

CDSn4001: Conflict Analysis

National misperception as a cause of war

November 24, 2020 Miriam Matejova

Agenda

- Individual/perceptual lens on conflict
 - How do leaders respond to ambiguity and uncertainty in IR?
 - Do decision-makers' perceptions/ misperceptions/biases matter in global politics?
 - How can we use our knowledge of cognitive biases to lessen the potential for/intensity of conflict?

Unmotivated vs. motivated bias

Unmotivated bias

- Results from the simplification/categorization that decision makers use to make sense of the world
- Decision making characterized by bounded rationality
- Bounded rationality: decision makers try to be rational but face inherent limits on their ability to do so (too much information, inability to process it) → people take shortcuts, decision-making is not irrational but imperfectly rational

Attribution theory

 How preexisting beliefs shape the interpretation of new information

Fundamental attribution bias

- People apply different
 attributions to their opponents
 than to themselves
- What does this tell us about the security dilemma in IR?

Prospect theory

- How individuals weigh options is heavily influenced by whether the outcome is seen as a loss or a gain.
 - Individuals are much more willing to take a risk to avoid loss than to achieve gain.
- Results in a strong status quo bias in IR leaders will take great risks to protect what they have

Unmotivated vs motivated bias

- Motivated bias
 - Due to some psychological need;
 - The actor sees what they want to see
 - Cognitive dissonance: individuals tend to construct internally consistent views of the world.
 When a new piece of information doesn't fit with internal beliefs → psychological discomfort → affects interpretation of new information



Some thoughts

- Can theories about individual conflict be applied on the actions/behavior of states?
- Are all causes of action justifiable?
- Why is militarism dangerous? Has militarism gone away?
- Incentives to misrepresent: rational or not?