ECOLOGICAL FISCAL REFORM Ecological fiscal reform

DAVID LIŠKUTIN

Právnická fakulta MU, Katedra práva životního prostředí

Abstrakt

Práce se zabývá koncepcí ekologické daňové reformy (EDR). Představuje nedávný krok směrem k tomuto konceptu představovaný třemi3 nově zavedenýmivými druhy daní; daní ze zemního plynu, daní z pevných paliv a daní z elektrické energie. K zavedení těchto daní byla Česká republika vázána směrnicí evropské rady 2003/96/EC, p. Přičemž tento legislativní krok má být prvním stupněm k celkové fiskální změně daňové soustavy k tzv. ekologické daňové reformě. Text představuje základní myšlenku EDR a analyzuje zmíněné nové druhy daní. UOzavírá poukazem na současné jednání a formy návrhů EDR na půdě EU.

Klíčová slova

<u>Ekologická daňová reforma, daň ze zemního plynu, daň z pevných paliv, daň z elektřiny, strategie 20/20/20</u>

Abstract

The paperext concerns ecological fiscal reform and its contemporary form presented by three new taxes recently adopted into Czech legal system. Those are tax on natural and other gases, tax on solid fuels and tax on electricity, which are based on the Council Directive 2003/96/EC. These taxes are shortly analysed regarding its content and form. Then is generally summarised the idea of complex ecological fiscal reform.

Key words

Ecological fiscal reform, tax on electricity, tax on solid fuels, tax on natural and other gases, strategy 20/20/20

Recently the Czech legal system was changed by the legislative act number 261/2007 Sb. This act is called "<u>the act on stabilisation of the public budget</u>" and has changed many different acts and branches of law. I want to mention one of those that didn't change the existing legislation but constituted a new one.

Those are the parts 45-47 of this act that <u>concern</u> the so called ecological fiscal reform.

This change is closely connected <u>to</u> the European legislation, particularly <u>the</u> Council Directive 2003/96/EC of 27 October 2003, restructuring the Community framework for the taxation of energy products and electricity. This directive obligates member states to transform their fiscal system into <u>a form specified</u> by this directive and entered into force on the day of its publication. For new member states, <u>such</u> as <u>the Czech republic Republic</u>, there was a transition period until the 31.12.2007.

So <u>the Czech</u> legislation implemented this directive, and by 1.1. <u>January</u> 2008 provisions of this directive are forceable through the <u>above</u> mentioned act <u>of on</u> stabilisation of <u>the public budgedbudget</u>.

This norm established three new forms of taxation:

- Tax from on natural gas and other gases
- Tax from on fossil fuels
- Tax from on electricity

Taxes <u>from on fossil</u> fuels and electricity were entirely new and <u>weren't had not been</u> in the Czech legal system before. On the other hand, the tax <u>from on natural gas</u> was a part of the former consumption tax and <u>is now is established</u> as a separate tax.

All member states have to respect the full list of commodities (stated in the article 2¹ of the Directive) as well as the minimum rates of taxation applied on them. (The directive requires only a minimum compulsory rate of taxation and every particular state can afterwards set a higher level, but although e.g. the Czech republic Republic surprisingly stays surprisingly at the minimum level.) This regards—especially relates to leaded and unleaded fuel, gas, oil, liquid gas, paraffin oil, brown and black coal and electricity.

As stated above, the Act separated these commodities into three groups:

1) Tax from on natural gas and other gases

Subject to this tax is consumption by <u>a-the</u> final consumer, so reselling among traders is not affected by the tax. Aside <u>of-from</u> this general obligation there are many important exceptions. The tax is not applied to the highly energetically demanding operations <u>such</u> as <u>are</u>:

- Mineralogical processes
- > Metallurgical processes
- > Production of electricity etc.

These industry processes are exempt from taxation. This can be understood from the point of view of entrepreneurs—businesses for whom this would mean higher costs. But from the point of view of the object and purpose of the directive, -the very purpose of the norm – that is the decrease of in the level of pollution – cannot be fully accomplished, because these biggest—largest producers of pollutants are exempt forom the tax. On the other hand, we have to see this in the context of the global market where higher costs implied by the tax would create a disadvantage, compared to entrepreneurs—businesses from states—the countries with

- 1 Council Directive 2003/96/EC: Article 2
 - 1. For the purposes of this Directive, the term "energy products" shall apply to products:
 - (a) falling within CN codes 1507 to 1518, if these are intended for use as heating fuel or motor fuel;
 - (b) falling within CN codes 2701, 2702 and 2704 to 2715;
 - (c) falling within CN codes 2901 and 2902;
 - (d) falling within CN code 2905 11 00, which are not of synthetic origin, if these are intended for use as heating fuel or motor fuel;
 - (e) falling within CN code 3403;
 - (f) falling within CN code 3811;
 - (g) falling within CN code 3817;
 - (h) falling within CN code 3824 90 99 if these are intended for use as heating fuel or motor fuel.
 - 2. This Directive shall also apply to:

Electricity falling within CN code 2716.

lower environmental standards. In this light, these European energetic companies might fail, the production itself would be delocalized and the level of pollution as a whole would increase.

Still we can see it as an unfair persecution of ordinary citizens against the privilege of large <u>entrepreneursbusinesses</u>. It might also seem that this instrument does not motivate the <u>entrepreneursbusinesses</u> in these industries towards a more effective and environmentally harmless approach to energy resources. <u>It is a The</u> question is <u>whether</u> if there shouldn't be <u>used</u> different form of protection of these energetically demanding industries <u>shouldn't be used</u>. E.g. lower taxation, which won't be destructive for the industry but will be motivating in <u>to</u> developing new, less demanding and cleaner technologies and will be more equal towards ordinary citizens.

In numbers this new tax should increase the price for consumers of by 4,2 % and should bring 1,8 billion of Czech crowns to the national treasury in 2008.

The two other taxes have also a similar content and form.

In short, the tax on solid fuels covers mainly black and brown coal and other hydrocarbons and its rate is calculated on-per gigajoules of burned heat (currently 8,50 Czech crowns for-per one gigajoule). The tax is also paid when the commodity is consumed and traders are not obliged to do so if they do not consume it. Exemptions forom this general rule are again very important. Highly demanding processes (metallurgical and -mineralogical industry) are excluded from taxation, and very important in its impact to the environment is an exclusion of electricity production, .—Bbecause thermal power stations, which are also excluded from this tax, have significant impact in terms of production of CO2 (carbon dioxide) and other contaminants have, thermal power stations which are also excluded form this tax. We can object to this solid fuels tax in the same way as in the case of the gas tax: while small producers are taxed, obliged to the tax and the big ones are not.

The fiscal effect of this tax is predicted as 9,1% increase of in the prise in compare comparison with the year 2005 and it should bring 1,7 billion of crowns to the national treasury in 2008.

The last of <u>the</u> so called <u>ecological environmental</u> taxes is the electricity tax. <u>The This</u> tax has a similar structure to <u>the other onestwo</u>. <u>The tTaxation</u> is applied on consumption and not on traders. The exemptions are important because <u>here are excluded</u> all environmentally harmless forms of electricity production <u>are excluded here</u>. This covers <u>the following</u>:

- electricity produced by the use of solar, wind or geothermal energy
- electricity produced in hydraulic power plants
- electricity produced by the use of biomass or by of biomass products of biomass
- electricity produced by the use of methane in closed mines or by the use of fuel elements

Also <u>some_certain_kinds</u> of <u>ecological_environmental_consumption</u> of electricity are excluded from taxation, <u>such_as the -railway, street railwaystram</u>, and trolley-buses <u>transport</u>. The predicted fiscal effect is of 1% <u>increase_ofin</u> electricity price and 1,1 billion of crowns to <u>the national treasuretreasury</u>.

The content of these new taxes as a whole is simply adopted from the <u>EU</u> directive and represents the consensus of EU countries about on this topic. The Czech republic Republic had to transpose them in such a way that would not lead so there weren't toto many too strong political tensions about them.

Another question is the form in which it the new legislation was passed adopted and was publicised. Usually a new form of legislation is done carried out by a new separate

normative act. This is a usual procedure based on the principle of a legally consistent state and on the certainty and transparency of the legal order.

However, a different method was applied in this particular case. These three new taxes were incorporated into a huge conglomerate of other paragraphs, thematically very wide—in topic——from Value Added Tax, through state—social insurance, payment of medical treatment care, to income taxes.

This norm as a whole is more changing the existing <u>legislative legislation</u>, rather then than establishing a new one. So the question is whether it shouldn't have been <u>made executed</u> through thematically precise laws rather then then by <u>making adopting</u> one <u>big extensive</u>, summary act. The question is even less obvious regarding three new taxes. Why it is these taxes are incorporated and not <u>publicised adopted</u> separately is a outrageous.

By the words of the According to chief of the Czech constitutional Constitutional court Court: parts concerning ecological environmental taxes are separate tax laws of taxes and there is a doubt about the way of publication they were adopted – whether it is in accord with the aArticle 52 of the Czech constitution Constitution and with the act about cCode of law Law Act².

This new environmental tax legislation should be a part of a complex environmental fiscal reform intended by a-the European Council. Next steps should concern income tax reform and transportation tax 3 .

This intended project is a part of negotiations made carried out by the European Council in March 2007 and of the on this negotiation based strategy 20/20/20, based on these negotiations and developed by the European Commission and published as Green Paper on market-based instruments for environment and related policy purposes⁴. The objective of this strategy is to decrease the emissions of greenhouse gases and especially CO2 of by 20% of compared to its 1990 level in year 1990, to increase level of renewable energy resources of energy ofto 20% and to increase the energetic efficiency in Europe of by 20%. This proposal was presented in March 2008 by the chairman of the European Commission, José Manuel Barrosa, and it is based on the European long-term strategy of decreasing environmental impacts in the EU. This ambitious plan has to have its impact in on the fiscal sphere, primary in form of taxes, charges and tradable permit systems.

One part of Among the instruments leading towards the objective of this plan is also a complex environmental fiscal reform. This is supposed to be in its general form based on shifting taxation from taxation of on work to taxation of on consumption. Thereby thereby changing the structure of taxation, which is would be focused not on income taxes of natural and legal persons, as it had been until now, but on relocate relocating taxation to indirect taxes, such as VAT(value added tax), energy taxes and taxes and charges on environmentally harmful activities. This type of taxation would taken into account processes and products with high consumption of energetic energy and resources and thus demanding processes and products with stronger impact to on the environment. So that pProducers of these such commodities should be more motivated to use processes that are energetically more efficient processes and demand less resource demanding techniquess.

Among Other other instruments which should serve help to reach the objective of the plan-20/20/20 plan (or some other type of environmental change plan) are the trading of CO2 emission permits and the extension of regulated polluters from stationary object to transportation.

^{2 &}lt;u>Statement of the chief of Czech Constitutional Court Pavel Rychetský made in decision: Pl. ÚS 24/07 published as č. 88/2008 Sb.</u>

³ Cp. http://www.env.cz/AIS/web.nsf/pages/strategie

⁴ Cp. http://eur-lex.europa.eu/COMMonth.do?year=2007&month=03

Yet the negotiation and connivance of this strategy 20/20/20 strategy is planned to be made carried out during the Czech presidency of Czech Republic in 2009⁵. So the ability of the Czech government to lead discussions and negotiations around in relation to this topic could have a-significant impact. Positions of various individual European states countries are quite different vary and also the opinion of the Czech governmensidet is quite sceptic. Anyway, the negotiation of discussions on this topic (away apart from the Lisbon treaty) will be quite challenging and it could show the ability of the Czech politics —n-to dealing things with matters on the European level.

Kontaktní údaje na autora – email:
David Liškutin
Elišky Machové 37
Brno 616 00
david.liskutin@gmail.com

⁵ Cp. http://www.env.cz/AIS/web-pub.nsf/\$pid/MZPMCFO1XFS6