

# Seminar paper's topics

## Media Law

1. Relationship between fine arts and expression – can be fine art regulated through law?
2. Is a “marketplace idea” still sustainable in media regulation?
3. How we can define “speech” or “expression” – what is beyond this definition?
4. Why to regulate media?
5. Are media responsible for their impact on society and shall the law regulate this impact?
6. How we can regulate media stereotypes?
7. Do we need a public interest test in freedom of expression?
8. Untrue statements are not protected expressions.
9. People shall know everything – “Wikileaks” case.
10. Journalists should be more protected – Island legislation case.
11. Invasions into privacy of politicians are inexcusable.
12. There is no need for prior restraints in media regulation?
13. Public service media are needless.
14. Obscenity should not be protected.
15. Why to protect facts?
16. We do not have a “right not to speak”.
17. Why we need to protect political speech?
18. Journalist should not protect their sources.
19. Speak or not to speak – case of war.
20. Shall we protect commercial speech?
21. Artistic expression is beyond any legal regulation. E.g. Joyce’s Ulysses case.
22. It is impossible to regulate free speech on the Internet.
23. Media’s self-regulation is sufficient regulation.
24. Regulation of the investigative journalism is adequate.
25. Political campaigns should have tough regulation.

Range of work: 1500 words (approx. 5 pages)