## VIII. DEATH PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS

PRESENTED BY:
JUDGE MARK A. SPEISER

#### I GRAND JURY

- AN INVESTIGATIVE AND ACCUSATORY PANEL SUPERVISED BY CIRCUIT COURT
- ELIGIBLE CITIZENS OF THE JUDICIAL CIRCUIT ARE RANDOMLY SUMMONED AND SELECTED
- GRAND JURORS MUST BE AT LEAST 18
  YEARS OF AGE AND PANEL CONSISTS OF
  NO FEWER THAN 15 NOR MORE THAN 21
  PERSONS
- TERM OF DUTY IS 6 MONTHS AND MAY BE EXTENDED UP TO 90 DAYS

- PAY IS \$35 PER DAY
- EVERY SESSION IS RECORDED BY A COURT REPORTER
- DEFENSE ATTORNEY NOT ALLOWED TO BE PRESENT; THEREFORE DEFENSE SIDE OF CASE NEVER PRESENTED
- PETIT JURY IS TRIAL JURY, HEARS ALL ADMISSIBLE EVIDENCE PRESENTED BY PROSECUTOR AND DEFENSE, AND RETURNS A VERDICT
- GRAND JURY RETURNS A CHARGING DOCUMENT KNOWN AS AN INDICTMENT WHICH IS REQUIRED TO INITIATE A PROSECUTION OF A 1<sup>ST</sup> DEGREE MURDER CASE PUNISHABLE BY DEATH

### II. GUILT PHASE

- 12 JURORS AND 4 ALTERNATES
- DEATH QUALIFYING THE JURY
  - -MISGIVINGS ABOUT
    RECOMMENDING DEATH
    PENALTY
    - WITHERSPOON V. ILLINOIS
- -391 U.S. 510 (1968)
- UNANIMOUS VERDICT

#### III. PENALTY PHASE

- A. UNNECESSARY IF DEFENDANT IS OR YOUNGER WHEN MURDER COMMITTED
  - -CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT
    - 8<sup>TH</sup> AMENDMENT
  - -CAN NOT EXECUTE
    DEFENDANT WHO IS
    MENTALLY RETARDED

- -CAN NOT EXECUTE DEFENDANT
  WHO AIDS AND ABETS THE
  COMMISSION OF A FELONY DURING
  WHICH A PERSON(S) OTHER THAN
  THE DEFENDANT MURDERS THE
  VICTIM
- -UNNECESSARY IF NO AGGRAVATING FACTORS PRESENT
- -STATE NOT SEEKING DEATH
  PENALTY

- B. DEATH PENALTY ONLY APPLICABLE IF MURDER
  - PREMEDITATED
    - THIS EXCLUDES SECOND DEGREE MURDER AND MANSLAUGHTER
  - FELONY-MURDER
    - AN UNINTENTIONAL YET REASONABLY FORSEEABLE KILLING OCCURRING DURING COMMISSION OR ATTEMPT TO COMMIT A SERIOUS OR INHERENTLY DANGEROUS FELONY SUCH AS, ROBBERY, RAPE, ARSON, KIDNAPPING OR CARJACKING
- C. JURY DOES NOT ORDER DEATH SENTENCE; ONLY MAKES NON-BINDING RECOMMENDATION TO COURT

• D. JURY RECOMMENDATION OF LIFE WITHOUT PAROLE OR DEATH PENALTY IS BY A MAJORITY VOTE

-IF JURY VOTE IS 6-6 TIE, RECOMMENDATION IS DEEMED LIFE

- E. STATE PRESENTS EVIDENCE OF AGGRAVATING FACTORS IN SUPPORT OF DEATH PENALTY
- EACH FACTOR MUST BE PROVEN BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT:
  - MURDER COMMITTED BY PERSON UNDER SENTENCE (INCLUDES JAIL, PRISON, PROBATION, PAROLE)
  - DEFENDANT HAS A PRIOR CAPITAL FELONY, A FELONY INVOLVING THE USE OR THREAT TO USE VIOLENCE TO THE PERSON, OR A CONVICTION FOR DISTRIBUTION OF A CONTROLLED SUBTANCE PUNISHABLE BY A SENTENCE OF AT LEAST 1 YEAR IN PRISON

- DEFENDANT CREATED GREAT RISK NOT A MERE PROBABILITY OF DEATH TO MANY PERSONS (4 OR MORE PERSONS OTHER THAN THE VICTIM)
- DEFENDANT USED FIREARM OR DIRECTED ANOTHER TO DO SO
- OFFENSE INVOLVED DISTRIBUTION OF DRUGS TO PERSONS UNDER 18
- OFFENSE INVOLVED DISTRIBUTION OF DRUGS CONTAINING POTENTIALLY LETHAL COMPONENT
- VICTIM IS A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER

- -VICTIM PARTICULARLY
  VULNERABLE DUE TO AGE OR
  DISABILITY
- -MURDER COMMITTED FOR FINANCIAL GAIN
- -MURDER COMMITTED IN AN ESPECIALLY HEINOUS, CRUEL OR DEPRAVED MANNER IN THAT OFFENSE INVOLVED TORTURE OR SERIOUS PHYSICAL ABUSE TO VICTIM

• F. VICTIM IMPACT EVIDENCE

A. A. B. B.

- USED TO PROVE VICTIM'S UNIQUENESS AND THE RESULTANT LOSS TO THE COMMUNITY BY THE VICTIM'S DEATH
- G. DEFENSE PRESENTS MITIGATING FACTORS IN SUPPORT OF LIFE SENTENCE
- HERE THE FOCUS IS ON THE DEFENDANT'S CHARACTER OR LIFE HISTORY
  - DEFENDANT HAS NO SIGNIFICANT HISTORY OF PRIOR CRIMINAL ACTIVITY

- -MURDER COMMITTED WHILE DEFENDANT UNDER INFLUENCE OF EXTREME MENTAL OR EMOTIONAL DISTRESS
- -DEFENDANT WAS AN ACCOMPLICE AND HIS PARTICIPATION WAS RELATIVELY MINOR
- -DEFENDANT ACTED UNDER THE SUBSTANTIAL DOMINATION OF ANOTHER PERSON OR UNDER EXTREME DURESS

- -DEFENDANT'S CAPACITY TO APPRECIATE THE CRIMINALITY OF HIS CONDUCT WAS SUBSTANTIALITY IMPAIRED
- -AGE OF DEFENDANT AT TIME OF MURDER
- EXTREMELY YOUNG (OVER15) OR ELDERLY

- -DEFENDANT COULD NOT HAVE
  REASONABLY FORSEEN HIS
  CONDUCT DURING MURDER
  WOULD CREATE A GREAT RISK OF
  DEATH TO ONE OR MORE PERSONS
- -ANY OTHER FACTORS IN DEFENDANT'S BACKGROUND MITIGATING AGAINST DEATH PENALTY.

- H. CLOSING ARGUMENTS
  - STATE ARGUES FIRST
  - DEFENSE HAS LAST WORD
- I. JURY INSTRUCTIONS
- J. JURY DELIBERATIONS AND RESULTING NON-BINDING ADVISORY OPINION
- K. SENTENCE ALTERNATIVES
  - FOLLOW JURY'S
  - RECOMMENDATION
  - JURY OVERRIDE



• L. DEATH SENTENCE
REQUIRES EXTENSIVE AND
DETAILED SENTENCING
ORDER

• M. APPEAL

-DIRECTLY TO FLORIDA

SUPREME COURT

# THE END