AMERICAN LEGAL SYSTEM: TRANSPARENCY IN GOVERNMENT AND NONGOVERNMENT ENTITIES

Masaryk University October 2011

- What do we mean by "Transparency"?
 - Being able to learn and understand the basis for decisions made by our decision-makers.
 - Being confident that decisions were based on the merits of the case and not on improper considerations:
 - Some say that there are three ways of winning your case in court: "Know the law"; "Know the facts"; or "Know the Judge".
 - Transparency limits the "Know the Judge" option and emphasizes "Know the law" and "Know the facts".

Transparency is intended to promote equality before governmental and judicial decision makers.

To ensure we have a "level playing field", not

this:



- Transparency also means knowing what the rules are that will control how a case is decided.
 - And that the rules will not be "bent" to unfairly aid one side.
 - So the rule acts like this; when it should act like this:





- Transparency means understanding how government officials (whether judges, prosecutors or administrators) are making decisions
- Transparency applies not just to governments but to Non-Governmental entities, such as:
 - Large corporations (Společnost) or other business units
 - Labor Unions (Dĕlnická Unie)
 - Charities (Charitativni organizace) or other organizations depending on private donations

- Why should we be interested in "*Transparency*" for these organizations?
- What about privacy concerns? Are there some organizations which should *not* be subject to transparency concerns? For example?
 - Religious groups (Náboženskou skupinu)?
 - Private groups?

- Why is Transparency Important?
 - Encourages confidence in government if we know how decision-makers are thinking.
 - Prevents opportunity for corruption.
 - Makes it easier to predict how conduct will be judged by others.
 - Makes it easier for business people to understand the consequences of what they are doing:
 - Will a contract be performed as the parties expected?
 - How will a dispute about the contract likely be decided?
 - Will collection of the disputed amount be possible ?

- What are the main tools for accomplishing transparency in the U.S.; would they be useful in the Czech Republic?
- "Freedom of Information Act" -
 - Requires that rulings of government agencies must be made public.
 - <u>EXAMPLE</u>: if an agency limits where auto parts can be manufactured, the reasoning and terms must be publicly explained
 - Requires that decisions of government agencies be made public.

- Freedom of Information Act Exceptions
 - There are limits: certain matters can be kept secret if they fit in a particular category.
 - Example: Criminal investigations can be kept secret
 - Why? Good of bad? (or sometimes good, sometimes bad?)
- Government Investigations can expose wrongdoing or not telling the truth
- Example: U.S. President Reagan made a decision to pay ransom to obtain the release of hostages in Iran, but denied he had done so.

Transparency in Government

- Government investigation found that he had not told the truth. He had to admit this (or at least half-way admitted his error).
- <u>He could have been removed from office</u> because:
 - He violated the law by selling arms to Iran
 - He lied about it.
- Investigation signaled to other government officials the dangers of lying and violating the law.

Transparency in Government

Video Courtesy of the Ronald Reagan Presidential Library

Transparency in Government



- Personnel Records
- National Security secrets
- Trade secrets
- Information about the financial condition of banks
- There are more exemptions to be separately discussed, if interested.

Another Way of Promoting Transparency:

Ethics Rules for Government Personnel

- When a government employee leaves the government for private business, should he be able to deal with his former government colleagues?
- Rules relating to the "revolving door"
- Rules restrict a government employee's access to his former colleagues for a year or so after leaving the government.

- Why have such a rule? What does it do and does it bring about

"transparency"?



- Are the restrictions excessive?
 - What is good and what is bad about these restrictions
- What is a "lobbyist" in the U.S.
 - Representative of private interests attempting to influence the government to help those private interests.
 - Is this good, bad, neither or both?
 - Suppose a "lobbyist" wishes to work for the government. Should there be restrictions on his/her doing so?
 - Why? What kind of restrictions
 - Does the government gain or lose by these restrictions?

- Should the names of those who visit important government officials be made public?
 - Why?
 - Does this make it difficult for those public officials to do their jobs?
 - Does it affect the integrity of their work if government officials know that their visitors are made public?
 - How does this apply to decision-making by judges and arbitrators: should those having contact with decision-makers be identified?

- Restrictions on Election Contributions by Citizens
 - Should contributions to election campaigns be limited?
 - Do limits favor those already in office by making it more difficult for new people to get elected?
 - Is making a contribution to an election campaign a method of influencing government?
 - Is it sufficient to identify those who make the contributions (and the amounts); or should they be limited?

Restrictions on Gifts

- Should all gifts to public officials be prohibited?
- Should there be some small limits that are allowed?
- Any differences for a judge or arbitrator?

Role of the Media - The Press, TV, etc.

- How does the media help to bring about transparency?
- If a reporter learns of improper government action from someone inside the government, should the reporter be compelled to disclose the source of his/her information?