

Final Drafts Assessment Sheet

***Social Inclusion: Perspectives, Practices, and Challenges within the
Visegrad Region (SOC607)
Autumn 2017***

Name		UČO	
Assignment	Reviewer name	Reviewer e-mail	
Research proposal Final version			
Quantitative review		Qualitative review (provide comments if needed)	
Structure (logical organization of the proposal, proposal contains all necessary sections) 1 2 3 4 5 N/A		Structure 	
Research question (clarity and compliance with the rules of good research questions formulation) 1 2 3 4 5 N/A		Research question 	
Literature review (comprehensive and thematically relevant literature review) 1 2 3 4 5 N/A		Literature review 	
Research methods (research methods correspond with the research question and overall design of the proposal) 1 2 3 4 5 N/A		Research methods 	
Research relevance (the proposal contains justification of scientific and real-life significance of the research) 1 2 3 4 5 N/A		Research relevance 	

<p style="text-align: center;">Use of citations</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(proper referencing and compliance with the ASA style)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1 2 3 4 5 N/A</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Use of citations</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Use of English</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(avoiding crude grammatical mistakes and typos)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1 2 3 4 5 N/A</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Use of English</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">1st draft comments incorporation</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(the author took into consideration comments provided to their 1st draft and significantly improved the paper)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1 2 3 4 5 N/A</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">1st draft comments incorporation</p>

Key:

- 1 = Failing the requirements
- 2 = Needs revision
- 3 = Satisfactory
- 4 = Good quality
- 5 = Outstanding
- N/A = Not applicable

Provide other comments if needed