Copenhagen School

Petr Ocelík

Outline


• Assumptions.

- Securitization.
- Security sectors.
- Regional security complex.

Copenhagen school

- Context: traditionalists vs. revisionists.
- Analytical framework for study of international security.
- Currently: mainstream approach in security studies.

- Based on:
 - ("radically") idealist ontology
 - interpretative epistemology (discourse analysis)
 - "residual traditionalism"



Security as a social construct

- There is **no "essence"**, no universal feature of security.
- Security is **socially constructed** and intersubjectively shared.


• Security is a self-referential practice: an issue becomes a security issue only by being labeled as one.

→ Focus on **discursive construction** of security issues.



Securitization

- Framing
 - standard (depoliticized)
 - politicized
 - securitized



- Audience acceptance
- Extraordinary measures
- Linkages



Securitization

• **Securitization actors:** ones that declare – via illocutionary speech act – existential threat towards a particular referent object.





• Functional actors: ones that significantly affect the dynamic of the security environment (sector).

Speech acts

- Locutionary act: the literal meaning of the utterance.
- **Illocutionary act:** the social function of the utterance, for what purpose it is used in a given context.
- Perlocutionary act: the effect of the utterance in a given context.


"I warn you, the oil is running out!"

- Locutionary act: made vocal sounds, said that with a Czech accent.
- Illocutionary act: making a warning about (an existential) threat.
- Perlocutionary act: made you (audience) feel insecure (or amused).

| degree of widening | modes of widening | | | (modified Weisová 2004) |
|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| | horizontal (sectors) | vertical (referent objects) | values | threat sources |
| narrow concept | military-political | state | sovereignty, territorial integrity | other states, (non-state actors) |
| widened concept | societal | nation, societal groups | national unity, identity | (states), nations, migrants, hostile cultures |
| | economic | state, non-state actors, institutions, individuals | development, subsistence | states, market failures |
| | environmental | environmental systems, humankind | sustainability, survival, quality of life | states, globalization, humankind |

Regional security complex

- Brings back geography to IR.
- Structural characteristics:
 - **Boundaries:** differentiation from the rest of the system.
 - Anarchy: number of actors in the complex.
 - Polarity: distribution of power within the complex.
 - **Social construction:** relationships of amity and enmity.
- **Definition** (Buzan and Waever 2003: 44): "...set of units whose major processes of securitization, desecuritization, or both, are so interlinked that their security problems cannot be reasonably analyzed apart from one another."
- Security constellation: aggregate of all four levels of analysis.



Map 2. Patterns of Regional Security Post-Cold War

Copenhagen school and energy security

• Energy not considered as "a distinctive area of security interactions".

Typically included in an economic sector.

 Other options: energy sector as a new (additional) one? Energy sector as a supra-sector? (Palonkorpi 2008)

→ Let's discuss this. ©

Summary

• A comprehensive framework for security analysis.

• Esp. theory of securitization now part of the mainstream.

• The objective: desecuritization of the debate.

• **Criticism:** state-centric, inconsistent use of constructivist and rationalist concepts, focus mainly on discourse (omits context), conceptual and methodological doubts (audience).