This material may be protected by Doppright law (Title 17, U.S. Code)

### CHAPTER 1

# A Cultural Approach to Communication

<del>---</del>

When I decided some years ago to read seriously the literature of communications, a wise man suggested I begin with John Dewey. It was advice I have never regretted accepting. Although there are limitations to Dewey—his literary style was described by William James as damnable—there is a depth to his work, a natural excess common to seminal minds, that offers permanent complexities, and paradoxes over which to puzzle—surely something absent from most of our literature.

occasions communication is satisfying and rewarding. experience or simply mundane if he means only that on some an experience more depressing than wonderful. Moreover, of the news and entertainment we receive through the by any reasonable interpretation, is either false to everyday the New York Times is not quite so trivial, though it is could he have meant by that? If we interpret the sentence most of one's encounters with others are wonderful only Adelaide had the whooping cough." A daily visit with the international telegraph: "the intelligence that Princess mass media are of the order that Thoreau predicted for literally, it must be either false or mundane. Surely most communication is the most wonderful" (1939: 385). What with the seemingly preposterous claim that "of all things in moments of excessive masochism. Dewey's sentence, Dewey opens an important chapter in Experience and Nature

In another place Dewey offers an equally enigmatic comment on communication: "Society exists not only by

substantial will be revealed? peeling away layers of semantic complexity anything more interpretation is rejected, are there any guarantees that after that if you need sociologists to inform you whether or not you claim, but we hardly need social scientists and philosophers have a ruling class, you surely don't. But if this transparent to tell us so. It reminds me of Robert Nisbet's acid remark them society is made possible? That is certainly a reasonable transactions and the channels of communication peculiar to to speak rather too anthropomorphically, and that by such to exist in transmission, in communication" (Dewey, 1916. transmission, by communication, but it may fairly be said Dewey claiming that societies distribute information, What is the significance of the shift in prepositions?

errors, we might extend his thought by seizing upon the our studies. same contradiction he perceived in our use of the term "communication" and use it in turn as a device for vivifying cast upon contemporary studies. I think this complexity ly repeating his insights or unconsciously duplicating his into some of his characteristic errors. Rather than blissfulin his work. This same conflict led him, not surprisingly, between these definitions as a source of creative tension the history of Western thought, and he used the conflict that communication has had two contrasting definitions in different senses. He understood better than most of us derives from Dewey's use of communication in two quite to untangle this underlying complexity for the light it might regularly appear without comment or interpretation in the literature of communications), we would be better advised a substantial rather than a pedestrian intelligence. Rather than quoting him ritualistically (for the lines I have cited I think there are, for the body of Dewey's work reveals

to provide handy pegs upon which to hang our thought, gious experience. We might label these descriptions, if only though they refer to somewhat different regions of relias with much in secular culture, from religious origins, discourse in the nineteenth century. Both definitions derive, alive in American culture since this term entered common Two alternative conceptions of communication have been

## A CULTURAL APPROACH TO COMMUNICATION

a transmission view of communication and a ritual view of

in space for the control of distance and people.2 a process whereby messages are transmitted and distributed our thinking, in the idea of transmission: communication is communication remains grounded, at the deepest roots of but did not destroy the metaphor. Our basic orientation to by rapid transportation. The telegraph ended the identity to be distributed, if they were to have their desired effect, of a messenger or between the bindings of a book, still had controlled, through monopolization of writing or the rapid production of print, these messages, carried in the hands linked. Although messages might be centrally produced and graph, transportation and communication were inseparably the First Dynasty down through the invention of the teleof the most ancient of human dreams: the desire to increase control. It is a view of communication that derives from one of signals or messages over distance for the purpose of center of this idea of communication is the transmission described by the common noun "communication." The From the time upper and lower Egypt were unified under the speed and effect of messages as they travel in space. were seen as essentially identical processes and both were ment of goods or people and the movement of information nineteenth century but to a lesser extent today, the move-It is defined by terms such as "imparting," "sending," "transmitting," or "giving information to others." It is formed dominates contemporary dictionary entries under the term. est in our culture-perhaps in all industrial cultures-and from a metaphor of geography or transportation. In the The transmission view of communication is the common-

in detail, inadequate path. religious attitudes. I can illustrate this by a devious though, of communication, in our culture at least, lie in essentially technology. Nonetheless, the roots of the transmission view sentences seem more indebted to politics, economics, and I said this view originated in religion, though the foregoing

cation arises, as the Oxford English Dictionary will attest, at the onset of the age of exploration and discovery. We have In its modern dress the transmission view of communi-

quite escaped. the profound belief that movement in space could be in an attempt to trade an old world for a new and represented the first time, democratic migration in space was above all tion over virtually the entire globe. The vast and, for the unprecedented movement of white European civilizaitself a redemptive act. It is a belief Americans have never the woods of Massachusetts, were primary motives behind escape the boundaries of Europe, to create a new life, to the Puritans in New England, was religious. The desire to denced by the Dutch Reformed Church in South Africa or motive behind this movement in space, particularly as evishould not obscure the equally compelling fact that a major vast movement in space were political and mercantilistic found new communities, to carve a New Jerusalem out of Certainly those motives were present, but their importance been reminded rather too often that the motives behind this

standing might be realized, to produce a heavenly though of God, to create the conditions under which godly underspace was an attempt to establish and extend the kingdom still terrestrial city. with profoundly religious implications. This movement in nity of the Americas, was seen as a form of communication community of Europe into contact with the heathen commu-Transportation, particularly when it brought the Christian

Similarly, in 1848 "James L. Batchelder could declare that the now travels by steam and magnetic wires" (Miller, 1965: 48). identity of communication and transportation but also led a preacher of the era, Gardner Spring, to exclaim that we entered American discussions not as a mundane fact but as Hath God Wrought?'" (Miller, 1965: 52). This new technology to spread the price of pork but to ask the question 'What telegraphic message, the purpose of the invention was not purposes and, as Samuel Morse prophesied with the first Almighty himself had constructed the railroad for missionary were on the "border of a spiritual harvest because thought middle of the nineteenth century the telegraph broke the moral meaning of communication was the same. By lishment and extension of God's kingdom on earth. The The moral meaning of transportation, then, was the estab

Our most distinguished student of these matters, Perry religious thought was increasingly tied to applied science, space, saving the heathen, bringing closer and making more Miller, has commented: the ideal device for the conquest of space and populations the new technology of communication came to be seen as probable the day of salvation. As the century wore on and message farther and faster, eclipsing time and transcending divinely inspired for the purposes of spreading the Christian

spiritual victory . . . . " The awakening of 1858 first made Christianized technology. (Miller, 1965: 91) vital for the American imagination a realizable program of a were harbingers "of that which is the forerunner of ultimate movement should coincide with the Atlantic Cable, for both praying intercourse." Nor could it be only fortuitous that the bled, in effect almost bringing a nation together in one grace, from multitudes in every city simultaneously assemthrill of Christian sympathy, with the tidings of abounding telegraph and the press. These conveyed and published "the first eight seem wholly supernatural, was wrought by the The unanimity (among Protestant sects), which might at

sometimes for religious purposes, spread, transmit, and was viewed as a process and a technology that would of matter but the transmission of thought." Communication faster with the goal of controlling space and people. disseminate knowledge, ideas, and information farther and important because it involved not the mere "modification of thought. Moreover, the superiority of communication nineteenth century commentator that the telegraph was over transportation was assured by the observation of one ground, the obvious religious metaphors fell away and the technology of communication itself moved to the center Soon, as the forces of science and secularization gained

of electricity to the mechanical necessities of man . . . More pessimistically, John C. Calhoun saw the "subjugation quoted Thoreau's disenchanted remark on the telegraph. (as) the last era in human civilization" (quoted in Miller, 1965: 307). But the dissenters were few, and the transmission There were dissenters, of course, and I have already

sophisticated views of communication. than demonstrate, are at work in most of our scientifically the same root attitudes, as I can only assert here rather as the talisman of all our troubles. More controversially, invoked by an army of teachers, preachers, and columnists of the regularity with which improved communication is these machines are invoked. And we need not be reminded found possibility for moral improvement is present whenever From the telegraph to the computer the same sense of proundercurrent has never been eliminated from our thought. of new communications technology, the historic religious since that time. Moreover, as can be seen in contemporary and scientific form, has dominated our thought and culture popular commentary and even in technical discussions

 munication." A ritual view of communication is directed information but the representation of shared beliefs. not toward the extension of messages in space but toward exploits the ancient identity and common roots of the terms and "the possession of a common faith." This definition "commonness," "communion," "community," and "comas "sharing," "participation," "association," "fellowship," a ritual definition, communication is linked to terms such enough in fact for dictionaries to list it under "Archaic." In the maintenance of society in time; not the act of imparting in our national thought, is by far the older of those views—old The ritual view of communication, though a minor thread

fellowship and commonality. view is the sacred ceremony that draws persons together in for the purpose of control, the archetypal case under a ritual mission view is the extension of messages across geography If the archetypal case of communication under a trans-

construction and maintenance of an ordered, meaningful not in the transmission of intelligent information but in the sees the original or highest manifestation of communication light the role of the prayer, the chant, and the ceremony. It the sermon, the instruction and admonition, in order to highit derives from a view of religion that downplays the role of religion is apparent in the name chosen to label it. Moreover, The indebtedness of the ritual view of communication to

## A CULTURAL APPROACH TO COMMUNICATION

cultural world that can serve as a control and container for human action.

social process. minds but to represent an underlying order of things, not information but confirmation, not to alter attitudes or change to perform functions but to manifest an ongoing and fragile nonetheless real symbolic order that operates to provide not news stories, strings of speech-creates an artificial though embodiment in material form-dance, plays, architecture, root. Writers in this tradition often trace their heritage, in origins, but it has never completely escaped its metaphoric (1953: 95). This projection of community ideals and their is a projection of the ideals created by the community" for the world revealed to our senses a different world that to the argument stated elsewhere that "society substitutes part, to Durkheim's Elementary Forms of Religious Life and This view has also been shorn of its explicitly religious

our Puritanism, which leads to disdain for the significance which makes psychological life the paramount reality; from of culture derives in part from our obsessive individualism, culture is not a hard-edged term of intellectual discourse our high and generally scientific culture. But the notion of sociological data are exhausted. We realize that the undera residual category useful only when psychological and attention to American culture the concept dissolves into mischievously and patronizingly. But when we turn critical the anthropological sense and we regularly record it-often such a weak and evanescent notion in American social middle-class culture as an epithet, and occasionally applaud privileged live in a culture of poverty, use the notion of thought. We understand that other people have culture in view of communication because the concept of culture is springs of American culture, sources that feed into our for domestic purposes. This intellectual aversion to the idea scientific life as well as our common, public understandings. because this view is congenial with the underlying wellnant motif in American scholarship. Our thought and work There is an irony in this. We have not explored the ritual have been glued to a transmission view of communication The ritual view of communication has not been a domi-

of human activity that is not practical and work oriented; and from our isolation of science from culture: science provides culture-free truth whereas culture provides ethnocentric error.

Consequently, when looking for scholarship that emphasizes the central role of culture and a ritual view of communication, one must rely heavily on European sources or upon Americans deeply influenced by European scholarship. As a result the opportunities for misunderstanding are great. Perhaps, then, some of the difference between a transmission and a ritual view of communication can be grasped by briefly looking at alternative conceptions of the role of the newspaper in social life.

If one examines a newspaper under a transmission view of communication, one sees the medium as an instrument for disseminating news and knowledge, sometimes divertissement, in larger and larger packages over greater distances. Questions arise as to the effects of this on audiences: news as enlightening or obscuring reality, as changing or hardening attitudes, as breeding credibility or doubt. Questions also are raised concerning the functions of news and the newspaper: Does it maintain the integration of society or its maladaption? Does it function or misfunction to maintain stability or promote the instability of personalities? Some such mechanical analysis normally accompanies a "transmission" argument.

A ritual view of communication will focus on a different range of problems in examining a newspaper. It will, for example, view reading a newspaper less as sending or gaining information and more as attending a mass, a situation in which nothing new is learned but in which a particular view of the world is portrayed and confirmed. News reading, and writing, is a ritual act and moreover a dramatic one. What is arrayed before the reader is not pure information but a portrayal of the contending forces in the world. Moreover, as readers make their way through the paper, they engage in a continual shift of roles or of dramatic focus. A story on the monetary crisis salutes them as American patriots fighting those ancient enemies Germany and Japan; a story on the meeting of the women's political caucus casts them into the liberation movement as supporter or opponent; a tale

role of presentation and involvement in the structuring of the about the effect or functions of messages as such, but the as an observer at a play. We do not encounter questions action in which the reader joins a world of contending forces acquisition, though such acquisition occurs, but of dramatic and resentments. The model here is not that of information cockfight, a Dickens novel, an Elizabethan drama, a student role—that of a text—that a newspaper is seen; like a Balinese performs few functions yet is habitually consumed. Newspapers do not operate as a source of effects or functions of violence on the campus evokes their class antagonisms rally, it is a presentation of reality that gives life an overall presentations of what the world at root is. And it is in this but as dramatically satisfying, which is not to say pleasing, that news changes little and yet is intrinsically satisfying; it reader's life and time. We recognize, as with religious rituals, form, order, and tone.

Moreover, news is a historic reality. It is a form of culture invented by a particular class at a particular point of history—in this case by the middle class largely in the eighteenth century. Like any invented cultural form, news both forms and reflects a particular "hunger for experience," a desire to do away with the epic, heroic, and traditional in favor of the unique, original, novel, new—news. This "hunger" itself has a history grounded in the changing style and fortunes of the middle class and as such does not represent a universal taste or necessarily legitimate form of knowledge (Park, 1955: 71-88) but an invention in historical time, that like most other human inventions, will dissolve when the class that sponsors it and its possibility of having significance for us evaporates.

Under a ritual view, then, news is not information but drama. It does not describe the world but portrays an arena of dramatic forces and action; it exists solely in historical time; and it invites our participation on the basis of our assuming, often vicariously, social roles within it.<sup>3</sup>

Neither of these counterposed views of communication ne cessarily denies what the other affirms. A ritual view does not exclude the processes of information transmission or attitude change. It merely contends that one cannot understand these

at which one attempts to unhinge the problem of communication largely determines the path the analysis can follow. as Malinowski's phatic communion, to attest however tardily sion view of communication must include some notion, such lectual matters origins determine endings, and the exact point to the place of ritual action in social life. Nonetheless, in intel-Similarly, even writers indissolubly wedded to the transmissentially ritualistic view of communication and social order processes aright except insofar as they are cast within an es

a ritual view of communication: quotation reveals this tension and Dewey's final emphasis on information circulating in an organic system. The following Society is possible because of the binding forces of shared not, that tie men together and make associated life possible. of human fellowship; it produces the social bonds, bogus or munication is "the most wonderful" because it is the basis over these counterpoised views of communication. Com-The power of Dewey's work derives from his working

beliefs, aspirations, knowledge—a common understanding in common. What they must have in common . . . are aims, community, and communication. Men live in a community (Dewey, 1916: 5-6). physical pieces . . . . Consensus demands communication not be shared as persons would share a pie by dividing it into passed physically from one to another like bricks; they canlikemindedness as sociologists say. Such things cannot be munication is the way in which they come to possess things in virtue of the things which they have in common; and com-There is more than a verbal tie between the words common,

thought. His hopes for the future often overwhelmed the conceptions of communication but correspond to particular source of social bonds. Nonetheless, the tension between nication technology as a solvent to social problems and a He came to overvalue scientific information and commuimpact of his analysis. Ah! "the wish is father to the thought." historical periods, technologies, and forms of social order.4 in communication for they not only represent different these views can still open a range of significant problems Dewey was, like the rest of us, often untrue to his own

### A CULTURAL APPROACH TO COMMUNICATION

mill we were running. intellectual material with which we might escape the treadinto biology, theology, anthropology, and literature for some intellectual and social consequences. I felt it was necessary ment, it could no longer go forward without disastrous onstration of the indubitable. Although it led to solid achievefound in Dewey's work and, above all, to go elsewhere to reopen the analysis, to reinvigorate it with the tension become academic: a repetition of past achievement, a dem-American thought since the 1920s. When I first came into in behavioral and functional terms, was exhausted. It had this field I felt that this view of communication, expressed The transmission view of communication has dominated

Goffman. and descendants of Dewey in the Chicago School: from thought on communication comes from those colleagues Mead and Cooley through Robert Park and on to Erving the most viable though still inadequate tradition of social Kuhn, Peter Berger, and Clifford Geertz. Basically, however, Huizinga, as well as by utilizing contemporaries such as back to the work of Weber, Durkheim, de Tocqueville, and nication? For me at least the resources were found by going resources with which to get a fresh perspective on commu-Kenneth Burke, Hugh Duncan, Adolph Portman, Thomas But where does one turn, even provisionally, for the

and transformed. process whereby reality is produced, maintained, repaired, intellectual power and scope: communication is a symbolic munication of disarming simplicity yet, I think, of some From such sources one can draw a definition of com-

sizing the symbolic production of reality. Let me attempt to unpack that long first clause empha

about communication is that the noun refers to the most One of the major problems one encounters in talking

ambience and supports its existence. Similarly, communicaso ordinary and mundane that it is difficult for them to arrest seeking information, entertaining and being entertained-are instructions, imparting knowledge, sharing significant ideas, the fish is unaware is water, the very medium that forms its Marshall McLuhan's assertion that the one thing of which common, mundane human experience. There is truth in so inured are we to the mysterious and awesome in comprocess, we often focus on the trivial and unproblematic, our attention. Moreover, when we intellectually visit this tively call communication—having conversations, giving the ambience of human existence. The activities we collection, through language and other symbolic forms, comprises munication.

we no longer perceive them at all. Art, however, can take it on the mystery of character as revealed in sound. ordinary thing, the sound of a voice, and to contemplate us, if we are open to the experience, to hear again that Buchanan as having "a voice full of money" he moves of consideration. When Scott Fitzgerald described Daisy backdrop of existence and force them into the foreground of a fabric, the design of a face, the play of light upon a the sound of the sea, the intonation of a voice, the texture phenomenon strange." Things can become so familiar that what it portends. He arrests our apprehension and focuses landscape, and wrench these ordinary phenomena out of the A wise man once defined the purpose of art as "making the

gap" between what circumstances impelled us toward doing yet background facts of social life and force them into regarding this commonplace activity. Dewey knew that the most wonderful among things, surely Dewey is trying eye to see. When he comments that communication is become for us just there, plain and unproblematic for the template the particular miracles of social life that have the foreground of wonderment. They can make us conand what we needed to know in order to act at all. This became problematic, when we experience an "information knowledge most effectively grew at the point when things just that: to induce in us a capacity for wonder and awe Similarly, the social sciences can take the most obvious

sumptions to an ironic light that makes the phenomenon pings and exposing our common sense or scientific asbe induced only by divesting life of its mundane trapinformation gap, this sense of the problematic, often can

real world and create more or less adequate descriptions of of objects, events, and processes that we observe. Second, realism attest to the fact that there is, first, a real world attempts just that. Both our common sense and scientific substantial domain of existence. Language stands to reality semantic layers of terms and meanings to uncover this more we rarely dispute this matter-of-fact realism. We peel away as shadow and substance. While language often distorts, ob of it. We insist there is a distinction between reality and there is language or symbols that name, these events in the from which this view derives. as secondary stands to primary in the old Galilean paradigm fuscates, and confuses our perception of this external world fantasy; we insist that our terms stand in relation to this world them. There is reality and then, after the fact, our accounts To a certain though inadequate degree, my first clause

not to make any large metaphysical claims but rather, render communication a far more problematic activity than by reordering the relation of communication to reality, to it ordinarily seems. By the first clause I mean to invert this relationship

ent, independent of language and toward which language are the signs of words. Reality is not given, not humanly existspecific terms. who produce such systems-that focus its existence in forms, is produced by terministic systems-or by humans forms.5 Reality, while not a mere function of symbolic the construction, apprehension, and utilization of symbolic existence, is produced, by communication-by, in short, stands as a pale refraction. Rather, reality is brought into for things but, to steal a line from Kenneth Burke, things in the beginning was the word; words are not the names I want to suggest, to play on the Gospel of St. John, that

an order to existence that the human mind through some Under the sway of realism we ordinarily assume there is

faculty may discover and describe. I am suggesting that reality is not there to discover in any significant detail. The world is entropic—that is, not strictly ordered—though its variety is constrained enough that the mind can grasp its outline and implant an order over and within the broad and elastic constraints of nature. To put it colloquially, there are no lines of latitude and longitude in nature, but by overlaying the globe with this particular, though not exclusively correct, symbolic organization, order is imposed on spatial organization and certain, limited human purposes served.

Whatever reality might be on the mind of Bishop Berkeley's God, whatever it might be for other animals, it is for us a vast production, a staged creation—something humanly produced and humanly maintained. Whatever order is in the world is not given in our genes or exclusively supplied by nature. As the biologist J. Z. Young puts it, "the brains of each one of us does literally create his or her own world" (1951: 61); the order of history is, as Eric Vogelin puts it, "the history of order"—the myriad forms in which people have endowed significance, order, and meaning in the world by the agency of their own intellectual processes.

Ernst Cassirer said it, and others have repeated it to the point of deadening its significance: man lives in a new dimension of reality, symbolic reality, and it is through the agency of this capacity that existence is produced. However, though it is often said, it is rarely investigated. More than repeat it, we have to take it seriously, follow it to the end of the line, to assess its capacity to vivify our studies. What Cassirer is contending is that one must examine communication, even scientific communication, even mathematical expression, as the primary phenomena of experience and not as something "softer" and derivative from a "realer" existent nature.

Lest someone think this obscure, allow me to illustrate with an example, an example at once so artless and transparent that the meaning will be clear even if engaging complexities are sacrificed. Let us suppose one had to teach a child of six or seven how to get from home to school. The child has driven by the school, which is some six or seven blocks away, so he recognizes it, but he has no idea of the relation between his house and school. The space between

these points might as well be, as the saying goes, a trackless desert. What does one do in such a situation?

There are a number of options. One might let the child discover the route by trial and error, correcting him as he goes, in faithful imitation of a conditioning experiment. One might have the child follow an adult, as I'm told the Apaches do, "imprinting" the route on the child. However, the ordinary method is simply to draw the child a map. By arranging lines, angles, names, squares denoting streets and buildings in a pattern on paper, one transforms vacant space into a featured environment. Although some environments are easier to feature than others—hence trackless deserts—space is understood and manageable when it is represented in symbolic form.

The map stands as a representation of an environment capable of clarifying a problematic situation. It is capable of guiding behavior and simultaneously transforming undifferentiated space into configured—that is, known, apprehended, understood—space.

Note also that an environment, any given space, can be mapped in a number of different modes. For example, we might map a particularly important space by producing a poetic or musical description. As in the song that goes, in part, "first you turn it to the left, then you turn it to the right," a space can be mapped by a stream of poetic speech that expresses a spatial essence and that also ensures, by exploiting the mnemonic devices of song and poetry, that the "map" can be retained in memory. By recalling the poem at appropriate moments, space can be effectively configured.

A third means of mapping space is danced ritual. The movements of the dance can parallel appropriate movements through space. By learning the dance the child acquires a representation of the space that on another occasion can guide behavior.

Space can be mapped, then, in different modes—utilizing lines on a page, sounds in air, movements in a dance. All three are symbolic forms, though the symbols differ; visual, oral, and kinesthetic. Moreover, each of the symbolic forms possesses two distinguishing characteristics: displacement and productivity. Like ordinary language, each mode allows

one to speak about or represent some thing when the thing in question is not present. This capacity of displacement, of producing a complicated act when the "real" stimulus is not physically present, is another often noted though not fully explored capacity. Second, each of these symbolic forms is productive, for a person in command of the symbols is capable of producing an infinite number of representations on the basis of a finite number of symbolic elements. As with language, so with other symbolic forms: a finite set of words or a finite set of phonemes can produce, through grammatical combination, an infinite set of sentences.

We often argue that a map represents a simplification of or an abstraction from an environment. Not all the features of an environment are modeled, for the purpose of the representation is to express not the possible complexity of things but their simplicity. Space is made manageable by the reduction of information. By doing this, however, different maps bring the same environment alive in different ways; they produce quite different realities. Therefore, to live within the purview of different maps is to live within different realities. Consequently, maps not only constitute the activity known as mapmaking; they constitute nature itself.

of the neighborhood and the path from home to school perform as the model does. In the earlier example, the map model of an environment and then running the model faster than the environment to see if nature can be coerced to maps of environments. Thought involves constructing a in a second and stronger sense. Thinking consists of building depends on a publicly available stock of symbols. It is public represent the environment; the finger one lays on the map historically later in the species. Thought is public because it talent, one that appears biographically later in the person and The capacity of private thought is a derived and secondary marily on blackboards, in dances, and in recited poems. thought is predominantly public and social. It occurs pri-"The Thinker." I wish to suggest, in contradistinction, that that occurs in the head-graphically represented by Rodin's tomed to think of thought as essentially private, an activity our predominantly individualistic tradition, we are accus-A further implication concerns the nature of thought. In

and traces the path is a representation of the child, the walker. "Running" the map is faster than walking the route and constitutes the "experiment" or "test."

Thought is the construction and utilization of such maps, models, templates: football plays diagrammed on a black-board, equations on paper, ritual dances charting the nature of ancestors, or streams of prose like this attempting, out in the bright-lit world in which we all live, to present the nature of communication.

This particular miracle we perform daily and hourly—the miracle of producing reality and then living within and under the fact of our own productions—rests upon a particular quality of symbols: their ability to be both representations "of" and "for" reality.6

A blueprint of a house in one mode is a representation "for" reality: under its guidance and control a reality, a house, is produced that expresses the relations contained in reduced and simplified form in the blueprint. There is a second use of a blueprint, however. If someone asks for a description of a particular house, one can simply point to a blueprint and say, "That's the house." Here the blueprint stands as a representation or symbol of reality: it expresses or represents in an alternative medium a synoptic formulation of the nature of a particular reality. While these are merely two sides of the same coin, they point to the dual capacity of symbols for" they create the very reality they present.

In my earlier example the map of the neighborhood in one mode is a symbol of, a representation that can be pointed to when someone asks about the relation between home and school. Ultimately, the map becomes a representation for reality when, under its guidance, the child makes his way from home to school and, by the particular blinders as well as the particular observations the map induces, experiences space in the way it is synoptically formulated in the map.

It is no different with a religious ritual. In one mode it represents the nature of human life, its condition and meaning, and in another mode—its "for" mode—it induces the dispositions it pretends merely to portray.

All human activity is such an exercise (can one resist the word "ritual"?) in squaring the circle. We first produce the world by symbolic work and then take up residence in the world we have produced. Alas, there is magic in our self deceptions.<sup>7</sup>

are two different intellectual worlds in which to live, and structures, transformations, and surface appearances. These convinced rationalists, rebuilding our mode of language operant conditioning and wake up, for mysterious reasons, it into another.8 we may find that the anomalies of one lead us to transform under the influence of Chomsky, along the lines of deep not quite at random, convinced behaviorists who view language, under the influence of Skinner, as a matter of authoritative representations of reality and begin to build experiments fail, evidence counter to the representation is ently breaks down: people get lost physically and spiritually, the world anew. We go to bed, to choose an example Finally, we must, often with fear and regret, toss away our models of and for reality that lead to intense repair work. produced, mental derangement sets in-all threats to our made authoritative. Reality must be repaired for it consistproblematic and for whom reality must be regenerated and tions coming along for whom our productions are incipiently what we have produced, for there are always new genera-We not only produce reality but we must likewise maintain

To study communication is to examine the actual social process wherein significant symbolic forms are created, apprehended, and used. When described this way some scholars would dismiss it as insufficiently empirical. My own view is the opposite, for I see it as an attempt to sweep away our existing notions concerning communication that serve only to devitalize our data. Our attempts to construct, maintain, repair, and transform reality are publicly observable activities that occur in historical time. We create, express, and convey our knowledge of and attitudes toward reality through the construction of a variety of symbol systems: art, science, journalism, religion, common sense, mythology. How do we do this? What are the differences between these forms? What are the historical and comparative variations

in them? How do changes in communication technology influence what we can concretely create and apprehend? How do groups in society struggle over the definition of what is real? These are some of the questions, rather too simply put, that communication studies must answer.

they produce different forms of social relations. these several models have different ethical implications for can be modeled in several empirically adequate ways, but produce the behavior they have described. Communication models tell us what the process is; in their second mode they "of" aspect and a "for" aspect. In one mode communication communication, like all models, have this dual aspect-an models or representations of this process. But our models of understand communication insofar as we are able to build objective method free from the corruption of culture. We as communication to be revealed in nature through some pure phenomenon we can discover; there is no such thing communication itself. However, communication is not some tically engage, as in this essay, is communication about problems. One of the activities in which we characterisdoubles back on itself and presents us with a host of ethical of communication, a way in which our subject matter Finally, let me emphasize an ironic aspect to the study

motives it should manifest. It taught what it meant to display. only described communication; it also presented a model for of communication in nineteenth century American religious human contact, the ends communication should serve, the the appropriate uses of language, the permissible forms of thought I meant to imply the following: religious thought not For example, in describing the roots of the transmission view models of communication were found in religious thought. increasingly in scientific theories themselves. Traditionally, els are found in common sense, law, religious traditions, us how this particular miracle is achieved. Such modadvice-we must discover models in our culture that tell a film, entertaining an audience, imparting information and activities we summarize under the term "communication." If we are to engage in this activity—writing an essay, making our genes that tells us how to create and execute those Let us face this dilemma directly. There is nothing in

program, the abstract motives and relations they portray. degree to which these models create, through policy and only monitor the behavior of modern institutions to see the alternatively pursue power or flee anxiety. And one need diversity of communication into an arena in which people analysis (anxiety). I cannot adequately explicate these views balance theory, and functionalism or uses and gratifications ing theory, and influence theory (power) and dissonance, spond roughly to what is found in information theory, learnexample, American social science generally has represented here, but they reduce the extraordinary phenomenological in terms of either a power or an anxiety model. These correcommunication, within an overarching transmission view, than in science, but their implications are the same. For Today models of communication are found less in religion

- construction, apprehension, and use of models of communication themselves—their construction in common sense, art, and science, their historically specific creation and and consumer, welfare worker and supplicant, teacher and use: in encounters between parent and child, advertisers human contact and interaction. student. Behind and within these encounters lie models of Therefore, to study communication involves examining the processes of human interaction, mass and interpersonal tations of communication but representations for communication: templates that guide, unavailing or not, concrete Models of communication are, then, not merely represen-

of communicative world we inhabit. corner of culture-culture that determines, in part, the kind one. We not only describe behavior; we create a particular our science is, to use a term of Alvin Gouldner's, a reflexive we disingenuously pretend they merely describe. As a result Our models of communication, consequently, create what

clusion, speaks to the point: Raymond Williams, whose analysis I shall follow in con-

models, certain rules or conventions through which we can its results to others, we depend on certain communication describe. To start this process in our minds and to pass on Communication begins in the struggle to learn and to

### A CULTURAL APPROACH TO COMMUNICATION

which they start (1966: 19-20). practical institutions which then teach the models from of address, certain tones and styles become embodied in social institutions. Certain attitudes to others, certain forms many of our communication models become, in themselves, These arguable assumptions are often embodied in solid institutions which are then very powerful in social effect. . . take up a large part of our living energy. . . . Moreover, efforts to do so, and to use the existing models successfully, become inadequate or we can modify and extend them. Our make contact. We can change these models when they

attempt to coat reality with cliches, to provide a semantic of truth in such phrases. cynicism should not deflect us from discovering the kernel crucifix to ward off modern vampires. But our appropriate communication. Let us recognize the habit for what it is: an of reducing all human problems to problems or failures in cation. I am not speaking merely of the contemporary habit accounts for some of the widespread interest in communi-Williams has not been altogether missed by the public and This relation between science and society described by

and how they are carried out within communities. sustaining debate and discussion, acquiring information. and in which we live.9 For the ordinary person communi-The felt quality of our lives is bound up with these activities conversations, conveying instructions, being entertained, cation consists merely of a set of daily activities: having of communication are linked to problems of community, to problems surrounding the kinds of communities we create If we follow Dewey, it will occur to us that problems

models of communication we are unable to connect with to describe and share it; when because of a failure in our that make the world apprehensible, when we are unable created, shared, modified, and preserved. When this process communication, one sees it as a process whereby reality is becomes opaque, when we lack models of and for reality munication. If one tries to examine society as a form of Williams has argued, a name for this experience is comence-or, better, by representations of experience and, as Our minds and lives are shaped by our total experi-

others, we encounter problems of communication in their

personal values and sentiments, and intellectual notions---a includes the sharing of aesthetic experience, religious ideas, community. This derangement derives, in turn, from an ritual order. more than therapy as well). As Williams has argued, it also But social life is more than power and trade (and it is of property, production, and trade-an economic order. Alternatively, we have seen society essentially as relations administration, decision, and control-as a political order. by our traditions into seeing it as a network of power, when we think about society, we are almost always coerced obsessive commitment to a transmission view of communiin complementary models of power and anxiety. As a result, cation and the derivative representation of communication from a derangement in our models of communication and The widespread social interest in communication derives

real estate speculator. trade, our land and houses to satisfy the economy and the and politics, we have turned it into a form of citizenship, education principally in terms of its potential for economics to accommodate the automobile, our sidewalks to facilitate of technology and bureaucracy. Our streets are designed py. Because we have seen our cities as the domain of professionalism and consumerism, and increasingly theraexchange ideas and experience. Because we have looked at as opportunities to expand people's powers to learn and ernment and trade. We have rarely seen these advances we have devoted it, almost exclusively, to matters of govwe have looked at each new advance in communications of politics, trade, and therapy. Three examples. Because politics and economics, they have become the residence technology as an opportunity for politics and economics, of fundamental communicative processes in the service important ways we are paying the penalty for the long abuse than a contribution to the chaos of modern culture, and in Our existing models of communication are less an analysis

nication in terms of a ritual model is not only to more The object, then, of recasting our studies of commu-

## A CULTURAL APPROACH TO COMMUNICATION

common culture. communication of some restorative value in reshaping our to give us a way in which to rebuild a model of and for firmly grasp the essence of this "wonderful" process but

### NOTES

- For further elaboration on these matters, see chapter 4.
- For an interesting exposition of this view see Lewis Mumford
- irrelevant methodological questions, it is nonetheless a genuine desired, particularly because it gets involved in some largely cation (1967). While Stephenson's treatment leaves much to be The only treatment of news that parallels the description offered here is William Stephenson's The Play Theory of Mass Communiattempt to offer an alternative to our views of communication.
- as essentially situational and social, and symbolism as fundasees language as an instrument of dramatic action, of thought analytic. A ritual view of communication, on the other hand emphasis on language as an instrument of practical action and discursive reasoning, of thought as essentially conceptual and symbolism. The transmission view of communication leads to an mentally fiduciary. individual or reflective, and of symbolism as being preeminently with contrasting views of the nature of language, thought, and These contrasting views of communication also link, I believe,
- Ġ as Ernst Cassirer often seems to argue. I wish to suggest that or some other symbolic form. the world is apprehensible for humans only through language This is not to suggest that language constitutes the real world
- This formulation, as with many other aspects of this essay, is heavily dependent on the work of Clifford Geertz (see Geertz,
- V We, of course, not only produce a world; we produce as them. This is the problem Alfred Schutz (1967) analyzed as the phenomenon of "multiple realities." I cannot treat this many as we can, and we live in easy or painful transit between make any sense of the rather dismal area of communicative the multiple nature of produced reality is necessary in order to problem here, but I must add that some such perspective on "effects."
- 00 a description of how a scientific world is produced (paradigm The example and language are not fortuitous. Thomas Kuhn's The Structure of Scientific Revolutions (1962) can be seen as creation), maintained (paradigm articulation, training, through

### COMMUNICATION AS CULTURE

exemplars, of a new generation of scientists), repaired (by dismissing anomalous phenomena, discounting counter-evidence, forcing nature more strenuously into conceptual boxes), and transformed (in revolutions and their institutionalization in textbooks and scientific societies).

See Dewey (1977) To maintain continuity is the second of the second of

books and scientific societies). See Dewey (1927). To maintain continuity in the argument, let me stress, by wrenching a line of Thomas Kuhn's out of context, the relation between model building and community: "The choice . . . between competing paradigms proves to be a choice between incompatible modes of community life" (1962: 92).