

## Report of the second day of the MiniConference

On the second day of the MiniConference we had 3 presentations: Intellectual property protection, American adversarial justice system and Marriage & Religion. The rest of us were divided into the teams we made presentation last time. The girls were doing registration, and the Sharia group introduced every presentation.

The first presentation was about Intellectual property protection. The presentation was made by Petra, Linda and Petr. At first they tried to look at the problem in general. They described to us how we could defend ourselves and what needs to be done when we want to use someone's property like, using someone's pictures, songs etc. from the Internet. Then they introduced OSA – the biggest organization in CR that deals with Intellectual property. When the presentation stopped, we went to the audience to get ourselves some answers:

Did you like this presentation?

Yes, I did. I think that they came up with good topic. And by good I mean something that would hopefully help me on my exam.

What was the strong part of this presentation?

Well, I think the part when Linda did the interactive part, where she forced us (by rewarding with sweets) to really think about the answers.

And Weak point?

That was definitely the beginning. The first girl should start strongly! But she was too quiet and nobody could hear it. And then I missed the discussion in the end or some quiz.

The second presentation dealt with the American adversarial justice system. This group consisted only of 4 guys. They tried to describe some fundamental aspects of American justice system. After that, the presentation focused on the differences between federal and national law. But as for me as an audience I must say that they failed miserably Their presentation was really bad. They got way to much text on each slide and they were reading the whole thing from papers. I was really disappointed with this presentation, because they picked up a great topic, which I am really interested in and they did this. Well then we asked some audience.

Did you like this presentation?

Actually no. They had too much information on every slide. They weren't prepared, because they read it all.

What was the strong part of this presentation?

In this case is really difficult question. I think the beginning when they tried to describe the basics of US justice was the best from the worst.

And Weak point?

As I said before I think it wasn't good presentation at all. To bring more mistake I can say, that the type of presentation was too formal. There wasn't any interactive part in this presentation. But the weakest point for me was, when the presentation broke and didn't show the text.

The third presentation was about Marriage and Religion. This group of presenters consists only of three girls. They started with the ceremony itself, and how does the society look upon this. After this brief information, the girls divided the marriage by religion. They took the main Religions in the World and one by one told us about different traditions in each other. There was a quick test at the end

Once again we went to our audience to ask questions.

Did you like this presentation?

Yeah, I think this was nicely done presentation. I did like the topic and the visual of it. Superb was that, girls spoke loudly enough and you could understand them nicely.

What was the strong part of this presentation?

For me, it was the quiz in the end, where they summarized everything and perhaps the whole divided by religion was really nice, because in short period of time you could see how the customs are different.

And Weak point?

I can't remember anything.

When everybody finished, we were asked to decide which presentation of both days was the best. Hopefully we will know the winner on the next seminar. And this was the very end of our MiniConference.