

International political sociology (Paris school)

Petr Ocelík

ESSn4007 / MEBn4001

3rd December 2020

Outline

- International political sociology (IPS): assumptions
- The “practice turn”
- Sociology of Pierre Bourdieu: field, capital, and habitus


IPS: assumptions

- IPS shares **constructivist background** with Copenhagen school and CSS
- Both security and insecurity are products of **an (in)securitization** which consists both of discursive and non-discursive processes
- **Non-discursive processes:** technologies, routines and practices etc.

→ **The central questions of the IPS:** who does securitize what, under what conditions, against whom, and with what consequences?

IPS: background

- The IPS emerged during 1990s; often related to “**Paris school**” which draws on sociology of **Pierre Bourdieu (1930 - 2002)**
- Bourdieu attempted to overcome distinction between individualism and structuralism



IPS: the “practice turn”

- The IPS is a part of the “**practice turn**” in IR → focus on **what actors do and why** (Emmanuel Adler and Vincent Pouliot)
- Investigates **practical, common-sense knowledge** rather than theoretical knowledge
- Conceptual differentiation: **behavior, action, practice**
- **Behavior:** material dimension of “doing”
- **Action:** adds meaning to the behavior
- **Practice:** embeds action within social organized context


IPS: the “practice turn”



Bourdieu: field


- **Field:** a relatively autonomous, hierarchically organized social space within which transactions, interactions, events etc. in a particular sphere of social life take place
- Analogy: a “sports field” or a chess board
- There are **different kinds of fields:** political, military, organized crime, academia, art, medical, bureaucratic, security experts etc.
- Each field operates according to its **own logic (nomos)**

Power-positions in field



Bourdieu: field

- Actors compete among themselves according to the field's rules for specific benefits associated with the field
- There are social **positions** given by **power-differentials** of actors within the field
- The movement of actors between the positions is called (life) **trajectory**
- There is a **hierarchy of fields**: most fields subordinated to the field of power (what is dominant source of power/capital) and class relations (sometimes called field of the fields)



Bourdieu: capital

- The structure of the social world is conditioned by the distribution of various forms of capital
- **Capital:** an accumulated labor that enables actors to influence their position and position of others within a given field
- **Economic capital:** an accumulation of money, assets, property rights
- **Cultural capital:** an accumulation of knowledge, abilities, qualifications etc.
- **Social capital:** an accumulation of social ties to potential resources
- **Symbolic capital:** an accumulation of prestige, honor, recognition etc.




Bourdieu: capital


- There are **various types of capital** – anything that differentiates individuals/stratifies society can be seen as a form of capital
- E.g., in sport (or bouncers) field, a physical capital crucially stratifies the field
- Also, any form of capital can be transformed into a symbolic capital (capital that does not appear as capital)
- **Symbolic capital** is capital of “a different order”

secured middle class emerging cosmopolitan class traditional working class



22 % population



12 %




14 %




class of local ties

12 %




endangered class

22 %



suffering class

18 %



capital forms



economic: property



economic: income



social: ties



social: support



cultural: languages / IT




cultural: general

Prokop et al. 2019

Kočí et al. 2019

Bourdieu: habitus

- **Habitus:** an embodiment and internalization of the social world which structures how the social world is perceived and experienced and is structured by objective structures of the social world which are not controlled by the actor
- Habitus is **the link** between the private experience of the world (subjective) and the social world itself (objective)
- Habitus consists of **dispositions** that define habitual state, tendencies, and inclinations of an actor
- Dispositions (and consequently habitus) are formed by the actor's encounters of the objective conditions of the social world



Bourdieu: habitus

- **Preference vs. dispositions:** “real” preferences might be hidden vs. dispositions (do not have to correspond with preferences) are performed
- The continuous performance of dispositions, often in form of practices, situates actor within a field
- Field, capital, and habitus are interdependent
$$\text{practice} = [(\text{habitus}) (\text{capital})] + \text{field}$$
- → Bourdieu: we should **research both subjective** (habitus) as well as **objective** (field); see Pouliot “subjectivism”

Conclusions

- IPS shares **constructivist background** with Copenhagen and Aberystwyth schools (CSS)
- Transdisciplinary
- Blurs borders between international and domestic, strategic and everyday...

- **Many actors compete for their definition of (in)security** within various fields
- (In)securitization moves have roots in the **practices and routines** → goal is to uncover and disrupt those “regimes of truth”


Scientific (expert) knowledge production

- Science influences **what can be said and what not:**
- *The non-politicized has no language; it is what we know without knowing that we know it (Berling 2011: 391)*
- Scientific or expert knowledge: a privileged form (Berling 2011)
 - **legitimation**
 - **mobilization**
 - **objectification**




ZDŮVODNĚNÍ POTŘEBY ZÁMĚRU NJZ V LOKALITĚ TEMELÍN

- Naplňování energetických a strategických cílů ČR (SEK – Bezpečnost, Nezávislost / Konkurenceschopnost, Udržitelný rozvoj; NEK – Pačesova komise; Politika územního rozvoje ČR)
- Náhrada dožívajících uhelných elektráren + nedostatek zdrojů uhlí
- Soulad s mezinárodními cíly a závazky ČR - Ochrana klimatu



31





Mirek Topolánek
@MirekTopolánek

Aktivistům nic vysvětlovat nechci. Lidem s mozkiem doporučuji, ať ho používají. To, po čem jsem dlouho volal má reálnou šanci. Vědecká diskuze o alternativách změn klimatu a antropogenním vlivu. Hopefully

Translate Tweet



500 Climate Scientists Write To UN: There Is No Climate Eme...
ER Editor: The UK's Independent did pick up this story on September 6 in a hugely politicised hatchet piece ...
europereloaded.com

7:43 PM · Oct 21, 2019 · Twitter Web App

22 Retweets 126 Likes



Michal Berg @MichalBerg · Oct 21

Replying to @MirekTopolánek
Vidím že jste při tom hledání alternativ ke vědě našel i alternativní anglický pravopis, dobrá práce ;)





Štěpán Ryšavý @stepanrysavý · Oct 21

Replying to @MirekTopolánek
To je ta věc, kde české vědce reprezentoval Václav Klaus st.?



Over Two Decades Manufacturing Doubt about Scientific Consensus






13,950 peer-reviewed climate articles
1991-2012


24 reject global warming

Figure 3

GROWTH OF ATMOSPHERIC CO₂ AND AVERAGE GLOBAL TEMPERATURE INCREASE AS A FUNCTION OF TIME



Atmospheric CO₂ at Mauna Loa Observatory



SUMMARY

- I. CO₂ RELEASE MOST LIKELY SOURCE OF INADVERTENT CLIMATE MODIFICATION.
- II. PREVAILING OPINION ATTRIBUTES CO₂ INCREASE TO FOSSIL FUEL COMBUSTION.
- III. DOUBLING CO₂ COULD INCREASE AVERAGE GLOBAL TEMPERATURE 1°C TO 3°C BY 2050 A.D. (10°C PREDICTED AT POLES).
- IV. MORE RESEARCH IS NEEDED ON MOST ASPECTS OF GREENHOUSE EFFECT
- V. 5-10 YR. TIME WINDOW TO GET NECESSARY INFORMATION
- VI. MAJOR RESEARCH EFFORT BEING CONSIDERED BY DOE

Non-knowledge: “conscious or unconscious, concrete or theoretical, it can signify willful ignorance or an inability-to-know.” (Beck 2009: 123)