(s_{i-1}) = W_a s_{i-1}$

General View of Dot-Product Attention

• Three elements

Query : decoder state **Key** : encoder state **Value** : encoder state

- Intuition
	- **–** given a query (the decoder state)
	- **–** we check how well it matches keys in the database (the encoder states)
	- **–** and then use the matching score to scale the retrieved value (also the encoder state)
- Computation

$$
\text{Attention}(Q, K, V) = \text{softmax}(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_k}})V
$$

General View of Dot-Product Attention ³³

Attention(Q, K, V)

• Query: encoder state, Key and Value: decoder state

Attention(S, H, H)

- Finally, a very different take at attention
- Motivation so far: need for alignment between input words and output words
- Now: refine representation of input words in the encoder
	- **–** representation of an input word mostly depends on itself
	- **–** but also informed by the surrounding context
	- **–** previously: recurrent neural networks (considers left or right context)
	- **–** now: attention mechanism
- Self attention:

Which of the surrounding words is most relevant to refine representation?

- Given: input word embeddings
- Task: consider how each should be refined in view of others
- Needed: how much attention to pay to others

- Computation of attention weights as before
	- **–** Key: word embedding (or generally: encoder state for word H)
	- **–** Query: word embedding (or generally: encoder state for word H)
- Again, multiple with weight matrices: $Q=HW^Q$ and $K=HW^K$
- Attention weights: QK^T

• Full self attention

self-attention(H) = Attention(HW^Q, HW^K, H)

• Resulting vector uses weighted context words

Multi-Head Attention

- Add redundancy
	- **–** say, 16 attention weights
	- $-$ each based on its own parameters W_i^Q i^Q, W^K_i, W^V_i
- Formally:

 $\text{head}_i \;\; = \text{Attention}(QW_i^Q, KW_i^K, VW_i^V)$ $MultiHead(Q, K, V) = Concat(head_1, ..., head_h)W^O$

• Multi-head attention is a form of ensembling

Multi-Head Attention 40

Multi-Head Attention

"Many of the attention heads exhibit behaviour that seems related to the structure of the sentence."

transformer

Self Attention: Transformer

- Self-attention in encoder
	- **–** refine word representation based on relevant context words
	- **–** relevance determined by self attention
- Self-attention in decoder
	- **–** refine output word predictions based on relevant previous output words
	- **–** relevance determined by self attention
- Also regular attention to encoder states in decoder \blacksquare
- Currently most successful model (maybe only with self attention in decoder, but regular recurrent decoder)

Self Attention Layer

- Given: input word representations h_j , packed into a matrix $H = (h_1, ..., h_j)$
- Self attention $self-attention(H) = MultiHead(H, H, H)$
- Shortcut connection

self-attention(h_i) + h_i

• Layer normalization

 $\hat{h}_j =$ layer-normalization(self-attention $(h_j) + h_j)$

• Feed-forward step with ReLU activation function

 $\text{relu}(W\hat{h}_j + b)$

• Again, shortcut connection and layer normalization

layer-normalization $(\operatorname{relu}(W\hat h_j + b) + \hat h_j)$

Encoder⁴⁵

Sequence of self-attention layers

Self-Attention in the Decoder

- Same idea as in the encoder
- Output words are initially encoded by word embeddings $s_i = Ey_i$.
- Self attention is computed over previous output words
	- **–** association of a word s_i is limited to words s_k ($k \leq i$)
	- **–** resulting representation $\tilde{s_i}$

self-attention(\tilde{S}) = MultiHead($\tilde{S}, \tilde{S}, \tilde{S}$)

Attention in the Decoder

- Original intuition of attention mechanism: focus on relevant input words
- Computed with dot product $\tilde{S}H^{T}$
- Compute attention between the decoder states \tilde{S} and the final encoder states H attention(\tilde{S}, H) = MultiHead(\tilde{S}, H, H)
- Note: attention mechanism formally mirrors self-attention

Full Decoder

• Self-attention self-attention(\tilde{S}) = MultiHead($\tilde{S}, \tilde{S}, \tilde{S}$)

- **–** shortcut connections
- **–** layer normalization
- Attention \tilde{S}, H = softmaxMultiHead(\tilde{S}, H, H)
	- **–** shortcut connections
	- **–** layer normalization
	- **–** feed-forward layer
- Multiple stacked layers

Decoder

Decoder computes attention-based representations of the output in several layers, initialized with the embeddings of the previous output words

Multiple Layers

- Stack several transformer layers (say, $D = 6$)
- Encoder
	- **–** Start with input word embedding

$$
h_{0,j} = Ex_j
$$

– Stacked layers $h_{d,j} = \text{self-attention-layer}(h_{d-1,j})$

• Same for decoder

Learning Rate

- Gradient computation gives direction of change
- Scaled by learning rate
- Weight updates
- Simplest form: fixed value
- Annealing
	- **–** start with larger value (big changes at beginning)
	- **–** reduce over time (minor adjustments to refine model)

Ensuring Randomness

• Typical theoretical assumption

independent and identically distributed

training examples

- Approximate this ideal
	- **–** avoid undue structure in the training data
	- **–** avoid undue structure in initial weight setting
- ML approach: Maximum entropy training
	- **–** Fit properties of training data
	- **–** Otherwise, model should be as random as possible (i.e., has maximum entropy)

Shuffling the Training Data

- Typical training data in machine translation
	- **–** different types of corpora
		- ∗ European Parliament Proceedings
		- ∗ collection of movie subtitles
	- **–** temporal structure in each corpus
	- **–** similar sentences next too each other (e.g., same story / debate)
- Online updating: last examples matter more
- Convergence criterion: no improvement recently \rightarrow stretch of hard examples following easy examples: prematurely stopped
- \Rightarrow randomly shuffle the training data (maybe each epoch)

Weight Initialization

- Initialize weights to random values
- Values are chosen from a uniform distribution
- Ideal weights lead to node values in transition area for activation function

For Example: Sigmoid

- \Rightarrow Output values in range [0.269;0.731]
	- Magic formula (n size of the previous layer)

$$
\big[-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}},\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\big]\mathbb{I}
$$

• Magic formula for hidden layers

$$
\big[-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{n_j+n_{j+1}}},\frac{\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{n_j+n_{j+1}}}\big]
$$

- n_j is the size of the previous layer
- n_{j+1} size of next layer

Problem: Overconfident Models

- Predictions of the neural machine translation models are surprisingly confident
- Often almost all the probability mass is assigned to a single word (word prediction probabilities of over 99%)
- Problem for decoding and training
	- **–** decoding: sensible alternatives get low scores, bad for beam search
	- **–** training: overfitting is more likely
- Solution: label smoothing
- Jargon notice
	- **–** in classification tasks, we predict a label
	- **–** jargon term for any output
	- \rightarrow here, we smooth the word predictions

Label Smoothing during Decoding

- Common strategy to combat peaked distributions: smooth them
- Recall
	- **–** prediction layer produces numbers for each word
	- **–** converted into probabilities using the softmax

$$
p(y_i) = \frac{\exp s_i}{\sum_j \exp s_j}
$$

• Softmax calculation can be smoothed with so-called **temperature** T

$$
p(y_i) = \frac{\exp\, s_i/T}{\sum_j \exp\, s_j/T}
$$

• Higher temperature \rightarrow distribution smoother (i.e., less probability is given to most likely choice)

Label Smoothing during Training

- Root of problem: training
- Training object: assign all probability mass to single correct word
- Label smoothing
	- **–** truth gives some probability mass to other words (say, 10% of it)
	- **–** uniformly distributed over all words
	- **–** relative to unigram word probabilities (relative counts of each word in the target side of the training data)

adjusting the learning rate

Adjusting the Learning Rate

- Gradient descent training: weight update follows the gradient downhill
- Actual gradients have fairly large values, scale with a learning rate (low number, e.g., $\mu = 0.001$)
- Change the learning rate over time
	- **–** starting with larger updates
	- **–** refining weights with smaller updates
	- **–** adjust for other reasons
- Learning rate schedule

Momentum Term

- Consider case where weight value far from optimum
- Most training examples push the weight value in the same direction
- Small updates take long to accumulate
- Solution: momentum term m_t
	- **–** accumulate weight updates at each time step t
	- **–** some decay rate for sum (e.g., 0.9)
	- **–** combine momentum term m_{t-1} with weight update value Δw_t

$$
m_t = 0.9m_{t-1} + \Delta w_t
$$

$$
w_t = w_{t-1} - \mu m_t
$$

Adapting Learning Rate per Parameter

- Common strategy: reduce the learning rate μ over time
- Initially parameters are far away from optimum \rightarrow change a lot
- Later nuanced refinements needed \rightarrow change little
- Now: different learning rate for each parameter

Adagrad

- Different parameters at different stages of training \rightarrow different learning rate for each parameter
- Adagrad
	- **–** record gradients for each parameter
	- **–** accumulate their square values over time
	- **–** use this sum to reduce learning rate
- Update formula
	- **–** gradient $g_t = \frac{dE_t}{dw}$ of error E with respect to weight w
	- $-$ divide the learning rate μ by accumulated sum

$$
\Delta w_t = \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\sum_{\tau=1}^t g_\tau^2}} g_t
$$

• Big changes in the parameter value (corresponding to big gradients g_t) \rightarrow reduction of the learning rate of the weight parameter

Adam: Elements

- Combine idea of momentum term and reduce parameter update by accumulated change
- Momentum term idea (e.g., $\beta_1 = 0.9$)

$$
m_t = \beta_1 m_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_1) g_t
$$

• Accumulated gradients (decay with $\beta_2 = 0.999$)

$$
v_t = \beta_2 v_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_2) g_t^2
$$

Adam: Technical Correction

- Initially, values for m_t and v_t are close to initial value of 0
- Adjustment

$$
\hat{m}_t = \frac{m_t}{1 - \beta_1^t}, \quad \hat{v}_t = \frac{v_t}{1 - \beta_2^t}
$$

• With $t \to \infty$ this correction goes away

$$
\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{1-\beta^t}\to 1
$$

Adam

- Given
	- **–** learning rate μ
	- **–** momentum \hat{m}_t
	- **–** accumulated change \hat{v}_t
- Weight update per Adam (e.g., $\epsilon = 10^{-8}$)

$$
\Delta w_t = \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\hat{v}_t} + \epsilon} \hat{m}_t
$$

Batched Gradient Updates

- Accumulate all weight updates for all the training example \rightarrow update (converges slowly)
- Process each training example \rightarrow update (stochastic gradient descent) (quicker convergence, but last training disproportionately higher impact)
- Process data in batches
	- **–** compute all their gradients for individual word predictions errors
	- **–** use sum over each batch to update parameters
	- \rightarrow better parallelization on GPUs
- Process data on multiple compute cores
	- **–** batch processing may take different amount of time
	- **–** asynchronous training: apply updates when they arrive
	- **–** mismatch between original weights and updates may not matter much

avoiding local optima

Avoiding Local Optima

- One of hardest problem for designing neural network architectures and optimization methods
- Ensure that model converges to at least to a set of parameter values that give results close to this optimum on unseen test data.
- There is no real solution to this problem.
- It requires experimentation and analysis that is more craft than science.
- Still, this section presents a number of methods that generally help avoiding getting stuck in local optima.

Overfitting and Underfitting

- Neural machine translation models
	- **–** 100s of millions of parameters
	- **–** 100s of millions of training examples (individual word predictions)
- No hard rules for relationship between these two numbers
- Too many parameters and too few training examples \rightarrow overfitting
- Too few parameters and many training examples \rightarrow underfitting

Regularization

- Motivation: prefer as few parameters as possible
- Strategy: set un-needed paramters a value of 0
- Method
	- **–** adjust training objective
	- **–** add cost for any non-zero parameter
	- **–** typically done with L2 norm
- Practical impact
	- **–** derivative of L2 norm is value of parameter
	- **–** if not signal from training: reduce value of parameter
	- **–** alsp called weight decay
- Not common in deep learning, but other methods understood as regularization

Curriculum Learning

- Human learning
	- **–** learn simple concepts first
	- **–** learn more complex material later
- Early epochs: only easy training examples
	- **–** only short sentences
	- **–** create artificial data by extracting smaller segments (similar to phrase pair extraction in statistical machine translation)
	- **–** Later epochs: all training data
- Not easy to callibrate

Dropout

- Training may get stuck in local optima
	- **–** some properties of task have been learned
	- **–** discovery of other properties would take it too far out of its comfort zone.
- Machine translation example
	- **–** model learned the language model aspects
	- **–** but cannot figure out role of input sentence
- Drop out: for each batch, eliminate some nodes

Dropout

- Dropout
	- **–** For each batch, different random set of nodes is removed
	- **–** Their values are set to 0 and their weights are not updated
	- **–** 10%, 20% or even 50% of all the nodes
- Why does this work?
	- **–** robustness: redundant nodes play similar nodes
	- **–** ensemble learning: different subnetworks are different models

Gradient Clipping

- Exploding gradients: gradients become too large during backward pass
- \Rightarrow Limit total value of gradients for a layer to threshold (τ)
	- Use of L2 norm of gradient values g

$$
L2(g) = \sqrt{\sum_j g_j^2}
$$

• Adjust each gradient value g_i for each element i in the vector

$$
g_i' = g_i \times \frac{\tau}{\max(\tau, L2(g))}
$$

Layer Normalization

- During inference, average node values may become too large or too small
- Has also impact on training (gradients are multiplied with node values)
- \Rightarrow Normalize node values
	- During training, learn bias layer

Layer Normalization: Math

• Feed-forward layer h^l , weights W , computed sum s^l , activation function

 $s^l = W h^{l-1}$ $h^l = \mathrm{sigmoid}(h^l)$

• Compute mean μ^l and variance σ^l of sum vector s^l

$$
\mu^l = \frac{1}{H} \sum_{i=1}^H s_i^l
$$

$$
\sigma^l = \sqrt{\frac{1}{H} \sum_{i=1}^H (s_i^l - \mu^l)^2}
$$

Layer Normalization: Math

• Normalize s^l

$$
\hat{s^l} = \frac{1}{\sigma^l}(s^l - \mu^l)
$$

• Learnable bias vectors g and b

$$
\hat{s}^l = \frac{g}{\sigma^l}(s^l - \mu^l) + b
$$

Shortcuts and Highways

- Deep learning: many layers of processing
- \Rightarrow Error propagation has to travel farther
	- All parameters in processing change have to be adjusted
	- Instead of always passing through all layers, add connections from first to last
	- Jargon alert
		- **–** shortcuts
		- **–** residual connections
		- **–** skip connections

Shortcuts

• Feed-forward layer

$$
y = f(x)
$$

• Pass through input x

$$
y = f(x) + x
$$

• Note: gradient is

 $y' = f'(x) + 1$

• Constant $1 \rightarrow$ gradient is passed through unchanged

- Regulate how much information from $f(x)$ and x should impact the output y
- Gate $t(x)$ (typically computed by a feed-forward layer)

 $y = t(x) f(x) + (1 - t(x)) x$

Shortcuts and Highways 46

