INTERVIEW RESEARCH AND STRATEGIES

Miriam Matejova, PhD October 4, 2021

Agenda

Methodology vs methods
 Qualitative interviews

- 1. Definitions, purpose
- 2. Philosophical underpinnings
- 3. Ethics

Methodology vs methods

- **Methods**: "techniques or procedures we use to collect and analyze data" (King et al. 2019)
- Methodology: "a process where the design of the research and choice of particular methods (and the justification of these in relation to the research project) are made evident" (King et al. 2019)

o "approach" or "perspective"

Requires assumptions underlying the methodology

Methodology vs methods

Paradigm and perspectives (ontology, epistemology)

Research design/strategies of enquiry (methodology)

Method(s) for data generation and analysis

Interpretation & quality assessment

1. What is an interview?

- Interchange of views between people conversing about a theme of mutual interest
- Conversation with a purpose:
 - to understand perceptions of a 'living' source
 - to generate information on views, attitudes, experience, opinions, etc.

Interviewing: traditional views

• Simple and self-evident (?)

° A step-by-step method

- Asymmetrical relationship: powerful interviewer passive respondent
- 'Objective', neutral interviewer
- Need to ask the right questions to uncover psychological or social realities
- Emergent themes, meanings or questions are less important

New trends in interviewing

A more sensitive and constructivist perspective
 Participants as active subjects, storytellers
 Researchers not uninvolved, not objective
 Significance of emotions, feelings, motives, desires, biases

Interactional co-construction of 'reality'

Types of interviews – structure

Quantitative vs. qualitative
Close-ended vs. open-ended
Structured vs. semi- and non-structured
Individual vs. group (focus groups)
"Surface" vs in-depth

Types of interviews - participants

- Expert interviews
- Elite interviews
- Children and teenage interviews
- 'Ordinary' interviews

Expert and elite interviews

- ° Use experts and/or elites as participants
- Usually one-time, uncovering exclusive, non-public knowledge
- Often considered as different to 'ordinary' interviews peculiar, unique, demanding research setting (access, participants, status and power in interview relationship, sensitivity)

Expert vs. elite interviews

Experts

- Knowledgeable citizens (privileged access to information and training)
- Decision-making power
- Institutional authority to construct realities
- Offer expert opinions, analyse and solve problems
- Active in community affairs regardless of their social status
- Economic, political and governmental decision-makers, NGOs etc.

Elites

- Most powerful actors in society (birth, wealth, education)
- Knowledge or information from perspective of power and privilege
- Superior social, intellectual, economic status and power
- Making decisions or influencing processes important for many
- Political, business, professional, media elites (power relationships)

Source: Jelen 2021

What can interviews give us?

In-depth information about the social life

- Access to knowledge, experience, views, perceptions, attitudes, thoughts, feelings, activities and their interpretations
- Stories and narratives
- Access to observation of behaviours and beyond
- Understanding of the nature of the phenomena, uncover/reconstruct hidden meanings, develop (new) knowledge and explanations and generate ideas and concepts

2. Philosophical underpinnings: positivist understanding

Traditional model

- Social world is objectively knowable
- The 'truth' is out there waiting to be discovered
- Extract information through interrogation
- Data are independent of social interaction

Interpretivist understanding

Postmodern/constructivist model

- Social phenomena depend on meanings
- Data emerge dynamically through dialogue and in context
- Learn how to make sense of the world through interaction

3. Ethics in interview research

° Participants first, you second, research third

- ° Psychological, emotional, physical, and social wellbeing
- Protect your participants: data protection, especially personal, sensitive and off the record information
- Transparency of research purpose/researcher's background

General ethical guidelines

Informed consent

- Voluntary participation and the right to withdrawal on the basis of complete and open information about aims and purposes of the study
- Data recording

Deception

Avoid deliberate misrepresentation prior to or during the interview

Privacy and confidentiality

- Protecting the identity
- Who are you sharing 'raw' data with? How are you storing your data?
- Where/how are they going to be published?

Accuracy

• As much interview material as possible presented in the participants' own words, attitudes and thoughts

Source: Christians 2011

Ethical review process

 Institutions and universities increasingly sensitive to ethics and adopting policies

• Ethical committees

- Ethics forms and templates
- Ethical research codes of conduct setting the minimum of ethical practice

Follow your institutional procedures and requirements

- MUNI: The Research *Ethics Committee* (REC)
 - $\circ\,$ Covers every project that involves research on human subjects

Consider...

- Informed consent
- The right to withdraw
- 'Off the record'
- Assessing risk or harm (physical safety and welfare of the researcher and the participant)
- Accuracy, honesty, integrity and deception
- Confidentiality and anonymity
- Use of incentives and payment
- Clarity on the role of researcher
- Ethical treatment of data and data protection