QCA

Kateřina Fridrichová

Standard analysis

- 1. Conceptual research the research question, population of interest, outcome of interest, conditions to analyze
- 2. Data matrix calibration of the measurements
- 3. Transformation of data matrix into truthtable
- 4. Testing for necessity
 - 1. Assessment of the outcome consistency and coverage
- 5. Testing for sufficiency
 - 1. Assessment of the outcome consistency and coverage
- 6. Repeating the process for non-outcome.

Truth table

- Truth table reflects data from the data matrix
- One row of truth table reresents combination of conditions that can appear
- 2^k (k = number of conditions)
- Not all of the conditions then appear in the reality = incomplete truth table – logical remainders
- If there is 1 in the outcome column that row expresses statement of sufficiency (under this combination of conditions the outcome of intererest happens)
- You take the truth table row and if it shows the outcome, than it is added to the logical minimization by Quine-McCluskey
- Fuzzy sets fuzzy sets (as crisp ones) establish qualitative difference between membership and nonmembership in the set – property space – still belong into only one truth table row, because they will always have the value below 0.5 or above 0.5 for that condition

Data matrix
into the truth
table

Row		Condi	Outcome		
	Cases	A	В	С	Y
1	ARG	1	1	1	0
2	PER	1	0	0	0
3	BOL	1	. 1	0	0
4	CHI	0	1	0	1
5	ECU	1	0	0	0
6	BRZ	0	1	1	1
7	URU	1	0	1	1
8	PAR	0	0	1	1
9	COL	0	0	0	1
10	VEN	1	1	1	0

Y = set of countries with stable democracies A = set of countries with violent upheavals in the past B = set of countries with ethnically homogeneous population C = set of countries with pluralistic party system



Table 4.2 Hypothetical truth table with three conditions

Row	Cond	litions		Outco			
	Ā	В	С	Y	~Y	Cases	
1	0	0	· 0	1	0	COL	
2	0	0	1	1	0	PAR	
3	0	1	0	1	0	CHI	
4	0	1	1	1	0	BRZ	
5	1	0	0	0	1	PER, EC	
6	1	0	1	1	0	URU	
7	1	1	0	0	1	BOL	
8	1	1	1	0	1	AR, VEN	

See Table 3,2

 $\sim\!\mathrm{Y}=$ set of countries with non-stable democracies

Cases	Conc A	litions B	С	Truth Table Row A~BC	Outcome
HU	0.8	0.6	0.2		0.4
RO	0.9	0.3	0.2		0.3
CZ	0.6	0.3	0.6		0.7
BG	0.8	0.9	0.1		0.3
SK	0.2	0.3	0.9		0.6

Fuzzy set truth table

Dealing with contradictory rows and logical remainders Logical remainders – those combinations that do not realize in the data

- Either use no assumptions on logical remainders bar them from logical minimization → conservative solution
- Assign assumptions on logical remainders the program itslef decides what to do with the remainders (uses those that lead to more effective logical minimization) → parsimonious solution
- Directional expectations \rightarrow intermediate solution
- Contradictory row If the same combination of conditions shows both outcome and non-outcome
- Before logical minimization:
 - adding a condition
 - respecify the population of interest
 - respecify the definition, conceptualization, or measurement of the outcome or conditions

Sufficiency and its consistency



Sufficiency and its coverage



Venn diagrams – different levels of coverage sufficiency

Table 5.2 Two-by-two tables - different levels of coverage sufficiency



Sufficiency and its coverage However, it is common in crisp-set analyses to assess the proportion of cases following each path—that is, the number of cases following a specific path to the outcome divided by the total number of instances of the outcome. The simple proportion is a direct measure of set-theoretic coverage and is a straightforward indicator of the empirical importance of a causal combination. Necessity and its coverage and parameters of fit

Conceptual work

- Research question: What factors motivate UN intervention.
 - causally complex phenomenon
 - outcome: the strength of international response ("STRONG")
 - conditions:
 - Extent of the crisis
 - Spillover effects
 - Countervailing power
 - Institutional involvement
 - Media attention

Data matrix

			Outcome				
Cases	Years	(1) Extent	(2) Spillover effects	(3) Countervailing power	(4) Institutional involvement	(5) Media attention	Strength of UN response
Afghanistan	1991-2004	1	1	0.08	0.64	0.16	1
Angola 1	1991-1994	1	0.66	0.44	0.32	0.12	0.64
Angola 2	1998-2002	1	1	0.35	0.8	0.06	0.64
Azerbaijan (Karabakh)	1992-1994	0.68	0.59	1	0.16	0.09	0.16
Bosnia	1992-1995	1	1	0.38	0.8	1	1
Burundi	1993-2004	0.86	0.64	0.09	0.8	0.05	0.8
Colombia	1991-2004	1	1	1	0.16	0.11	0.16
Congo-Brazzaville	1997-1999	0.49	0	0	0.16	0	0.16
DR Congo	1996-2004	1	1	0.16	0.8	0.27	0.8
Georgia (Abkhazia)	1992-1994	0.13	0.13	1	1	0.06	0.32
Guinea-Bissau	1998-1999	0.1	0	0	1	0	0.16
India (Kashmir)	1991-2004	0.24	1	1	0.16	0.07	0
Iraq (Northern Iraq)	1991-1993	0.64	1	1	1	1	1
Liberia 1	1991-1995	1	1	0	1	0	0.64
Liberia 2	2000-2003	0.6	0.49	0	0.64	0.09	0.8
Mozambique	1991-1992	1	1	0.12	0.16	0	0.48
Myanmar	1991-2004	0.86	1	1	0.16	0	0.16
Nepal	1996-2004	0.06	0	1	0.16	0	0.16
Peru	1991-1997	0.64	1	1	0.16	0.07	0.16
Russia (Chechnya) 1	1994-1996	0.41	0	1	0.16	0.7	0.16
Russia (Chechnya) 2	1999-2004	0.86	0	1	0.16	0.37	0.16
Rwanda	1993-1994	1	1	0.06	0.8	0.22	1
Sierra Leone	1991-2002	0.82	1	0	1	0	1
Somalia	1991-1995	1	0.92	0	0.8	0.08	1
Sri Lanka	1991-2002	0.92	0.28	1	0.16	0.06	0.16
Sudan	1991-2004	1	0.72	1	0.32	0.07	0.32
Sudan (Darfur)	2003-2004	1	0.25	1	0.16	0.05	0.16
Tajikistan	1992-1997	0.32	0.3	1	1	0	0.32
Turkey	1991-2004	1	1	1	0.16	0	0
Uganda (Northern Uganda)	1994-2004	1	1	0.11	0.16	0	0.16
Yugoslavia (Kosovo)	1998-1999	0.1	1	1	1	0.87	0.64

Table I. Fuzzy-set membership scores for 31 humanitarian crises 1991-2004

Data matrix in RStudio

	$\mathbf{EXTENT} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	SPILLOVER 🚔	COUNTPOWER	[⊜] INV0	olv 🚊	ATTENTION 🔤	STRONG ≑
Afghanista	in 1.0	0 1.	00	0.08	0.64	0.1	6 1.00
Angola	1 1.0	0 0.	66	0.44	0.32	2 0.1	2 0.64
Angola	2 1.0	0 1.	00	0.35	0.80	0.0	6 0.64
Azerbaijan (Karabak	h) 0.6	58 0.	59	1.00	0.16	i 0.0	9 0.16
Bosn	ia 1.0	0 1.	00	0.38	0.80) 1.0	0 1.00
Burun	di 0.8	36 0.	64	0.09	0.80	0.0	5 0.80
Colomb	ia 1.0)0 1.	00	1.00	0.16	5 0.1	0.16
Congo-Brazzavil	le 0.4	9 0.	00	0.00	0.16	5 0.0	0 0.16
DR Cong	0 1.0	0 1.	00	0.16	0.80	0.2	7 0.80
Georgia (Abkhazi	a) 0.1	3 0.	13	1.00	1.00	0.0	6 0.32
Guinea-Bissa	au 0.1	0 0.	00	0.00	1.00	0.0	0 0.16
India (Kashmi	r) 0.2	.4 1.	00	1.00	0.16	ō 0.0	7 0.00
Iraq (Northern Ira	q) 0.6	54 1.	00	1.00	1.00) 1.0	0 1.00
Liber	ia 1.0	0 1.	00	0.00	1.00	0.0	0 0.64
Liberia	2 0.6	i0 0.	49	0.00	0.64	0.0	9 0.80
Mozambiqu	Je 1.0	0 1.	00	0.12	0.16	5 0.0	0 0.48
Myanma	ar 0.8	36 1.	00	1.00	0.16	5 0.0	0 0.16
Nep	al 0.0	06 0.	00	1.00	0.16	ō 0.0	0 0.16
Pe	ru 0.6	54 1.	00	1.00	0.16	ō 0.0	7 0.16
Russia (Chechnya)	1 0.4	0.	00	1.00	0.16	5 0.7	0 0.16
Russia (Chechnya)	2 0.8	36 0.	00	1.00	0.16	õ 0.3	7 0.16
Rwand	la 1.0	0 1.	00	0.06	0.80	0.2	2 1.00
Sierra Leor	ne 0.8	32 1.	00	0.00	1.00	0.0	0 1.00
Somal	ia 1.0	0.0	92	0.00	0.80	0.0	8 1.00
Sri Lan	(a 0.9	0.	28	1.00	0.16	5 0.0	6 0.16
Suda	an 1.0	0.0	72	1.00	0.32	2 0.0	7 0.32
Sudan (Darfu	ir) 1.0	0.0	25	1.00	0.16	5 0.0	5 0.16
Tajikista	un 0.3	2 0.	30	1.00	1.00	0.0	0 0.32
Turke	ey 1.0	0 1.	00	1.00	0.16	5 0.0	0.00
Uganda (Northern Ugand	a) 1.0	0 1.	00	0.11	0.16	i 0.0	0 0.16
Yugoslavia (Kosov	o) 0.1	0 1.	00	1.00	1.00	0.8	7 0.64

Showing 1 to 31 of 31 entries

	ou ind	JT: outco n: numbo cl: suff	ome value er of case iciency ir	es in conf nclusion s	iguration core							
Truth table	19 28 2 4 8 9 10 11 12 18 20 23 24 26 32 27 30 31 15 16 14 17 25 1 27 6 3 5 21 29 13	EXTENT :	SPILLOVER 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	COUNTPOWE 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	R INVOLV A 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ATTENTIO 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	N OUT 1 ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ?	n1110000000000000000000000000000000000	incl PRI 1.000 1.0 1.000 1.0 1.000 - 1.000 - 1.000 1.0 1.000 1.0 0.969 0.9 0.949 0.9 0.944 0.8 0.969 0.3 0.881 0.0 0.776 0.4 0.777 0.5 0.653 0.3 0.623 0.0 0.537 0.2 0.446 0.0 0.393 0.0 0.360 0.0	r 000 000 - - 000 - 000 - 000 - 000 - 000 - 000 000 - - 000 000 - - 000 000 - - 000 000 - 000 000 - 000 000 - 000 000 - - 000 000 - - 000 000 - - 000 000 - - 000 000 - - 000 000 - - 000 000 - - 000 000 - - 000 000 - - 000 000 - - 000 000 - - 000 000 - - 000 000 - - - 000 000 - - - 000 000 - - - 000 000 - - - 000 000 - - - 000 000 - - - 000 000 - - - 000 000 - - - 000 000 - - - 000 000 - - - - 000 000 - - - 000 000 - - - - 000 000 - - - - 000 000 - - - - 000 000 - - - - - 000 000 - - - - - 000 - - - - - - - - - - - - -	<pre>Cases Liberia 2 Bosnia 2 4 5 6 7 7 7 7 7 8 7 7 7 7 7 8 9 9 10 10 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12</pre>	a

Bibliography

- Binder, Martin. 2015. "Paths to Intervention What Explains the UN's Selective Response to Humanitarian Crises?" *Journal of Peace Research*, July, 0022343315585847. doi:10.1177/0022343315585847.
- Ragin, Charles C. 2008. *Redesigning Social Inquiry: Fuzzy Sets and Beyond*. Chicago: University Of Chicago Press.
- Schneider, Carsten Q., and Claudius Wagemann. 2012. Set-Theoretic Methods for the Social Sciences: A Guide to Qualitative Comparative Analysis. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.