

# Descriptives, Crosstabs, Correlation

Methodology of Conflict and Democracy Studies

December 10

# Aim of this lecture

- How to obtain basic information about your data
- Association of two variables:
  - Crosstabs (Contingency tables)
  - Correlation

# Descriptive Statistics

- Basic measures to summarize the characteristics of your data
- Various types:
  - Central tendencies – mean, median
  - Dispersion – variance, minimum, maximum
- Not all descriptives are suitable for all types of variables
- We use them to describe and explore your data


# How to Obtain Descriptives in SPSS

- Analyze > Descriptive Statistics > Frequencies
- Move variables of interest to the right
- In 'Statistics' choose all measures you require

## Statistics

Age of respondent, calculated

N	Valid	2398
	Missing	0
Mean		49,04
Median		49,00
Mode		50
Std. Deviation		17,561
Minimum		15
Maximum		90
Sum		117591



# Association of Two Variables

- Depends on types of variables
- Crosstabs:
  - Suitable for two categorical variables
  - Low amount of categories in your variables (but at least two per variable)
- Correlation:
  - Two scale variables, scale and ordinal, two ordinal variables
  - Specific case – scale and a binary variable

# Crosstabs

- Contingency tables
- Describe interaction of two categorical variables
- Age groups of people v. turnout in election (yes/no)
- Allow generalization to population

# Crosstabs

- Analyze > Descriptive statistics > Crosstabs
- Select variables for Columns and Rows
- Features:
  - Cells – counts, percentages, residuals
  - Statistics – Chi-square, Cramer's V
- Try not to fill your crosstabs with too many features at once



# Counts: Observed

## Age \* Voted in election Crosstabulation

Count

		Voted in election		Total
		No	Yes	
Age	18 - 35	271	248	519
	36 - 59	390	655	1045
	60 - 90	186	556	742
Total		847	1459	2306

# Counts: Observed Percentages: Column

## Age \* Voted in election Crosstabulation

			Voted in election		
			No	Yes	Total
Age	18 - 35	Count	271	248	519
		% within Voted in election	32,0%	17,0%	22,5%
	36 - 59	Count	390	655	1045
		% within Voted in election	46,0%	44,9%	45,3%
	60 - 90	Count	186	556	742
		% within Voted in election	22,0%	38,1%	32,2%
Total		Count	847	1459	2306
		% within Voted in election	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%

# Counts: Observed Percentages: Row

**Age \* Voted in election Crosstabulation**


		Voted in election		Total	
		No	Yes		
Age	18 - 35	Count	271	248	519
		% within Age	52,2%	47,8%	100,0%
	36 - 59	Count	390	655	1045
		% within Age	37,3%	62,7%	100,0%
	60 - 90	Count	186	556	742
		% within Age	25,1%	74,9%	100,0%
Total		Count	847	1459	2306
		% within Age	36,7%	63,3%	100,0%

# Counts: Observed Percentages: Row

- Younger people do not vote to the same extent than older people
- But can we apply this to the whole population?

## Age \* Voted in election Crosstabulation

		Voted in election		Total	
		No	Yes		
Age	18 - 35	Count	271	248	519
		% within Age	52,2%	47,8%	100,0%
	36 - 59	Count	390	655	1045
		% within Age	37,3%	62,7%	100,0%
	60 - 90	Count	186	556	742
		% within Age	25,1%	74,9%	100,0%
Total		Count	847	1459	2306
		% within Age	36,7%	63,3%	100,0%



# Chi-square, Cramer's V


### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	97,142 <sup>a</sup>	2	,000
Likelihood Ratio	97,604	2	,000
Linear-by-Linear Association	96,677	1	,000
N of Valid Cases	2306		

a. 0 cells (0,0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 190,63.

### Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	,205	,000
	Cramer's V	,205	,000
N of Valid Cases		2306	



- There is a relationship between age and turnout, and it applies to the population
- But is it okay to end the analysis at this point? Can we find out **more**?

# How to *read* the significance in SPSS outputs

SPSS output	Significance
,900	10 %
,750	25 %
,500	50 %
,200	80 %
,100	90 %
,050	<b>95 %</b>
,010	<b>99 %</b>
,001	<b>99.9 %</b>
,000	<b>&gt; 99.9 %</b>

$$= (1 - \text{SPSS output}) * 100$$

$$\text{Example: } (1 - 0.234) * 100 = 0.766 * 100 = 76.6 \%$$

# Chi-square, Cramer's V


### Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	97,142 <sup>a</sup>	2	,000
Likelihood Ratio	97,604	2	,000
Linear-by-Linear Association	96,677	1	,000
N of Valid Cases	2306		

a. 0 cells (0,0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 190,63.

### Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	,205	,000
	Cramer's V	,205	,000
N of Valid Cases		2306	



- There is a relationship between age and turnout, and it applies to the population
- But is it okay to end the analysis at this point? Can we find out **more**?

# In case of absence of any effect

		Voted in election		
		No	Yes	Total
Age	18 – 35	<b>190.5</b>	<b>328.5</b>	519
	Row %	36.7%	63.3%	
	36 – 59	<b>383.5</b>	<b>661.5</b>	1045
	Row %	36.7%	63.3%	
	60 - 90	<b>272.3</b>	<b>469.7</b>	742
	Row %	36.7%	63.3%	
Total		847	1459	2306
	Row %	36.7%	63.3%	100%



# Counts: Observed + Expected

**Age \* Voted in election Crosstabulation**

		Voted in election		Total	
		No	Yes		
Age	18 - 35	Count	271	248	519
		Expected Count	190,6	328,4	519,0
	36 - 59	Count	390	655	1045
		Expected Count	383,8	661,2	1045,0
	60 - 90	Count	186	556	742
		Expected Count	272,5	469,5	742,0
Total		Count	847	1459	2306
		Expected Count	847,0	1459,0	2306,0

# Counts: Observed + Expected Residuals: Unstandardized

**Age \* Voted in election Crosstabulation**

		Voted in election		Total	
		No	Yes		
Age	18 - 35	Count	271	248	519
		Expected Count	190,6	328,4	519,0
		Residual	80,4	-80,4	
	36 - 59	Count	390	655	1045
		Expected Count	383,8	661,2	1045,0
		Residual	6,2	-6,2	
	60 - 90	Count	186	556	742
		Expected Count	272,5	469,5	742,0
		Residual	-86,5	86,5	
Total	Count	847	1459	2306	
	Expected Count	847,0	1459,0	2306,0	

# Counts: Observed + Expected

## Residuals: Adjusted standardized

**Age \* Voted in election Crosstabulation**

		Voted in election		Total	
		No	Yes		
Age	18 - 35	Count	271	248	519
		Expected Count	190,6	328,4	519,0
		Adjusted Residual	8,3	-8,3	
	36 - 59	Count	390	655	1045
		Expected Count	383,8	661,2	1045,0
		Adjusted Residual	,5	-,5	
	60 - 90	Count	186	556	742
		Expected Count	272,5	469,5	742,0
		Adjusted Residual	-8,0	8,0	
Total		Count	847	1459	2306
		Expected Count	847,0	1459,0	2306,0

# Counts: Observed + Expected Residuals: Adjusted standardized Chi-square, Cramer's V

## Age \* Voted in election Crosstabulation

		Voted in election		Total	
		No	Yes		
Age	18 - 35	Count	271	248	519
		Expected Count	190,6	328,4	519,0
		Adjusted Residual	8,3	-8,3	
36 - 59		Count	390	655	1045
		Expected Count	383,8	661,2	1045,0
		Adjusted Residual	,5	-,5	
60 - 90		Count	186	556	742
		Expected Count	272,5	469,5	742,0
		Adjusted Residual	-8,0	8,0	
Total		Count	847	1459	2306
		Expected Count	847,0	1459,0	2306,0

## Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	97,142 <sup>a</sup>	2	,000
Likelihood Ratio	97,604	2	,000
Linear-by-Linear Association	96,677	1	,000
N of Valid Cases	2306		

a. 0 cells (0,0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 190,63.

## Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approximate Significance
Nominal by Nominal	Phi	,205	,000
	Cramer's V	,205	,000
N of Valid Cases		2306	

# Why Not Make It Too Complicated?

Age \* Voted in election Crosstabulation

			Voted in election		Total
			No	Yes	
Age	18 - 35	Count	271	248	519
		Expected Count	190,6	328,4	519,0
		% within Age	52,2%	47,8%	100,0%
		% within Voted in election	32,0%	17,0%	22,5%
		% of Total	11,8%	10,8%	22,5%
		Residual	80,4	-80,4	
		Adjusted Residual	8,3	-8,3	
	36 - 59	Count	390	655	1045
		Expected Count	383,8	661,2	1045,0
		% within Age	37,3%	62,7%	100,0%
		% within Voted in election	46,0%	44,9%	45,3%
		% of Total	16,9%	28,4%	45,3%
		Residual	6,2	-6,2	
		Adjusted Residual	,5	-,5	
	60 - 90	Count	186	556	742
		Expected Count	272,5	469,5	742,0
		% within Age	25,1%	74,9%	100,0%
		% within Voted in election	22,0%	38,1%	32,2%
% of Total		8,1%	24,1%	32,2%	
Residual		-86,5	86,5		
Adjusted Residual		-8,0	8,0		
Total	Count	847	1459	2306	
	Expected Count	847,0	1459,0	2306,0	
	% within Age	36,7%	63,3%	100,0%	
	% within Voted in election	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%	
	% of Total	36,7%	63,3%	100,0%	


# Correlation

- Association between two variables (for other cases than crosstabs)
- Examples: two scale variables, scale and ordinal, two ordinal variables
- Three coefficients:
  - Pearson
  - Spearman
  - Kendall

# Correlation

- Results vary on a scale between -1 and 1
- Interpretation:
  - Zero means no association between the variables
  - Rising distance from zero shows rising association (regardless the direction – negative or positive)
  - -1: perfect negative association
  - 1: perfect positive association
- Beware of false absence of association
- Always good to visualize data before calculating correlations

# Scatter Diagram






# Pearson's Correlation Coefficient

- Parametric operation
- Requirements:
  - Scale data (exemption – scale and binary)
  - If we aim to apply the findings to the population, we need normally distributed data (or a large sample)
- Sensitive to outliers

# Pearson's Correlation Coefficient

- Visualize the data
  - Graphs > Chart Builder
  - Select Scatter/Dot a variables of your interest
- Correlation
  - Analyze > Correlate > Bivariate
  - Select variables and the proper coefficient (PCC is set by default)
  - For significance select 'Flag significant correlations'

Scatter Plot of Turnout by Pop\_log




## Correlations

		Pop_log	Turnout
Pop_log	Pearson Correlation	1	-,366**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		,000
	N	2926	2919
Turnout	Pearson Correlation	-,366**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	
	N	2919	2919


\*\* . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

- Negative result = higher values in variable 1 are associated with lower values in variable 2 and vice versa
- In larger towns there is lower turnout in election (in smaller towns the turnout is higher)



# Pearson's Correlation Coefficient


- Scale variable and binary variable
- Works the same as for two scale variables
- Beware of coding of the binary variable (be sure what values the codes represent)



### Correlations

		Bridget_Jones	Gender
Bridget_Jones	Pearson Correlation	1	,677**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		,000
	N	37	37
Gender	Pearson Correlation	,677**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	
	N	37	37

\*\* . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).



### Correlations

		Bridget_Jones	Gender_rev
Bridget_Jones	Pearson Correlation	1	-,677**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		,000
	N	37	37
Gender_rev	Pearson Correlation	-,677**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	
	N	37	37

\*\* . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

# Non-Parametric Correlation

- Spearman's Rho and Kendall's Tau
  - Correlation for other cases than two scale variables (or scale and binary)
  - Same interpretation as in Pearson's CC
  - Preference of Kendall's Tau if variables contain less categories and for smaller samples
- Analyze > Correlate > Bivariate
  - Select variables and Spearman/Kendall
  - For significance select 'Flag significant correlations'



# Interpretation

- Correlation does not imply causality
  - No control of other variables
  - No independent and dependent variable
- You cannot tell that one variable affects the other even in cases when such relationship seems to be meaningful and logical
- Keep the interpretation of effects of IVs on DV for the regression analysis