Robertson, Roland (1987a) 'Globalization and Societal Modernization: A Note on Japan and Japanese Religion', Sociological Analysis 47(S), 35-43.

Robertson, Roland (1987b) 'Globalization Theory and Civilization Analysis', Comparative Civilizations Review 17: 20-30.

Robertson, Roland and Lechner, Frank (1985) 'Modernization, Globalization and the Problem of Culture in World System Theory', Theory, Culture & Society, 2(3): 103-18.

Smith, Anthony (1986) The Ethnic Origins of Nations. Oxford: Blackwell.

Sternhell, Zeev (1983) Ni droite ni gauche: L'idéologie fasciste en France. Paris: Seuil.

Tiryakian, Edward and Nevitte, Neil (1985) 'Nationalism and Modernity', pp. 57-86 in Edward Tiryakian and Ronald Rogowski (eds), New Nationalisms of the Developed West. London: Allen & Unwin.

Weber, Max (1946) From Max Weber, ed. Hans Gerth and C. Wright Mills. New York: Oxford University Press.

Weber, Max (1968) Economy and Society, three volumes. New York: Bedminster Press.

Johann Arnason teaches Sociology at La Trobe University, Bundoora, Victoria, Australia.

Cosmopolitans and Locals in World Culture

Ulf Hannerz

There is now a world culture, but we had better make sure that we understand what this means. It is marked by an organization of diversity rather than by a replication of uniformity. No total homogenization of systems of meaning and expression has occurred, nor does it appear likely that there will be one any time soon. But the world has become one network of social relationships, and between its different regions there is a flow of meanings as well as of people and goods.¹

The world culture is created through the increasing interconnectedness of varied local cultures, as well as through the development of cultures without a clear anchorage in any one territory. These are all becoming sub-cultures, as it were, within the wider whole; cultures which are in important ways better understood in the context of their cultural surroundings than in isolation. But to this global interconnected diversity people can relate in different ways. For one thing, there are cosmopolitans, and there are locals.

The cosmopolitan-local distinction has been a part of the sociological vocabulary for close to half a century now, since Robert Merton (1957: 387ff.) developed it out of a study, during the Second World War, of 'patterns of influence' in a small town on the eastern seaboard of the United States. At that time (and certainly in that place), the distinction could hardly be set in anything but a national context. The cosmopolitans of the town were those who thought and who lived their lives within the structure of the nation rather than purely within the structure of the locality. Since then, the scale of culture and social structure has grown, so that what was cosmopolitan in the early 1940s may be counted as a moderate form of localism by now. 'Today it is international integration that cialism', the Hungarian author George Konrad writes in his Anti-politics (1984: 209).

Theory, Culture & Society (SAGE, London, Newbury Park and New Delhi), Vol. 7 (1990), 237-251

What follows is above all an exploration of cosmopolitanism as a perspective, a state of mind, or — to take a more processual of contrast. My purpose is not so much to come up with a definition well, but merely to point to some of the issues involved of the true cosmopolitan, although I may have an opinion on that as here with patterns of influence, and not so very much with locals view - a mode of managing meaning. I shall not concern myself The point of view of the latter I will touch upon mostly for purposes

The Cosmopolitan Perspective: Orientation and Competence

about anybody who moves about in the world. But of such people, percent of the passengers on the London-bound flights have excess consumer's (or middleman's) paradise for Nigerians. About 1 baby clothes, all of which are in great demand in Lagos. London is a similarly concealed bundles of frozen fish sticks, dried milk, and their countrymen in London; on the return trip, the women carry their thighs and upper arms. The dried fish is presumably sold to fitting gowns, which enable them to travel with dried fish tied to Lagos market women board London-bound planes with loosearticle quotes reports by flight attendants on the route, claiming that trade (the latter fairly often illicit) between Lagos and London. The International Herald Tribune (16 October 1985) about travel and hardly cosmopolitan at all. I have before me an old cutting from the some would seem more cosmopolitan than others, and others again We often use the term 'cosmopolitan' rather loosely, to describe just baggage, and about 30 percent of those travelling in the opposite

clothes hardly alter structures of meaning more than marginally. assimilating items of some distant provenience into a fundamentally And much of that involvement with a wider world which is characurban Nigerian culture, as it now is. The fish sticks and the baby Lagosian traders and smugglers hardly go beyond the horizons of local culture. teristic of contemporary lives is of this kind, largely a matter of Is this cosmopolitanism? In my opinion, no; the shopping trips of

such cultures. The underlying assumption here is that culture flows structures of meaning and meaningful form usually closely linked to territories, and of individuals as self-evidently linked to particular mostly in face-to-face relationships, and that people do not move Historically we have been used to think of cultures as distinctive

> around much. Such an assumption serves us well enough in delineating the local as an ideal type.

rather arbitrary. realize that the boundaries we draw around them are frequently differently located in the social structure of the world, we also mosaic, tend to overlap and mingle. While we understand them to be easily separated from one another as the hard-edged pieces in a too - but not it alone - suggests that cultures, rather than being extended in space, transnational or even global. This contrast, are carried as collective structures of meaning by networks more defined (in terms of nations, regions, or localities) with those which can contrast in gross terms those cultures which are territorially boundaries, the less so is also culture; and in our time especially, we space. The less social relationships are confined within territorial rectly and without logical necessity to particular areas in physical primarily to interactions and social relationships, and only indi-Yet as collective phonemena cultures are by definition linked

meanings and meaningful forms. manoeuvring more or less expertly with a particular system of competence in the stricter sense of the term, a built-up skill in of competence, and competence of both a generalized and a more listening, looking, intuiting and reflecting. And there is cultural personal ability to make one's way into other cultures, through specialized kind. There is the aspect of a state of readiness, a works. At the same time, however, cosmopolitanism can be a matter with more cultures is to turn into an aficionado, to view them as art search for contrasts rather than uniformity. To become acquainted aesthetic stance of openness toward divergent cultural experiences, a willingness to engage with the Other. It is an intellectual and more genuine cosmopolitanism is first of all an orientation, a toward the coexistence of cultures in the individual experience. A politanism in a stricter sense includes a stance toward diversity itself, ideally be foxes rather than hedgehogs.)2 But furthermore, cosmodistinctive entities. (And the more the better; cosmopolitans should must entail relationships to a plurality of cultures understood as identify the cosmopolitan. The perspective of the cosmopolitan Anyway, such a view of the present in cultural terms may help us

well as connoisseurs, and are often both, at different times. 3 But the matter of varieties and levels. Cosmopolitans can be dilettantes as In its concern with the Other, cosmopolitanism thus becomes a

sistic streak; the self is constructed in the space where cultures considerations of self as well. Cosmopolitanism often has a narcisachieving competence in cultures which are initially alien, relate to mirror one another. willingness to become involved with the Other, and the concern with

abroad a form of mastery at home. clearly the alien culture contrasts with the culture of origin, the more escargots. Whichever is required, the principle is that the more Some would eat cockroaches to prove the point, others need only eat regard to it, but he can choose to disengage from it. He possesses it, the culture where he originated. He has his obvious competence with surrender to the alien culture implies personal autonomy vis-à-vis other culture but accepts it as a package deal. Even this surrender, cular elements of the alien culture in order to admit some of them cosmopolitan does not make invidious distinctions among the partithe short term, situationally, as well. In another mode, however, the cratic collection of experiences. But such selectivity can operate in and surrender here. It may be one kind of cosmopolitanism where through the lense of the latter, the more conspicuously is surrender at least parts of the former would even be seen with revulsion it does not possess him. Cosmopolitanism becomes proteanism. however, is a part of the sense of mastery. The cosmopolitan's constructs his own unique personal perspective out of an idiosynthe individual picks from other cultures only those pieces which suit expanded, a little more of the world is somehow under control. Yet mastery, as an aspect of the self. One's understandings have into his repertoire and refuse others; he does not negotiate with the himself. In the long term, this is likely to be the way a cosmopolitan there is a curious, apparently paradoxical interplay between mastery Competence with regard to alien cultures itself entails a sense of

it. All the time he knows where the exit is. may embrace the alien culture, but he does not become committed to Yet the surrender is of course only conditional. The cosmopolitan

Cosmopolitanism and the Varieties of Mobility

encompassing the round of everyday life in a community. The engaged, at least one is presumably of the territorial kind, a culture experiences of different cultures of this kind, as his biography perspective of the cosmopolitan may indeed be composed only from move in the world. Among the several cultures with which they are Of course, cosmopolitans are usually somewhat footloose, on the

Hannerz, Cosmopolitans and Locals in World Culture 241

cultures and social networks in the present period that generates by a territory. It is really the growth and proliferation of such other kind which is carried by a transnational network rather than involved with one culture, and possibly but not usually more, of that more cosmopolitans now than there have been at any other time. includes periods of stays in different places. But he may also be

cosmopolitans, and when not, why not? with other kinds of travellers. Are tourists, exiles, and expatriates turn one into a cosmopolitan, and we must not confuse the latter But being on the move, I have already argued, is not enough to

travel guides for Americans who would want to know what king-size Beauty-rest mattresses, and whether there is a Taco Bell in restaurants in Tokyo offer Sweet'n'Low, which hotel in Madrid has rather not have left home; people who are locals at heart. 4 These are anti-cosmopolitans, people (mostly business travellers) who would main character who makes his living churning out travel books for In her novel The Accidental Tourist (1985), Anne Tyler has a

cosmopolitans. travel is not for cosmopolitans, and does little to create experiences; the benefits of mobility are strictly regulated. Such no general openness here to a somewhat unpredictable variety of course, travel is ideally home plus more and better business. There is servants, Africa is home plus elephants and lions. And for some, of experience, comments that many people travel for the purpose of 'home plus' — Spain is home plus sunshine, India is home plus nuously occupied with themes of journeys and the cosmopolitan Another contemporary writer, Paul Theroux (1986: 133), conti-

cosmopolitans nowadays loathe tourists, and especially loathe being taken for tourists, nature, such as nice beaches. Yet this is not the only reason why to do with alien systems of meaning, and a lot to do with facts of torially based cultures. But the 'plus' often has nothing whatsoever could potentially be involved would be that of combinations of terrispecifically to go to another place, so the cosmopolitanism that Much present-day tourism is of this kind. People engage in it

want to be able to sneak backstage rather than being confined to the crowd of participants, that is, of locals in their home territory. They cipants, or at least do not want to be too readily identifiable within a cultures, or in any case be free to do so. They want to be parti-Cosmopolitans tend to want to immerse themselves in other

apply this label increasingly routinely. And this could ruin many of edge of competence, the cosmopolitan keeps running the risk of itanism is an uncertain practice, again and again balancing at the ting them into local reciprocities either. Not least because cosmopol-The local, and the cosmopolitan, can spot them from a mile away incompetent. They are too likely to make a nuisance of themselves. sense have a cosmopolitan orientation, tourists are assumed to be spectator sport. Even if they want to become involved and in that frontstage areas. Tourists are not participants; tourism is largely a cosmopolitan sense of self. the pleasures of cosmopolitanism, as well as pose a threat to the being taken for a tourist by locals whose experience make them distance from them, not necessarily exploiting them but not admit-Locals evolve particular ways of handling tourists, keeping a

competence, but he does not enjoy it. Exile, Edward Said has surrounded by the foreign culture but does not often immerse with a culture away from his homeland is something that has been argued, is an unhealable rift, a discontinuous state of being, a the opposite of those of the tourist: he may reluctantly build up a himself in it. Sometimes his imperfections as a cosmopolitan may be or home plus freedom, but often it is just not home at all. He is forced on him. At best, life in another country is home plus safety, another, is often no real cosmopolitan either, for his involvement jealous state: The exile, also shifted directly from one territorial culture to

With very little to possess, you hold on to what you have with aggressive defensiveness. What you achieve in exile is precisely what you have no wish to share, attractive aspects of being an exile emerge: an exaggerated sense of group and it is in the drawing of lines around you and your compatriots that the least solidarity as well as a passionate hostility toward outsiders, even those who may in fact be in the same predicament as you. (Said, 1984:51)

that France and civilization were just about interchangeable terms and its own revue, was a sanctuary where they sustained the notion Second World War, as portrayed by Rutkoff and Scott (1983), were The French intellectuals who escaped to New York during the (1985: 258ff.), in a charming memoir included in The View from tunity to explore the city with all their senses. Claude Lévi-Strauss Among them, nonetheless, were individuals who seized the oppormostly exiles of this sort. Their New York, with its own academy Afar, has described his New York, of antique shops, departmen

> Brooklyn Bridge. history, and a Chinese opera performing under the first arch of the stores, ethnic villages, museums of everything from art to natural

whose circle one becomes encapsulated. surrogate home is again created with the help of compatriots, in are not. Most ordinary labour migrants do not become cosmopolitans either. For them going away may be, ideally, home plus fringe benefit but a necessary cost, to be kept as low as possible. A higher income; often the involvement with another culture is not a So now and then exiles can be cosmopolitans; but most of them

tutions which provide their social frameworks. come back to the transnational cultures, and the networks and instiexpatriate is rather more likely to be an organization man; so here I are perhaps the archetypes. Nevertheless, the contemporary where it pleases them; writers and painters in Paris between the wars is a vocation, or people who can take along their work more or less dent (even if modest) means, for whom openness to new experiences uprooted sense of self. We often think of them as people of indepenexperiment, who do not stand to lose a treasured but threatened, abhorred 'going native'. But these are people who can afford to suits them. Not that all expatriates are living models of cosmopolitanism; colonialists were also expatriates, and mostly they and who know when they are there that they can go home when it riates) are people who have chosen to live abroad for some period, readily associate with cosmopolitanism. Expatriates (or ex-expat-The concept of the expatriate may be that which we will most

Transnational Cultures Today

many kinds. Transnational cultures today tend to be more or less of intellectuals: clearcut occupational cultures (and are often tied to transnational job markets). George Konrad emphasizes the transnational culture tionships, and as the specialized contents of these cultures are of tribes, as the people involved form rather separate sets of social rela-New Tribe', but one may as well identify a number of different question over a longer period, writes of 'Transnationalism and the The historian James Field (1971), surveying the development in

one another across the frontiers, who keep in touch with one another, and who tional levels, but on all levels the intellectuals are the ones who know most about feel that they are one another's allies . . . The global flow of information proceeds on many different technical and institu-

counterparts two hundred years ago rode over to the next town to exchange ideas. (1984: 208-9) something with their colleagues; they fly to visit one another as easily as their cultures of other peoples as well as their own. They keep track of what is have lived, they have friends all over the world, they hop across the sea to discuss happening in various places. They have special ties to those countries where they We may describe as transnational those intellectuals who are at home in the

cians, and business people and of journalists and diplomats, and specialized but collectively held understandings. they go, they find others who will interact with them in the terms of they shift their bases for longer periods within their lives. Wherever days in a week, for a few weeks here and there in a year -- and as forays from a home base to many other places - for a few hours or become transnational both as the individuals involved make quick tional culture in decline is that of hereditary royalty. These cultures various others (see e.g. Sauvant, 1976). Perhaps the only transna-Yet there are transnational cultures also of bureaucrats, politi-

torial cultures has often been accidental, a freak occurrence in one culture. In human history, the direct movement between terriare nowadays systematically and directly involved with more than biographies; if not an expression of sheer personal idiosyncracy, then a result of war, political upheaval or repression, ecologica Because of the transnational cultures, a large number of people

ways extensions or transformations of the cultures of western cultures are more insulated from local practices than others; that of entangled with one another in manifold ways. Some transnational cular intensity, or places to which people travel in order to interact some territorial culture than by others. Most of them are in different transnational cultures are also as wholes usually more marked by diplomacy as compared with that of commerce, for example. The cultures tend to be organized so as to make people from western away from these centres, the institutions of the transnational in their terms, this is where such centres tend to be located. But even their particular meanings are produced and disseminated with partito have physical centres somewhere, places in which, or from where, of world culture through centre-periphery relationships is made using their languages, for one thing). In both ways, the organization Europe and North America feel as much at home as possible (by Europe and North America. If even the transnational cultures have But the transnational and the territorial cultures of the world are

> itself to be a distinctive cultural experience. involvement with one of the transnational cultures is more likely in spend their everyday lives elsewhere in occidental cultural enclaves, who are not western Europeans or North Americans, or who do not not completely, in many of the transnational cultures. For those only by staying at home in their territorial cultures. Like Ann Tyler's remain metropolitan locals instead of becoming cosmopolitans, not Americans can encapsulate themselves culturally, and basically 'accidental tourists', they can also do so, to a fairly high degree if It is a consequence of this that western Europeans and North

rounds of life, and gradually incorporate this experience into one's connected with them to make contact with the meanings of other cultures. Instead of remaining within them, one can use the mobility personal perspective. tional cultures are bridgeheads for entry into other territorial scope and depth — but their mediating possibilities. The transnaselves can offer people - for it is frequently rather restricted in however, is often not the new cultural experience that they them-The real significance of the growth of the transnational cultures,

Cosmopolitanism and Cultures of Critical Discourse

committed to the notion that cosmopolitans, as such, should be selfsubstitute for the personal journey of discovery. And they may be days or weeks, or a characteristically unsubtle handbook genre, can these programmes and this literature as a 'quick cosmopolitan fix' yourself literature in this field.5 Sceptics, of course, may dismiss and the oil-rich Arab world). There is also a burgeoning do-itother cultures which are of special strategic importance to one's They would be inclined to doubt that course work for a couple of goals (from the occidental point of view, particularly those of Japan sensitivity, basic savoir faire, and perhaps an appreciation of those cultural training programmes have been developed to inculcate chance and to personal whim; in the last few decades, we have seen the rapid growth of a culture shock prevention industry. Crosspetences in alien cultures has appeared too important to be left to the cultures of varied local settings, the development of comthe occupational practices themselves are not well insulated from these opportunities. Here and there, and probably especially where different transnational cultures may also relate in different ways to doubt often a very personal character trait. On the other hand, The readiness to seize such opportunities and cosmopolitanize is no

culture of intellectuals. academia in its New York exile, we have seen, tended to keep to cultures. This is more true in some instances than others; the French particular predilection toward making themselves at home in other ment which I have already quoted, proposes that intellectuals have a mastery that I have referred to above. George Konrad, in the stateof built-in relationship to that type of openness and striving toward itself. Nonetheless, it may be worth considering the possibility that there is some kind of affinity between cosmopolitanism and the Some transnational cultures, on the other hand, may have a kind

with special knowledge, and they could leave and take it with them others, or to the unique community setting. They came equipped whatever influence they had on a knowledge less tied to particular without devaluing it. knew as on whom they knew. Cosmopolitans, in contrast, based his classic study, their influence rested not so much on what they When locals were influential, Robert Merton (1957: 400) found ir

some would distinguish, as Alvin Gouldner (1979) has done, decontextualized cultural capital. Within this broad social category people recently.6 They are 'the new class', people with credentials, my purposes here; in any case, according to Gouldner, they share a between intelligentsia and intellectuals. This is hardly necessary for 'culture of critical discourse' Not surprisingly, there has been more attention given to such

of the kind which are elsewhere the special resource of locals: good chance of becoming involved with the transnational cultures. special knowledge, but also that overall orientation toward strucsettings of these cultures.) What they carry, however, is not just events and even of the constellations of locales which form the biographical knowledge of individuals, anecdotal knowledge of knowledge — they may well evolve their own particularisms as well, say that the transnational cultures consist of nothing but such recontextualized in a series of different settings. (Which is not to analysis of the order of ideas, striving toward explicitness where sionist in its management of meaning. It pushes on relentlessly in its metacommunication; I would also describe it as generally expan-28ff.) description, is reflexive, problematizing, concerned with discourse' refers. This orientation, according to Gouldner's (1979: tures of meaning to which the notion of the 'culture of critical Their decontextualized knowledge can be quickly and shiftingly Certainly these are a type of people who now stand a particularly

> the contradictory. In the end, it strives toward mastery. might come to rest comfortably with the tacit, the ambiguous, and common sense, as a contrasting mode of meaning management,

local, but rather of simulating local knowledge. also characteristic of cosmopolitanism. It is not a way of becoming a ness and drive toward greater competence which I have suggested is culture, including that in which the cosmopolitan himself originates. ambiguities, and tendencies toward inertia as any other local desires to explore. These are probably as full of contradictions, with those alien cultures in themselves which the cosmopolitan tures of meaning is in any way likely to show a particularly close fi Yet as a mode of approach, it seems to include much of that open-Obviously it cannot be argued that such an orientation to struc-

example 'rights of man', 'justice', or 'freedom of speech'. concepts easily enough because they tend to be defined essentially in and action, and that most members of society manipulate these certain central 'value concepts' which give meaning to experience study of American intellectuals, has suggested that each culture has these concepts over time. Such concepts, Kadushin notes, are for relationship between value concepts, and tracing the application of tions. Intellectuals, however, have the special task of finding the their concrete applications rather than through abstract formulaperiphery relationships of culture itself. Kadushin (1974: 6), in his are involved in a particular way with what we might see as the centreunrelated to what I have just said. Intellectuals in the narrower sense ism, if there is one, could also be described in another way, hardly The special relationship between intellectuals and cosmopolitan-

cultures as well, when the opportunity of cosmopolitanism presents an advantageous point of departure for explorations of other are vocationally in the habit of doing so, they would appear to have frontiers, tend to get together precisely over such shared concerns Konrad's transnational intellectuals, itself. And this advantage is surely not lost when different cultures in culture and the peripheral, ephemeral facts of everyday life. If they fact turn out to have central value concepts in common; George In their enquiries, the intellectuals traffic between the core of forming alliances across

The Cosmopolitan at Home

that mean in their case? the time, even cosmopolitans are actually at home. Yet what does This has mostly been a sketch of the cosmopolitan abroad. Much of

sense and unaware of its arbitrariness. Or perhaps the cosmopolitan of the year or the minor rituals of everyday life as absolutely alien and the distant, cosmopolitans may not view either the seasons ship in that category, are never quite at home again, in the way rea he is pleased with his ability both to surrender to and master this one meaning, not so different from the others which are further away; or makes 'home' as well one of his several sources of persona ment, perhaps irritation with those committed to the local common natural, obvious, and necessary. There may be a feeling of detachperspectives have been irreversibly affected by the experience of the locals can be. Home is taken-for-grantedness, but after their Perhaps real cosmopolitans, after they have taken out member-

of a pre-cosmopolitan past, a privileged site of nostalgia. This is one's competence is undisputed and where one does not have to again really home, a comfortable place of familiar faces, where where once things seemed fairly simple and straightforward. Or it is prove it to either oneself or others, but where for much the same reasons there is some risk of boredom. Or home is really home, but in a special way; a constant reminder

somebody to be trusted as a matter of course. Trust tends to be a unusual, one of us and yet not quite one of us. Someone to be relationship between local and cosmopolitan: the social organization of meaning does not necessarily apply to the know, and I know that you know that I know?. And this formula for matter of shared perspectives, of 'I know, and I know that you respected for his experiences, possibly, but equally possibly not for most of these locals, the cosmopolitan is someone a little true in the great majority of territorially based cultures. Conversely, At home, for most cosmopolitans, most others are locals. This is

others know what they have come across in distant places. So the precisely because these are on the whole separate spheres the cosmocosmopolitan can to some extent be channelled into the local; and ing goes, and there are those who make a speciality out of letting accessible only succeeds in trivializing it, and thereby betraying its politan can become a broker, an entrepreneur who makes a profit. nature and the character of the real first-hand encounter. So in a Yet there is a danger that such attempts to make the alien easily 'Wenn jemand eine Reise tut, dann kann er 'was erzählen', the say-Some cosmopolitans are more adept at making it apply again

> things be separate. way the more purely cosmopolitan attitude may be to let separate

ask whether it is now even possible to become a cosmopolitan about everybody a little more cosmopolitan. And one may in the end without going away at all. described as the implosive power of the media may now make just cultures, like foreign books and films. What McLuhan once speak of what is distant, and those which are really part of other media — both those intended for local consumption, although they orientations. Apart from the face-to-face encounters, there are the are at home or abroad, and strangers of other than cosmopolitan world. Other cosmopolitans may be there, whether they in their turn habitats to maintain their expansive orientation toward the wider local settings are increasingly characterized by cultural diversity. itanism is in exile. It is natural that in the contemporary world many Those of cosmopolitan inclinations may make selective use of their Despite all this, home is not necessarily a place where cosmopol-

their Shared Interests Conclusion: the Dependence of Cosmopolitans on Locals, and

the sum of its separate parts. were only locals in the world, world culture would be no more than they have this part they have received closer attention here. If there special part in bringing about a degree of coherence, and because related, somehow, somewhere. People like the cosmopolitans have a buted structures of meaning and expression are becoming inter-To repeat, there is now one world culture. All the variously distri-

culture, everybody would be the same kind of local, at the global seen differently, through the involvement with the one existing process of global homogenization, locals would become extinct; or, of world culture were ever to come about, through a terminal granted, less clearly bounded toward the outside. If that other kind home, find their local cultures less pervasive, less to be taken for approximation of it; yet it is a greater number who, even staying their local culture, but try to encapsulate themselves within some conform to the ideal type of a local. Some people, like exiles or migrant workers, are indeed taken away from the territorial bases of As things are now, on the other hand, it is no longer so easy to

Here, however, today's cosmopolitans and locals have common

- Swedish Research Council for the Humanities and Social Sciences (HSFR). as part of the project 'The World System of Culture' in the Department of Social Conference on the Olympics and East/West and South/North Cultural Exchanges in Anthropology, University of Stockholm. The project has been supported by the the World System, in Seoul, Korea, 17-19 August 1987. The paper has been prepared 1. The first version of this paper was presented at the First International
- one-tribe people. On hedgehogs and foxes, see Berlin (1978). 2. Anthropologists are thus not necessarily very cosmopolitan; many of them are
- from becoming a specialist (cf. Lynes, 1966). him a bit beyond ordinary knowledge, although in a gentlemanly way he retrains 3. The dilettante, remember, is 'one who delights'; someone whose curiosity takes
- 4. There is, of course, also a film based on this novel.
- Parker Pen Company, which describes its goals: 5. See for example the volume Do's and Taboos Around the World, issued by the

will sense incoming messages about cultural differences and nuances. An appredifferences can be both challenging and fun. (Axtell, 1985: foreword) unhappiness, and failure. In fact, learning through travel about these cultural ciation and understanding of these differences will prevent embarrassment, Ideally, this book will help each world traveler grow little invisible antennae that

- 'indigenous' and 'formal' production of culture. 6. See also for example Randall Collins's (1979: 60ff.) contrast between
- discussion of the 'doxic mode' 7. On common sense, see for example Geertz (1975), and Bourdieu's (1977: 164ff.)

References

Axtell, Roger E. (1985) Do's and Taboos Around the World. New York: Wiley

Berlin, Isaiah (1978) Russian Thinkers. New York: Viking.

Bourdieu, Pierre (1977) Outline of a Theory of Practice. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Collins, Randall (1979) The Credential Society. New York: Academic Press. Field, James A., Jr. (1971) 'Transnationalism and the New Tribe', International Organization 25: 353-62.

Hannerz, Cosmopolitans and Locals in World Culture 251

Geertz, Clifford (1975) 'Common Sense as a Cultural System', Antioch Review 33:

Gouldner, Alvin W. (1979) The Future of the Intellectuals and the Rise of the New Class. London: Macmillan.

Kadushin, Charles (1974) The American Intellectual Elite. Boston: Little, Brown.

Konrad, George (1984) Antipolitics. San Diego and New York: Harcourt Brace

Merton, Robert K. (1957) Social Theory and Social Structure. Glencoe, IL: Free Lynes, Russell (1966) Confessions of a Dilettante. New York: Harper & Row. Lévi-Strauss, Claude (1985) The View from Afar. New York: Basic Books.

Rutkoff, Peter M., and Scott, William B. (1983) 'The French in New York: Resistance and Structure', Social Research 50: 185-214.

Said, Edward W. (1984) 'The Mind of Winter: Reflections on Life in Exile', Harper's Magazine (September): 49-55

Sauvant, Karl P.. (1976) 'The Potential of Multinational Enterprises as Vehicles for (eds), Controlling Multinational Enterprises. Boulder, CO: Westview. the Transmission of Business Culture', in Karl P. Sauvant and Farid G. Lavipour

Tyler, Anne (1985) The Accidental Tourist. New York: Knopf. Theroux, Paul (1986) Sunrise with Seamonsters. Harmondsworth: Penguin.

Press, 1980). Inquiries Toward an Urban Anthropology (Columbia University the University of Stockholm. He is author of Exploring the City: Ulf Hannerz is head of the Department of Social Anthropology at