Social mobility - measurement

- Social mobility indicates "societal openness"
- Aggregated data and mobility tables
 - Social classes
 - Resources, barriers, desirability
 - Mobility paths
 - Macro-level of social analysis
 - The first and third generation of SSR
- Individual data and path analysis
 - Social statuses, employment, socioeconomic indexes
 - Aspirations, motivations
 - Social variables influence labor market positions
 - Micro-level of social analysis
 - Second generation of SSR

Mobility table

- Intergeneration and intragenerational mobility
- Social reproduction
- Upward and downward social mobility
 - long distance, short distance

| | | Current (destination) class | | | |
|--|-------|-----------------------------|------|------|-------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | Total |
| ORIGIN CLASS | 1 | 731 | 322 | 189 | 1242 |
| 1997) 1990 - Santa S 1997 - Santa Sa | 2 | 857 | 1140 | 1109 | 3106 |
| | 3 | 787 | 1386 | 2915 | 5088 |
| | Total | 2375 | 2848 | 4213 | 9436 |

NOTE: Classes are: 1 = Service: 2 = Intermediate: 3 = Working. sounce: Calculated from Goldthorpe et al. (1980/87), Table 2.2.

Outflow mobility

- calculation of percentages in rows
- interpretation I: of all men originating in class Y, X% moved into class Z
- interpretation II: the probability of a man born into class Y, moving into class Z, was X%

| | | Destination class | | | ······································ |
|--------------|----------|-------------------|----|----|--|
| | | I | 2 | 3 | Total |
| ORIGIN CLASS | 1 | 59 | 26 | 15 | 100 |
| | 2 | 28 | 37 | 36 | 101 |
| | 3 | 15 | 27 | 57 | 99 |
| | <u> </u> | <u></u> | | | |

TABLE 2 PERCENTAGE OUTFLOW MOBILITY TABLE: MEN IN ENGLAND AND WALES 1972

NOTE: Classes as Table 1. Percentages are by row—row totals may not add to 100 because of rounding. sounce: As Table 1.

Inflow mobility

- calculation of percentages in columns
- interpretation: X% of all men in class Y came from class Z
- social composition of classes, "social heterogeneity"

| | | Destination class | | |
|--------------|-------|-------------------|-----|-----|
| | | I | 3 | 3 |
| ORIGIN CLASS | 1 | 31 | 11 | 5 |
| | 2 | 36 | 40 | 26 |
| | 3 | 33 | 49 | 69 |
| | Total | 100 | 100 | 100 |

TABLE 3 PERCENTAGE INFLOW MOBILITY TABLE: MEN IN ENGLAND AND WALES 1972

NOTE: Percentages are by column—column totals may not add to 100 because of rounding. source: As Table 1.

Structural and net mobility I

- Social Mobility = structural mobility + net mobility
- Structural (forced) mobility is given by
 - economic and technological changes
 - demographic changes (class difference in fertility, mortality, migration...)
- Net mobility is real mobility
 - how different class origins influenced destinations
 - inequality in mobility chances stems from differences in:
 - class resources
 - class bariers
 - class desirabilities
- Identification structural mobility by Dissimilarity index

Dissimilarity index - DI, D, or Δ

- DI is computed as sum of positive differences between two percent distributions divided by number 2:
- Values <0;1>
- Interpretation: what proportion is needed for the situation in which two distributions are identical?



Structural and net mobility II

- Problems in identification structural mobility by DI
 - two class structures are incomparable because of "career mobility"
 - "age problem" in SM research
- Many efforts to empirically identify net mobility with the help of "mobility indexes"
 - no proper way
- Solution: change in conceptualization of intergenerational mobility
- Social origin vs. social destination (SO SD) (no intergenerational mobility)
- Structural and Exchange mobility are replaced by concepts *absolute* and *relative* mobility
 - It is not possible to measure structural and exchange mobility in data *ex post*
- Contingency table: Father, Son and The Holy Ghost (the core of mobility table) (R. Erikson,
 J. Golthorpe: *Constant Flux*, 1992)

Absolute and relative social mobility I

- Absolute mobility is chance of ending up in a different social class from the one a person was born into
- Usually the movements are often small: from class 2 to 1, say, or from class 5 to 6.
- Measured in percent (%)

- Relative mobility is chance, if a person started in, say, class 6 or 7, of making it to, say, class 1 or 2 compared with those who started at the top.
- It is an answer to the question: if a person starts at the bottom, how many times less likely to make it to the top than somebody born there
- Measured in odds ratios (OR)

Absolute and relative social mobility II

Key questions:

- 1. How strong is the relationship between where you start out (origin) and where you go to (destination)?
- 2. What is the chance of a man from class Y to end up in class Z rather than in another class?

OR (odds ratio) is the convential meassure of inequality in access to particular class destinations from different class origins.

| | | Current (destination) class | | | |
|--------------|-------|-----------------------------|------|------|-------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | Total |
| ORIGIN CLASS | 1 | 731 | 322 | 189 | 1242 |
| i Si Alis | 2 | 857 | 1140 | 1109 | 3106 |
| | 3 | 787 | 1386 | 2915 | 5088 |
| | Total | 2375 | 2848 | 4213 | 9436 |

NOTE: Classes are: 1 = Service: 2 = Intermediate: 3 = Working. SOURCE: Calculated from Goldthorpe et al. (1980/87), Table 2.2.

| Destination class | Origin class | Odds ratio | |
|-------------------|--------------|------------|--|
| <u>1 v 2</u> | 1 v 2 | 3.03 | |
| 1 v 2 | 1 v 3 | 3.98 | |
| ĩ v 2 | 2 v 3 | 1.32 | |
| 1 v 3 | 1 v 2 | 5.03 | |
| tv3 | 1 v 3 | 14.33 | |
| 1 v 3 | 2 v 3 | 2.85 | |
| 2 v 3 | 1 v 2 | 1.65 | |
| 2 v 3 | 1 v 3 | 3.54 | |
| 2 v 3 | 2 v 3 | 2.15 | |

÷2

Blau and Duncan's basic social stratification model



Figure 5.1. Path coefficients in basic model of the process of stratification.

The American Occupational Structure

Peter M. Blau Otis Dudley Duncan

Sorokin Award Winner