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| **The European Court of Human Rights**  Press release issued by the Registrar **4.4.2005**  The applicant, György Monory, complains that the Romanian authorities **1. failed to ensure** the swift return of his daughter after his wife kept her in Romania after 30 January 1999 without his consent. He also complains about the length of divorce and **2.child custody proceedings.** He relies on Article 8 (**3. right to respect for family life**) of the European Convention on Human Rights, Article 13 (right to **4. účinné prostředky nápravy**) of the Convention and Article 6 § 1 (right to **5. spravedlivý proces** **6. within a reasonable time**).  The applicant, Aleksey Vladimirovich Afanasyev, was **7. zatčen pro podezření** of swindling. He claims he was beaten in custody to coerce him to confess and that the beating caused, among other things, partial deafness in his left ear. He **8. zahájil trestní řízení**  against the police officers whom he alleged to be responsible, which have been discontinued. The **9.investigation is still pending**, however. He complains that he was **10.ill-treated in custody** and that the State authorities failed to undertake a thorough and effective investigation into his allegations. He relies on Article 3 (prohibition of **11.nelidského a ponižujícího**  treatment) and Article 13.  Aggim Alija, an Albanian national, was **12.trestně stíhán pro ozbrojenou loupež** and spent 13 months in pre-trial detention in Greece before being acquitted.  Criminal proceedings were brought against the applicant, Dimitrios Dimitrellos, a lawyer, on a charge of having deliberately included erroneous information in **13.kupní smlouvy**. After spending almost a year in pre-trial detention, he was **14.acquitted by a court of appeal**, which held that it was unnecessary to compensate him for the time he had spent in detention.  Relying on Article 6 §1, both applicants allege that the **15.judgments dismissing their claims** for compensation in respect of the time spent in pre-trial detention contained insufficient or no reasons.  The applicants, Denise Jarnevic and Jean-Louis Profit, together with their daughter Audray Profit, complain under Article 6 § 1 of the length and unfairness of the criminal proceedings which they brought against the taverna’s **16.tenants and their associates**. They also complain of the length of the civil proceedings which they brought in order to **17.získat náhradu škody**. Their daughter was injured as a result of the accidental collapse of a pile of stones, located in the courtyard of a taverna. The applicants lodged a criminal complaint for **18.těžké ublížení na zdraví** and **19.injury arising from negligence** and applied to join the proceedings as a civil party. They also **20.podali žalobu** for compensation. | 1. ……………….  2. ……………….  3. ……………….  4. ……………….  5. ……………….  6. ……………….  7. ……………….  8. ……………….  9. ………………..  10. ………………  11. ………………  12. ………………  13. ………………  14. ………………  15. ……………….  16. ……………….  17. ………………...  18. ……………….  19. ……………….  20. ………………. |