Evolution and International Politics Lecture 4, Part Two Evolution and Nuclear Deterrence

Objectives

 Implications of Evolution's Contribution to Deterrence

- What Is Rational Deterrence Theory (RDT)
- What Evolution Provides
 - Rationality is Very Complicated, Conditional, Many Factors Affect It, Not Identified by Rational Deterrence Theorists
 - RDT Will Fail In Domain of Losses

What Is Rational Deterrence Theory

 Deterrence Is a Function of Political Variables and Military Capabilities

- Convincing an Opponent Not to Take an Action, Deterrence is a Negative Concept, Difficult to Know If It Is Working
- Deterrence by Denial and by Punishment
 - Denial Focuses on Military Objectives
 - Punishment Focuses on What the Opponent Values

What Is Rational Deterrence Theory

Deterrence (by Punishment) Should Obtain
 When Benefits are Outweighed by Costs

- Secure Second-Strike Capabilities Make Costs
 Very High, and So Augment Deterrence
 - Survivable Forces (e.g. triad)
 - Survivable Command and Control Systems
 - Low Risk of Nuclear Inadvertence (Always/Never Dilemma)

What Is Rational Deterrence Theory

With Secure Second Strike Capabilities
 Deterrence Should Be Relatively Easy to Obtain

The Costs are Understandable Across
 Time, Culture, Religion, Ideology, etc.

 Empirical Evidence Very Strong— Absence of Nuclear Wars Despite Great Security Competition

- Applies in Some Cases: One, or Small Number of People Making Decisions Concerning Nuclear Use—N.
 Korea, al Qaeda
- Humans Do Not Possess a Cartesian Mind
- Baron Cohen's Theory of Mind: Many Different Brains
- Empathy > Systemizing = Most Females
- ✤ S > E = Most Males
- S >> E =Systemizing Hyper-Developed, Empathizing Hypo-Developed
- True for Autistics, but also Most Leaders (of States, Business, etc.)

Males More Aggressive, More Likely to Murder, More Likely to Create Tight Dominance Hierarchy, Less Concern for Feelings, Difference Communication All Because Brain Is Different than Most Women, and Among Men Anecdote: Many Males with Asperger's in International/Natural Security Field

Cognitive Abilities Vary Due to:
Human Evolution and Biology
Variation in Genotype
Phobias, e.g. of Snakes
"Dual Process" Cognitive Functioning— Autonomous System of Thought with Analytic Built on Top of It—An Imperfect Structure
"Run from any animal approaching"

Cognitive Abilities Vary Due to:

- Infection, Intoxication, or Emotional or Physical Trauma
- Phineas Gage (1848). Explosion removed much of his limbic area (concerned with moral reasoning)
- Ventromedial Prefrontal Cortex (VMPC), our "Guardian Angel," trauma limits emotion, and emotional response

Leaders Are Overwhelmingly:

- Not a Normal Population
- Male, Older than Average, Overrepresentation of the Characteristics of the Extreme Male Mind
 - + Great Ambition
 - + Great Sensitivity to Threat or Challenge to Status
 - + High Tolerance of Risk
 - Low Empathy
 - + Mao, Stalin, Einstein, Newton

- Mind Is the Product of the Brain and Somatic Inputs Such as Emotion
- Brain and Body Integrated by Means of Interacting Biochemical and Neural Regulatory Circuits
- Both Interact with environment
- Feeling Is Result of Brain and Body
- Coding and Memory Retrieval May Be Mood Dependent, Interfere with Factual Information, Past Experience
- Culture Can Make This Worse, "Cultures of Honor," where Protection of Reputation of Probity and Strength Done by Violence

 Prospect Theory Confirmed (Kahneman and Tversky)

 Framing Effects Are Real, People's Choices Are Altered by Irrelevant Changes in How the Alternatives Are Presented—Which Cancer Treatment Do You Prefer, One with a 90% Survival Rate or One with a 10% Mortality Rate

- In Certain Circumstances, Humans Are Risk Seeking, Greater Risks Incurred to Win a Large Prize
- Humans More Risk Accepting in the Domains of Losses, Loss of Possessions Felt Most Acutely
- Risk of the Loss of Power for Ruler With Extreme Mind Will Cause Him to Take Great Chances, Incur Great Risks

What It Means for Deterrence Theory

 Choices Fallible, Patterns of Fallibility May Be Identified, and So Assumption of Rational Choices Questioned

- Leaders Are a Certain Type of Human
- Decision-making Will Diverge from the Expectations of RDT in the Domain of Losses
- These Problems Cannot Be Turned Off, Only Overridden or Countervailing Pressures
- Mind of Kim Jong-un, Not Thomas Schelling